## Exhibit 12

•
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
X
IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON
TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS
MDL No.:
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,
16-2738 (FLW)(LHG)
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION
X
ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D.
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2019
9:03 A.M.
J.03 A.M.
Taken by the Defendants
at The Carolina Inn
211 Pittsboro Street
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27516
Reported by Sophie Brock, RPR, RMR, RDR, CRR
GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
877.370.3377 ph   917.591.5672 fax
deps@golkow.com

	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:	2	PAGE
3	BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW, METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES, P.C.	3 4	BY MR. ZELLERS
4	218 Commerce Street	5	BY MS. BOCKUS
_	Montgomery, Alabama 36104	6	BY MS. O'DELL
5	Telephone: (334) 269-2343	7	
6	By: LEIGH O'DELL, ESQ. leigh.odell@beasleyallen.com	8	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
	MÅRGARET THOMPSON, MD, JD, MPAff	10	NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 1 Notice of Deposition of
8	margaret.thompson@beasleyallen.com	-	Daniel L. Clarke-Pearson
9	- and - BLOOD, HURST & O'REARDON, LLP	11	
	501 West Broadway, Suite 1490	1,0	Exhibit 2 Invoice from UNC School of16
10	San Diego, California 92101	12	Medicine to Beasley Allen Law Firm, dated January 4, 2019
11	Telephone: (619) 338-1100 By: PAULA R. BROWN, ESQ.	13	1 mm, dated January 4, 2019
	pbrown@bholaw.com		Exhibit 3 Dr. Clarke-Pearson's list of 26
12		14	medicolegal cases in the past
13	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT JOHNSON & JOHNSON:	15	five years
14	Johnson & Johnson.		Exhibit 4 Exhibit C:
	TUCKER ELLIS, LLP	16	Daniel Clarke-Pearson, MD,
15	515 South Flower Street	1	Prior Testimony
16	Forty-Second Floor Los Angeles, California 90071	17	Exhibit 5 Rule 26 Expert Report of30
	Telephone: (213) 430-3301	18	Daniel L. Clarke-Pearson, MD
17	By: MICHAEL C. ZELLERS, ESQ.	19	Exhibit 6 Exhibit B: Listing of additional 33
18	michael.zellers@tuckerellis.com		materials considered
	- and -	20	Exhibit 7 Article titled "Epidemiology of 36
19	DRINKER DIDDLE ( DEATH LLD	21	Commonly Used Statistical Terms
20	DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH, LLP 600 Campus Drive		and Analysis of Clinical
20	Florham Park, New Jersey 07932-1047	22	Studies," by Wendy R. Brewster,
21	Telephone: (973) 549-7164	23	MD, PhD
22	By: JESSIČA L. BRENNAN, ESQ. jessica.brennan@dbr.com	23	Exhibit 8 UpToDate reprint of article 36
23	jessica.oreiman@dor.com	24	titled "Evidence-based medicine,"
24			authored by Arthur T. Evans, MD,
25		25	MPH, and Gregory Mints, MD, FACP
	Page 3		
	i age 3		Page 5
1	_	1	_
1 2	_	1 2	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued)
	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:		INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes 36
1 2 3	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:	2	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes 36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.
	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.: DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC	2 3 4	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes 36
3	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 F. Pecan Street, Suite 1800	2 3 4 5	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes 36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.
3	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 F. Pecan Street, Suite 1800	2 3 4	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"47
3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESO.	2 3 4 5	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37 Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"47 Exhibit 12 Folder titled "ANIMALS"49
3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com	2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"47
3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37 Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37 Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37 Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESO.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA" 37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"47  Exhibit 12 Folder titled "ANIMALS"49  Exhibit 13 Folder titled "ANIMALS"51  Exhibit 14 Folder titled "ASBESTOS FIBROUS53     TALK LONGO, ETC"  Exhibit 15 Exhibit A: Curriculum Vitae of54     Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson, M.D.  Exhibit 16 Article titled "Spectrum of99     Mutation and Frequency of Allelic Deletion of the p53 Gene in Ovarian Cancer," by Matthew F.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"47  Exhibit 12 Folder titled "ANIMALS"49  Exhibit 13 Folder titled "LATENCY"51  Exhibit 14 Folder titled "ASBESTOS FIBROUS53     TALK LONGO, ETC"  Exhibit 15 Exhibit A: Curriculum Vitae of54     Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson, M.D.  Exhibit 16 Article titled "Spectrum of99     Mutation and Frequency of Allelic Deletion of the p53 Gene in Ovarian Cancer," by Matthew F. Kohler, et al.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"47  Exhibit 12 Folder titled "ANIMALS"49  Exhibit 13 Folder titled "ANIMALS"51  Exhibit 14 Folder titled "ASBESTOS FIBROUS53     TALK LONGO, ETC"  Exhibit 15 Exhibit A: Curriculum Vitae of54     Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson, M.D.  Exhibit 16 Article titled "Spectrum of99     Mutation and Frequency of Allelic Deletion of the p53 Gene in Ovarian Cancer," by Matthew F. Kohler, et al.  Exhibit 17 Article titled "Screening for 102
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"47  Exhibit 12 Folder titled "ANIMALS"49  Exhibit 13 Folder titled "LATENCY"51  Exhibit 14 Folder titled "ASBESTOS FIBROUS53  TALK LONGO, ETC" Exhibit 15 Exhibit A: Curriculum Vitae of54  Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson, M.D.  Exhibit 16 Article titled "Spectrum of99  Mutation and Frequency of Allelic Deletion of the p53 Gene in Ovarian Cancer," by Matthew F. Kohler, et al.  Exhibit 17 Article titled "Screening for 102 Ovarian Cancer," published by Daniel L. Clarke-Pearson, M.D.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1454	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"47  Exhibit 12 Folder titled "ANIMALS"49  Exhibit 13 Folder titled "ANIMALS"51  Exhibit 14 Folder titled "ASBESTOS FIBROUS53     TALK LONGO, ETC"  Exhibit 15 Exhibit A: Curriculum Vitae of54     Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson, M.D.  Exhibit 16 Article titled "Spectrum of99     Mutation and Frequency of Allelic Deletion of the p53 Gene in Ovarian Cancer," by Matthew F.     Kohler, et al.  Exhibit 17 Article titled "Screening for 102     Ovarian Cancer," published by Daniel L. Clarke-Pearson, M.D., in The New England Journal of
3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1454 Telephone: (202) 463-2400 By: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37 Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"47  Exhibit 12 Folder titled "ANIMALS"49 Exhibit 13 Folder titled "ANIMALS"51  Exhibit 14 Folder titled "ASBESTOS FIBROUS53
3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1454 Telephone: (202) 463-2400	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"47  Exhibit 12 Folder titled "ANIMALS"49  Exhibit 13 Folder titled "ANESESTOS FIBROUS51  Exhibit 14 Folder titled "ASBESTOS FIBROUS53  TALK LONGO, ETC"  Exhibit 15 Exhibit A: Curriculum Vitae of54  Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson, M.D.  Exhibit 16 Article titled "Spectrum of99  Mutation and Frequency of Allelic Deletion of the p53 Gene in Ovarian Cancer," by Matthew F. Kohler, et al.  Exhibit 17 Article titled "Screening for 102 Ovarian Cancer," published by Daniel L. Clarke-Pearson, M.D., in The New England Journal of Medicine  Exhibit 18 Article from the National 110
3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1454 Telephone: (202) 463-2400 By: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. jbillingskang@seyfarth.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1454 Telephone: (202) 463-2400 By: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. jbillingskang@seyfarth.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PTI:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"47  Exhibit 12 Folder titled "ANIMALS"49  Exhibit 13 Folder titled "ANESESTOS FIBROUS51  Exhibit 14 Folder titled "ASBESTOS FIBROUS53  TALK LONGO, ETC"  Exhibit 15 Exhibit A: Curriculum Vitae of54  Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson, M.D.  Exhibit 16 Article titled "Spectrum of99  Mutation and Frequency of Allelic Deletion of the p53 Gene in Ovarian Cancer," by Matthew F. Kohler, et al.  Exhibit 17 Article titled "Screening for 102 Ovarian Cancer," published by Daniel L. Clarke-Pearson, M.D., in The New England Journal of Medicine  Exhibit 18 Article from the National110 Cancer Institute website titled "Ovarian, Fallopian Tube, and Primary Peritoneal Cancer
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1454 Telephone: (202) 463-2400 By: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. jbillingskang@seyfarth.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PTI: TUCKER ELLIS 233 South Wacker Drive	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1454 Telephone: (202) 463-2400 By: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. jbillingskang@seyfarth.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PTI: TUCKER ELLIS 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37 Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1454 Telephone: (202) 463-2400 By: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. jbillingskang@seyfarth.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PTI: TUCKER ELLIS 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: (312) 624-6300	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1454 Telephone: (202) 463-2400 By: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. jbillingskang@seyfarth.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PTI: TUCKER ELLIS 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: (312) 624-6300 By: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQ.	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37 Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1454 Telephone: (202) 463-2400 By: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. jbillingskang@seyfarth.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PTI: TUCKER ELLIS 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: (312) 624-6300 By: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQ. james.mizgala@tuckerellis.com	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.:  DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1800 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 554-5549 By: JANE E. BOCKUS, ESQ. jbockus@dykema.com - and - COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP 350 Mount Kemble Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Telephone: (973) 267-0058 By: MARYAM M. MESEHA, ESQ. mmeseha@coughlinduffy.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL:  SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-1454 Telephone: (202) 463-2400 By: JAMES R. BILLINGS-KANG, ESQ. jbillingskang@seyfarth.com  ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT PTI: TUCKER ELLIS 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: (312) 624-6300 By: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQ.	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 9 Article titled "Emerging Themes36 in Epidemiology," by Fedak et al.  Exhibit 10 Folder marked "ASBESTOS OV CA"37  Exhibit 11 Folder marked "EPI"

	Page D. 239	<u>30 /</u>	
	Page 6		Page 8
1 2	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued) NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED	1	PROCEEDINGS
3	NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 21 Article titled "Perineal Use of 136 Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer,"	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on
4	by H. Langseth, et al. Exhibit 22 Article titled "Genital Use of 152	3	
5	Tale and Risk of Ovarian Cancer:	4	time is approximately 9:03 a.m.
6	A Meta-Analysis," by Wera Berge, et al.	5	This is the videotaped deposition of
7		6	Dr. Daniel Clarke-Pearson. It's being taken in
8	Exhibit 23 Ovid SP printout of article 152 titled "Genital Use of Talc and	7	regards to the Talcum Powder Litigation, MDL No. 2738.
9	Risk of Ovarian Cancer: A Meta-Analysis," by Wera Berge,	8	Would counsel please now introduce
	et al.	9	themselves for the record, and then our court reporter
10	Exhibit 24 Article titled "Perineal Talc 153	10	will swear in the witness.
11	Use and Ovarian Cancer A Systematic Review and	11	MS. O'DELL: Leigh O'Dell from
12	Meta-Analysis," by Ross Penninkilampi and Guy D. Eslick	12	Beasley Allen, on behalf of the plaintiffs.
13		13	MS. THOMPSON: Margaret Thompson,
14	between Body Powder Use and	14	Beasley Allen, on behalf of the plaintiffs.
15	Ovarian Cancer: The African American Cancer Enidemiology	15	MS. BROWN: Paula Brown from Blood,
1.5	Study (AACES)," by Joellen M.	16	Hurst & O'Reardon, on behalf of the plaintiffs.
17	Exhibit 25 Article titled "Association 159 between Body Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer: The African American Cancer Epidemiology Study (AACES)," by Joellen M. Schildkraut, et al. Exhibit 26 Article titled "The Association 190 Between Talc Use and Ovarian Cancer A Patrospective	17	MR. ZELLERS: Michael Zellers, on
18	Between Talc Use and Ovarian Cancer A Retrospective	18	behalf of the Johnson & Johnson defendants.
19	Cancer A Retrospective Case-Control Study in Two US States," by Daniel W. Cramer, et	19	MS. BRENNAN: Jessica Brennan, on
	al.	20	behalf of the Johnson & Johnson defendants.
20	Exhibit 27 Article titled "The 230	21	MR. BILLINGS-KANG: James
21	Exhibit 27 Article titled "The	22	Billings-Kang, Seyfarth Shaw, on behalf of Personal
22	Talc Particle Burden," by	23	Care Products Council.
23	Debra S. Heller, MD, et al.	24	MS. BOCKUS: Jane Bockus, on behalf of
24		25	Imerys.
	Page 7		Page 9
1	_	1	_
	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued)	1 -	MS. MESEHA: Maryam Meseha, on behalf
	NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED	1 2	of Income
	NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238		of Imerys.
3	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and	3	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf
	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of	3 4	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.
3	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by	3 4 5	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI. Whereupon,
3 4 5	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292	3 4 5 6	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI. Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD,
3 4 5 6	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying,	3 4 5 6 7	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed,
3 4 5	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI. Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:
3 4 5 6	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE
3 4 5 6 7 8	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS
3 4 5 6	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI. Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS BY MR. ZELLERS:
3 4 5 6 7 8	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson. Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, we're here to take your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson. Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, we're here to take your deposition in the talcum powder MDL litigation.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson. Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, we're here to take your deposition in the talcum powder MDL litigation. You're aware of that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson. Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, we're here to take your deposition in the talcum powder MDL litigation. You're aware of that? A. Yes, sir.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson. Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, we're here to take your deposition in the talcum powder MDL litigation. You're aware of that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You've given a number of depositions in the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson. Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, we're here to take your deposition in the talcum powder MDL litigation. You're aware of that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You've given a number of depositions in the past; is that right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson. Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, we're here to take your deposition in the talcum powder MDL litigation. You're aware of that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You've given a number of depositions in the past; is that right? A. I have.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson. Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, we're here to take your deposition in the talcum powder MDL litigation. You're aware of that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You've given a number of depositions in the past; is that right? A. I have. Q. You are familiar with the rules that we're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson. Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, we're here to take your deposition in the talcum powder MDL litigation. You're aware of that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You've given a number of depositions in the past; is that right? A. I have. Q. You are familiar with the rules that we're going to follow here today?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson. Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, we're here to take your deposition in the talcum powder MDL litigation. You're aware of that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You've given a number of depositions in the past; is that right? A. I have. Q. You are familiar with the rules that we're going to follow here today? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 28 Article titled "Talcum Powder, 238 Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer," by Melissa A. Merritt, et al. Exhibit 29 Health Canada Decision-Making 292 Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks, dated August 1, 2000  Exhibit 30 Systematic Review and 300 Meta-Analysis of the Association between Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MIZGALA: James Mizgala, on behalf of PTI.  Whereupon, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, MD, having first been duly sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Can you state your name, please. A. Yes. Daniel Lyle Clarke-Pearson. Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, we're here to take your deposition in the talcum powder MDL litigation. You're aware of that? A. Yes, sir. Q. You've given a number of depositions in the past; is that right? A. I have. Q. You are familiar with the rules that we're going to follow here today?

1 you don't understand and we'll repeat or rephrase the

<sup>2</sup> question so it's clear to you. 3

Can you do that?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. If you answer a question, we're going to

6 assume that you understood it. Is that fair?

A. Fair.

8

MS. O'DELL: Objection.

9 BY MR. ZELLERS:

10 Q. As we go along, only one of us can speak at a

11 time. So please try to let me finish my question

12 before you answer. I will try to allow you to finish

your answer so that we can get the best record

possible.

15 Is that agreeable?

16 A. Agreeable.

17 Q. All right. You are following this,

18 apparently, on the realtime; is that right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Is that going to be distracting to you?

21 A. It might be.

22 Q. All right. Well, have you ever done that

23 before in a deposition?

24 A. No, sir.

Q. Well, if it becomes distracting, then we'll

Page 12 1 you know, across the board. If there is a document

2 that he has in his possession that may be

<sup>3</sup> objectionable, then he can tell us what it is and you

<sup>4</sup> can assert your objection.

MS. O'DELL: Well, you asked if he had 6 brought them here, and Dr. Clarke-Pearson has only

<sup>7</sup> brought materials subject to requests that are not

objectionable, which include the materials listed in

his materials-considered list that are in the binders

behind me on the table.

11 They also include binders of cited 12 materials, his report, invoices, and the cases in which he has provided testimony within the last five years. I think he has a copy of his report in front

16 Those are the materials we view to be nonobjectionable, and those are what

Dr. Clarke-Pearson has brought with him today.

MR. ZELLERS: Okay. Ms. O'Dell, as 20 we -- I would appreciate it if you let the witness

21 answer the questions. I do appreciate the

22 clarification. But, as we go along today, if you'll 23 do your best, you know, to follow the rules. I mean,

24 the both of us need to follow in terms of objections.

<sup>25</sup> I'd appreciate it.

of him.

Page 11

<sup>1</sup> deal with it.

You are here pursuant to a notice of

<sup>3</sup> deposition. We've marked the notice of deposition as

(Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)

6 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Can you take a look at that and let us know

8 if you've seen that before?

MS. O'DELL: I would just reassert that the objections to certain document requests in the

notice, I think those were previously served.

12 MR. ZELLERS: Yes, we did receive the 13 objections of plaintiffs.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I've seen this.

15 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. If you go to -- beginning on page 3, there

are a number of documents that are requested be produced here today.

19 Have you either brought with you here today 20 or supplied to counsel for plaintiffs all of the

21 documents and materials in your possession that are 22 requested in the deposition notice?

MS. O'DELL: To the degree that they 23

24 are not objectionable --25 MR. ZELLERS: No. My question goes,

Page 13 MS. O'DELL: Well, certainly, I'm going

2 to follow the rules today, but it's because of the

3 objections asserted and because it's unclear to what

4 degree Dr. Clarke-Pearson is familiar with all the

5 requests and all the objections, then that was just a

6 difficult question for him -- maybe an unfair question

<sup>7</sup> for him. And so I have responded in keeping with our

previously served objections.

9 MR. ZELLERS: I don't think asking him if he's gone through the request for production of

documents and can identify for us any documents that

are in your possession that are responsive that you've

not brought here today, I don't think that is a

difficult question. But let's have Dr. Clarke-Pearson

answer it.

16

THE WITNESS: I don't think I've

brought any of these documents here today. Counsel

has some of them, like my curriculum vitae.

BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. My question, I guess, goes to -- so that we

can identify whether there's anything at all for us that we need to fight about should be produced.

23 Are there documents that are responsive to

the notice of deposition that are not being produced

<sup>25</sup> here today, to your knowledge, that originated from

Page 6 of 89 Page 14 Page 16 1 you and are in your possession? 1 and then has advised me that you have reviewed a A. I think let's just walk through the list, 2 number of additional materials since you prepared your <sup>3</sup> then. I don't have a CV in my possession, but counsel <sup>3</sup> report. So I'd like to go through those now, if we 4 does --Q. And, Doctor, to shortcut this, I don't need Notice of deposition, Exhibit 2, is a copy, 6 to go through and ask you, you know, what documents 6 it appears, of your invoices in this matter. Is that are being produced. right? Are you aware of documents that are called (Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.) 9 for in the notice of deposition that are not being THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 10 produced today? BY MR. ZELLERS: 11 A. I don't -- I would have to go through this 11 Q. You have spent a total of 20 hours working on 12 list. I don't have any documents with me aside from 12 this matter since being retained back in April of 13 what you've just described. 2017; is that right? 14 14 Q. So you've reviewed the notice of deposition MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 15 THE WITNESS: Up until the preparation 15 in preparation for today; correct? 16 A. Yes. 16 of -- and submission of my report, I spent 20 hours.

17 Q. You knew that was important; correct?

18 A. Yes.

25

19 Q. And yet you're unable to tell us whether or 20 not there are documents that are in your possession

21 that are called for in the notice of deposition that

you are not producing today; is that right?

23 MS. O'DELL: Objection. That's not 24 correct, but --

MR. ZELLERS: Well, he can answer.

Q. Did you bill any time or spend any time on 24 the MDL talcum powder litigation between November 4th of 2018 and the end of the year,

A. I believe it was -- I submitted it, but

November 4th of 2018; is that right?

22 I think it was November 16th, 2018.

Q. All right. You prepared your report, you

edited your report, and you submitted your report on

Page 15

21

23

1 MS. O'DELL: I've made my objection --

2 MR. ZELLERS: Understood.

3 MS. O'DELL: -- which I'm perfectly

4 entitled to do that, as you know.

5 MR. ZELLERS: You certainly are. You 6 certainly are.

MS. O'DELL: So, Dr. Clarke-Pearson, 8 just answer to the best of your knowledge, and, of

<sup>9</sup> course, there are objections that have been asserted;

10 and to the degree you're not familiar with those

11 details, then counsel and I can sort that out later.

12 THE WITNESS: So documents -- I do not 13 have any of these documents in my possession. For

14 example, I thought I saw -- passed you a document

15 showing my billing and collections to date. Isn't

16 that right on top?

17 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. My question was are you aware, as you sit

19 here right now, of any documents that you have that

<sup>20</sup> are responsive to the notice of deposition that are

21 not in the large pile of materials that we have here 22 today?

23 A. I'm not aware of any.

24 Q. All right. Ms. O'Dell produced for us or

25 provided to me two documents prior to the deposition

1 December 31st of 2018?

BY MR. ZELLERS:

A. Yes.

3 Q. How much additional time did you spend during

Page 17

A. I don't know exactly. I'd have to go back to

6 several notes that I have on records and papers and

<sup>7</sup> that sort of thing. I would say between

November 4th and today, it's been about 60 hours.

9 O. 60 additional hours?

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. So you spent 20 hours talking with counsel,

12 doing whatever research and analysis you needed to do,

13 and writing your report; is that right?

A. Yes.

15 Q. You have spent an additional 60 hours since

16 that time; is that right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. If your invoice is dated January 4th of 2019,

Exhibit 2, why does none of that time appear on your

20 invoice?

A. Because my accounting office turned this over

on January 4th. I submitted -- I submitted this

invoice to my business manager, and this is when it

was submitted from our office.

Q. I guess I don't understand. You tell me that

- 1 you have worked a considerable amount of time between
- 2 November 4th of 2018 and the end of 2018; correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Why is that time and those hours not
- 5 reflected on your invoice which is dated January 4th
- A. Because I hadn't submitted the request for my
- 8 business manager to submit the invoice to the
- 9 attorneys.
- 10 Q. Why did you cut off your time entry as of
- 11 November 4th, 2018?
- 12 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I think there was a gap.
- 14 I can't tell you when I picked up again after
- 15 November 4th, after I did the report. There was a
- 16 time when I wasn't actively involved reading,
- 17 preparing.
- 18 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 19 Q. Do you keep track of the time that you spend
- 20 doing activities as an expert witness in the MDL
- 21 talcum powder litigation?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And do you keep that on a regular, systematic
- 24 basis?
- A. Not so much.

- 1 Ms. O'Dell -- strike that -- with Dr. Thompson over
- 2 the years?
- 3 A. I believe she probably called me somewhere
- 4 before April 17th when I was retained and described
- 5 work that was ongoing with talcum powder. So we had a

Page 20

Page 21

- 6 conversation. I didn't bill for that.
- Q. You knew Dr. Thompson socially before being
- 8 retained: is this correct?
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Other than --
- 11 A. And -- excuse me. And professionally.
- 12 Q. Socially and professionally.
- 13 What professional interaction did you have
- 14 with Dr. Thompson since the time that you were a
- resident and a fellow at Duke University?
- 16 A. Okay. So since that time -- I mean,
- 17 throughout her residency, we were professionally
- involved with training and taking care of patients.
- Subsequent to her completing her residency, I've not
- had any professional interaction with her per se.
- 21 Q. Were you socially involved with Dr. Thompson 22 while the two of you were at Duke?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. You might go to events and see one another,
- but in terms of any relationship between the two of

- Q. Were you first retained back in April of 2017
- 2 by Ms. O'Dell and by Ms. Thompson?
- A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. Had you known Ms. O'Dell or any attorneys
- 5 from her office, the Beasley Allen office, prior to
- 6 being contacted in this litigation?
- 7 A. I had not known Ms. O'Dell. I knew
- 8 Dr. Thompson.
- 9 Q. How did you know Dr. Thompson?
- 10 A. Dr. Thompson and I were residents at Duke
- 11 University Medical Center. I was a few years ahead of
- 12 her, but we were in the residency training program.
- 13 And then I began my fellowship and gynecologic
- 14 oncology at Duke, and I believe Dr. Thompson was still
- 15 a resident during part of that time.
- 16 Q. Did you make -- maintain contact with
- 17 Dr. Thompson over the years?
- A. Off and on. Probably on average about once a
- 19 year at an alumni meeting that we attended, although
- 20 neither one of us attended every year, but...
- 21 Q. These were alumni meetings at Duke
- 22 University; is that right?
- 23 A. With regard to the obstetrical and
- 24 gynecological department.
- 25 Q. Other contacts that you had with

- 1 you, there was none; is that fair?
- A. I guess you'll have to define "relationship"
- 3 for me.
- Q. Well, I was trying to make it easy.
- Did you socialize with other persons in the
- 6 internship and residency programs while you were at
- 7 Duke?
- 8 A. Yes. And faculty and spouses, yes.
- 9 Q. And Dr. Thompson was one of those persons; is
- 10 that right?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Do you know Dr. Thompson's husband or former
- 13 husband?
- A. I did not.
- Q. All right. Your contact was solely with
- 16 Dr. Thompson; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Over the years, prior to being retained by
- Dr. Thompson in this litigation, did you review any
- 20 medicolegal matters for her?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 Q. Were you asked to review any medicolegal
- 23 matters for her?
- 24 A. You just asked that question, I think.
- 25 Q. No --

- 1 A. Did I misunderstand?
- Q. Well, and at least what I had hoped was the
- <sup>3</sup> distinction is that I had asked you if you had
- 4 reviewed any matters, and then the second question was
- 5 whether or not Dr. Thompson had requested that you
- 6 review any medicolegal matters for her.
- A. Okay. So it's a two-part question. I did
- 8 not review any matters, and Dr. Thompson hadn't
- <sup>9</sup> requested me to review any medicolegal matters.
- Q. When -- well, strike that.
- 11 What did Dr. Thompson ask you to do with
- 12 respect to the MDL talcum powder litigation?
- A. At the time of the conference call with
- 14 Ms. O'Dell and Dr. Thompson, I was asked to evaluate
- <sup>15</sup> and offer my opinion regarding talcum powder and
- 16 whether it was causative to the occurrence of ovarian
- 17 cancer in women who use talcum powder on their
- 18 perineum.
- 19 Q. Were you asked to research or answer any
- 20 other question other than that?
- A. So in my report, I think I make it clearer
- 22 than what I just described. So "Can the use of talcum
- 23 powder in the perineal area cause epithelial ovarian
- 24 cancer?" and also, "If so, what biologic mechanism did
- 25 this -- by which did this occur?" were the two key
  - Page 23
- 1 questions I was asked to form an opinion on.
- 2 Q. You mentioned that you did speak with
- 3 Dr. Thompson prior to the conversation with Ms. O'Dell
- 4 and Dr. Thompson.
- What, at that time, did Dr. Thompson tell
- 6 you about the litigation?
- A. I don't recall details. It was that she was
- 8 working on cases that had to do with talcum powder and
- 9 ovarian cancer.
- Q. Do you recall any other background that you
- 11 were provided?
- 12 A. Not at that time.
- Q. Did you understand that Dr. Thompson was
- 14 representing the plaintiffs in this matter, along with
- 15 a number of other attorneys?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Prior to being contacted by Dr. Thompson and
- 18 by Ms. O'Dell, had you formed opinions in terms of
- 19 whether or not talcum powder was causally related to
- 20 ovarian cancer for women who used it in the perineal
- 21 region?
- A. So that's an interesting question, because it
- 23 goes back to my training. And throughout the years,
- $^{24}\,\,$  since 1975, when I began my residency training, the
- 25 conversation in the gynecologic community and the

- Page 24
  1 GYN oncology community has been one of could talcum
- 2 powder be associated with the occurrence of ovarian
- 3 cancer?
- 4 And, in fact, I think, in the early '70s, we
- 5 believed it did; and then I was told as a trainee that
- 6 talcum powder previously had had asbestos in it, and
- 7 then we were told it was taken out. So that was very
- 8 reassuring.
- Yet periodically over the years, papers came
- 0 out -- case-control studies, cohort studies -- off and
- 11 on that continued to raise the question.
- So the question has been in my mind. And,
  - <sup>3</sup> really, it wasn't until I really started thinking
- 14 about this and gathered up all the literature that it
- became clear to me, and I formed my opinion.
- Q. That was my question. When did you form your
- opinion that talcum powder is causally related to
- ovarian cancer when used by women in the genital area?
- A. Well, some -- I'm not sure there was a
- 20 particular day when the light bulb went off. I think
- 21 in the process of digging into this issue in more
- 22 detail and putting together all the case-control
- 23 trials that had come out over a period of time and the
- meta-analysis that had come out over a period of time
- 25 that kept raising questions, when I started to put
  - Page 25
- 1 that all together, it became clear to me that, in my
- 2 opinion, talcum powder causes ovarian cancer.
- Q. That was sometime after you were contacted
- 4 and retained in this matter back in April of 2017 as
- 5 an expert for the plaintiffs; correct?
- 6 A. It was the request to provide opinions and to
- <sup>7</sup> develop an opinion, and I -- yes.
- 8 Q. All right. Do you agree that the medical
- 9 community as a whole has not reached a consensus that
- 10 talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 12 Excuse me.

19

- THE WITNESS: I think we're at a
- 14 tipping point in that question.
- 15 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Can you answer that question?
- A. Well, I think you would have to define "the
- 18 medical community" for me.
  - Q. Well, let's be more specific.
- Has the gynecologic oncologist medical
- 21 community reached a consensus that talcum powder
- 22 causes ovarian cancer?
- A. As best I know, not at this time.
- Q. All right. You also -- Ms. O'Dell provided
- 25 me with an updated list of your testimony; is that

1 right?

2 MR. ZELLERS: We'll mark that as

- <sup>3</sup> Exhibit 3.
- 4 (Exhibit No. 3 was marked for identification.)
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 6 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. The testimony that you provided back in
- 8 November of 2017 -- strike that -- November of 2018,
- <sup>9</sup> when you submitted your report, Exhibit C -- we'll
- 10 mark that as Deposition Exhibit 4 --
- 11 (Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)
- Q. -- contained just one listing of testimony;
- 13 is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. What has changed since you prepared your
- 16 report in November of 2018 and today with respect to
- 17 deposition and trial testimony that you have provided?
- A. I believe simply an oversight on my part.
- Q. The oversight was not listing at least two of
- 20 the matters that you had testified in in the past five
- 21 years as of November of 2018; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The Edmonson matter that you testified in
- 24 December of 2014, was that a medical malpractice
- 25 action?

- Page 27
- A. Yes, it was a malpractice action.
- Q. And September 1st of 2015, the Rappaport
- <sup>3</sup> matter, that was a physician who was losing his or her
- 4 privileges?
- 5 A. He was being fired from his practice.
- 6 Q. The Pizzirusso case or matter that you
- 7 provided testimony in March of 2015, what was that?
- 8 A. That was a medical malpractice case in
- <sup>9</sup> Brooklyn, New York.
- Q. January of 2019, Paduda, what type of matter
- 11 was that?
- 12 A. This was -- I need to make sure I've got the
- 13 two straight here. Yes, it's a medical malpractice
- 14 case.
- Q. And then, finally, you were deposed on
- 16 January 22nd of 2009 in a matter called Cutsinger.
- 17 What type of matter was that?
- <sup>18</sup> A. It was 2019.
- 19 MS. O'DELL: '19.
- MR. ZELLERS: Thank you, Counsel.
- 21 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 22 Q. January 22nd of 2019.
- A. This is a product liability suit.
- Q. Involving what product?
- A. A morcellator manufactured by Gyrus.

- 1 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. The medical malpractice cases that you have
- 3 listed -- Edmonson, Pizzirusso, and Paduda -- were you
- 4 serving as an expert for plaintiff or defense in those
- 5 cases?
- 6 A. In all three of those cases, for the defense.
- Q. Over the years, you have done a lot of
- 8 testifying in medical malpractice cases. Is that
- 9 fair?

10

- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know how you
- 12 define "a lot."
- 13 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Have you given -- at least up until 2005 or
- 15 so, did you give about three depositions a year in
- 16 medical malpractice cases?
- 17 A. Probably three or more. Three to six, maybe.
- Q. Since 2005, you've cut back some in terms of
- 19 your medicolegal work; is that right?
- 20 A. Yes.

21

- Q. Is it accurate to say that, over the years,
- 22 you've testified about 50 percent for plaintiff and
- 23 about 50 percent for defendants in litigation matters?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Is the only product liability matter that you

Page 29

- 1 have testified in, other than the MDL talcum powder
- <sup>2</sup> litigation, the morcellator deposition that you gave
- <sup>3</sup> earlier in -- this year, in January?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Ms. O'Dell advised us at the start of the
- 6 deposition that, in addition to the materials that you
- <sup>7</sup> cite in your report and in your additional materials
- 8 list, that you have now reviewed a number of
- 9 additional materials prior to today; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Do those additional materials that you have
- 12 reviewed change in any respect the opinions that you
- have set forth in your report?
- A. They reinforce and enhance or support my
- 15 opinion.
- Q. As we go through today, I may refer to talc,
- I may refer to talcum powder, I may refer to talc
- products or to baby powder or to Shower to Shower.
- 19 I intend, when I use those terms, to be referring to
- 20 the baby powder product manufactured by Johnson &
- 21 Johnson Consumer Products Inc. and the Shower to
- 22 Shower product formerly manufactured by Johnson &
- 23 Johnson Consumer Products Inc.
- Do you understand that?
- 25 A. I understand.

Page 30

1 Q. Your report which was provided to us, we will 1 report?

- Q. Your report which was provided to us, we will mark as Deposition Exhibit 5.
- 3 (Exhibit No. 5 was marked for identification.)
- 4 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Can you just take a quick look at that and
- 6 confirm for us that that is Deposition Exhibit 5?
- 7 A. It is.
- Q. Your report, which we have marked as
- <sup>9</sup> Deposition Exhibit 5, does that contain all of the
- 10 opinions that you intend to offer at any trial or
- 11 hearing in this matter?
- 12 A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Does your report identify everything that you
- 14 are relying on in forming your opinions in this
- 15 matter?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Obviously, we just talked
- $^{\mbox{\scriptsize 18}}$  about some additional information -- materials that
- 19 I've reviewed since writing that report, so they would
- 20 be included in my opinion.
- 21 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. We'll go through in a moment the additional
- 23 materials that you have reviewed.
- If we look at your report and if we look at
- 25 the additional materials that you have reviewed in

- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. You've reviewed a chapter of a book by
- <sup>4</sup> Creasman that was authored by Dr. Brewster; is that

Page 32

Page 33

- 5 right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Is there anything else that you have reviewed
- 8 and are relying on in preparation for your deposition
- 9 today and in providing us with your opinions?
- A. So all these references here (indicating),
- 11 I've reviewed. I believe they're listed as part of an
- 12 exhibit.
- Q. And let's, you know, be as systematic as we the can be.
- Your report, Exhibit 5, has a list of
- <sup>16</sup> references; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes.
  - Q. What do you intend -- or what is the meaning
- 19 of the references that appear as pages 11 through 14
- 20 in your report?
- A. Those references support what I quote -- not
- quotes, but facts that are in my report. They don't
- <sup>23</sup> include everything that I used in my consideration of
- coming to my opinion.
- Q. Deposition Exhibit 6 is Exhibit B to your

- 1 preparation for the deposition, does that include all
- 2 of the materials that you are relying on in forming
- 3 your opinion?
- 4 A. To be clear, you're saying what I have
- 5 included in my report plus my additional materials,
- 6 that's what I relied on?
- O. Yes.
- 8 Is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Is your report accurate?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Is your report complete?
- 13 A. I believe it is.
- Q. Let's try to quickly go through, if we can,
- 15 the additional materials that you have reviewed since
- 16 you prepared your report, Exhibit 5.
- Ms. O'Dell stated that you have reviewed the
- 18 Health Canada risk assessment; is that right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. You have reviewed the Taher, T-A-H-E-R, 2018
- 21 publication; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. You have reviewed the 2019 Saed paper?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. You have reviewed the Longo supplemental

- 1 report.
  - 2 (Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.)
  - <sup>3</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. Is that correct?
  - 5 Is Deposition Exhibit B a listing of
  - 6 additional materials considered?
    - A. Yes, it is.
  - 8 Q. Did you actually read and consider all of the
  - 9 materials that are cited as Exhibit B to your report?
    - A. I would say I did not read every word of
- 11 every paper. I reviewed them, many times reading the
- 12 abstract.
- Q. Did you read at least the abstract of each of
- 14 the references contained as Exhibit B to your report,
- 15 going from page 1 through page 28?
- 16 A. I believe so.
- Q. Exhibit B is meant to be materials that you
- 18 considered but are not directly relying on in
- <sup>19</sup> formulating your opinions; is that fair?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: That's fair.
- 22 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. In addition to the references that are
- 24 attached to your report, Exhibit 5 to the deposition,
- <sup>25</sup> and Exhibit B, which we've marked as Exhibit 6 to the

Page 34

deposition, are there any other materials that you

- have reviewed and relied upon in formulating the
- and reviewed and rened upon in formulating the
- <sup>3</sup> opinions you're going to give today other than the
- 4 additional materials that we discussed a moment ago?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Are there any additional materials that you
- <sup>7</sup> have reviewed and relied upon since the time of your
- 8 report other than the materials that have been
- <sup>9</sup> identified by Ms. O'Dell?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. Did you bring those additional materials with
- 12 you in the folders that you have in front of you?
- 13 A. Some of them. I have the Longo updated
- <sup>14</sup> report, for example.
- Q. All right. I'd like to just mark, so that we
- 16 have a record of what it is you have reviewed, to the
- 17 extent there's any ambiguity in the record. And, for
- 18 example, I'm looking at --
- MS. O'DELL: Mike, excuse me. Can
- 20 I just mention one thing?
- MR. ZELLERS: Yes.
- MS. O'DELL: Because when you were
- 23 going through your list, I had mentioned before an
- <sup>24</sup> UpToDate reference. It's in the stack I think you
- 25 have in your hand. But you didn't mention that in

- 1 you relied upon?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. We'll mark the Brewster chapter as Exhibit 7.
- (Exhibit No. 7 was marked for identification.)
- 5 MR. ZELLERS: We will mark the UpToDate 6 reprint as Exhibit 8.

Page 36

Page 37

- 7 (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)
- MR. ZELLERS: We will mark the Emerging
- <sup>9</sup> Themes in Epidemiology, 2015, Fedak, as Exhibit 9.
- 0 (Exhibit No. 9 was marked for identification.)
- 11 BY MR. ZELLERS:

12

- Q. I'll return these to you, Doctor.
- Can you show me or provide to me whatever
- 14 folders you have brought. I don't need the binders,
- 15 but just whatever additional materials you have
- 16 brought with you.
- 17 (Document was handed to counsel.)
- 18 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. And then it looks like you have IARC
- 20 monographs; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Are those IARC monographs that you have
- 23 brought with you, is that something that's either on
- 24 your reference list or your reliance list?
- A. I believe it is.

- 1 your sort of questions to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. So
- <sup>2</sup> I don't want there to be a misrepresentation --
- 3 MR. ZELLERS: Understood.
- 4 MS. O'DELL: -- on the -- I didn't mean
- 5 it that way. I didn't want there to be a
- 6 misunderstanding on the record.
- 7 MR. ZELLERS: I do understand.
- <sup>8</sup> I appreciate the clarification.
- 9 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. What I had been given was a clip with the
- 11 Brewster chapter from the Creasman textbook. But in
- 12 addition to what was on top, there is an UpToDate
- 13 official reprint that states at the top
- 14 "Evidence-based medicine," and then it lists several
- <sup>15</sup> authors, the first of which is Arthur T. Evans; is
- 16 that correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. That's an additional set of materials that
- <sup>19</sup> you have reviewed and relied upon?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Also in the stack, and something that I did
- 22 not mention earlier, is "Emerging Themes in
- 23 Epidemiology, Analytical Perspective." First author
- 24 is Fedak. And this appears to be a 2015 publication.
- Is that also something that you reviewed and

- Q. Can you just tell us the title of the IARC
- 2 monograph that you have brought with you?
- 3 A. "IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of
- 4 Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Volume 93, Carbon Black,
- 5 Titanium Dioxide, and Talc," dated 2010.
- 6 Q. The next set of materials, I'll mark these
- 7 collectively as Exhibit 10 so we can keep them in the
- 8 same order that you have brought them with you.
- 9 (Exhibit No. 10 was marked for identification.)
- 10 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - 1 Q. Exhibit 10, the first page is a listing of
- 12 handwritten notes. Can you read just the first line
- 13 to us.
- A. "Exposure IARC 100C page 232."
- Q. What does that refer to?
- 16 A. I put these together, if I can explain, so
- 17 that we might facilitate this discussion and be able
- 18 to find documents a little bit more quickly.
- Q. What discussion does Exhibit 10 relate to?
- A. Could I see the front of the folder, please?
- 21 Q. Sure.
- A. It has to do with asbestos and ovarian
- 23 cancer.
- Q. I will re-mark Deposition Exhibit 10.
- 25 Instead of putting the sticker on your page of

Page 12 of 89 Page 38 Page 40 1 handwritten notes, I'll put it on the outside of the MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Many of them were <sup>2</sup> folder, which are your references on asbestos and 3 ovarian cancer; is that right? 3 reprints that I created, and some were given to me by MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 4 counsel. 5 THE WITNESS: They are some of my 5 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Are you able -- if we went through your list 6 references. of references that are attached to your report, BY MR. ZELLERS: 8 Exhibit 5, are you able to tell me easily which ones Q. These are the references, though, that you 9 chose to bring with you today to be prepared to answer 9 came from counsel and which ones you may have found on 10 your own? questions that the lawyers may ask? 11 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. He 11 A. No, not easily. 12 12 brought other references as well. Q. All right. Same question with respect to 13 13 Exhibit B, this 28 pages of additional materials. Are THE WITNESS: All of these references you able to separate out for us easily what materials 14 here are -- also could support the question in that came from counsel and what materials you found on your <sup>15</sup> folder about asbestos and ovarian cancer. 16 BY MR. ZELLERS: 16 own? 17 17 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. Who prepared the folder "Asbestos on Ovarian THE WITNESS: No, I can't. 18 Cancer"? 19 A. I did. 19 BY MR. ZELLERS: 20 20 Q. The materials that are included in Deposition Q. Whose notes are the first page of this Exhibit 10, the articles that you list on your sheet 21 folder? 22 22 of paper and have brought with you, there is a -- it A. That's mine. 23 looks like an excerpt from the IARC working group 23 O. Who chose to include and to write down the <sup>24</sup> references that you did on this piece of paper? 24 relating to asbestos and different types of asbestos; 25 is that right? A. I did. Page 39 Page 41 Q. The other exhibits that you have today, the 1 A. Yes. <sup>2</sup> exhibits that we marked, was it -- Exhibit 9, is that 2 Q. You're not an expert in asbestos; correct? 3 <sup>3</sup> the Brewster chapter? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: It seems like I've become A. Exhibit 7 is the Brewster chapter. Q. Okay, Exhibit 7. Who provided those pretty good at it after reading all of this material. 6 materials to you? 6 BY MR. ZELLERS: A. This is from a textbook in my office. Q. Well, I understand that. But you do not hold 8 yourself out or consider yourself to be an expert in Q. Okay. Did you obtain that -- you know, that <sup>9</sup> information? 9 asbestos; is that right? 10 A. I'm not quite sure -- so I wrote a chapter 10 A. I think I've made it part of my job as an 11 for this textbook myself on surgical complications. 11 expert to become very familiar with the issues 12 It's a textbook that's in my office. This particular regarding asbestos and ovarian cancer. 13 document, if you will, or reprint from that chapter, Q. Do you consider yourself to be an expert in 14 I'm not sure if I produced it or counsel did. asbestos? 15 Q. Well, it's clear at the bottom that it was A. Can you define "expert," please. 16 <sup>16</sup> produced by counsel; correct? Q. Sure. Are you an expert in the different 17 types of asbestos: chrysotile, amosite, A. Okay. 18 crocidolite, tremolite, actinolite, and anthophyllite? Q. There's a notation that Dr. Thompson 19 19 downloaded that reference back in January of this A. I'm aware that there are different types of year; is that right? 20 asbestos. 21 21 Q. Are you an expert in it? A. I see that, yes. 22 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. Are many of the materials that you've looked

23

25

<sup>24</sup> what an expert is.

23 at, including those on your reference list, your

<sup>24</sup> additional materials-considered list, materials that

<sup>25</sup> were provided to you by counsel for the plaintiffs?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand

Page 13 of 89 Page 42 1 or alleged health effects of those different types of 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: 2 asbestos? Q. You're testifying as an expert gynecologist 3 oncologist in this case; is that right? A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Did you consider yourself to be an expert in Q. You consider yourself to be an expert in that 5 asbestos prior to being retained in this litigation in 6 field; is that right? 6 2017? 7 A. Of course. MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and Q. Do you consider yourself to be an expert, to 8 answered. 9 provide expert testimony to the jury, on asbestos and THE WITNESS: I don't know when 10 the different forms of asbestos? 10 I morphed into feeling I knew more about asbestos than 11 A. I think I can testify to the jury what is in 11 I did in 1975. 12 the literature and the impact that asbestos has on 12 BY MR. ZELLERS: 13 ovarian cancer risk. 13 Q. Your -- the -- strike that. Q. Prior to being retained by Dr. Thompson and 14 What gives you expertise, in your view, as 15 Ms. O'Dell, did you have professional experience with 15 an expert in asbestos is the reading that you have 16 asbestos? 16 done since being retained in this matter; is that A. I'm not sure what you mean by "professional right? 18 18 experience." I don't use it in my practice. MS. O'DELL: Objection to the form. 19 Q. Did you research it? 19 Misstates his testimony. THE WITNESS: The knowledge that I've 20 A. As I said, back in 1975, when I was a 21 gained over time, including during this preparation 21 resident, there was discussion about asbestos in 22 talcum powder. 22 for this deposition and my report. Q. Did you consider yourself to be an expert in 23 BY MR. ZELLERS: 24 asbestos before you were retained by Dr. Thompson and Q. When you were contacted by Dr. Thompson, did 25 Ms. O'Dell? 25 you consider yourself to be an expert in asbestos at Page 43 Page 45 1 that time? 1 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I was aware of issues MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 3 with asbestos in terms of carcinogenic potential for THE WITNESS: Again, I've told you what 4 mesothelioma and ovarian cancer. 4 I knew about asbestos at that time, and I've learned 5 BY MR. ZELLERS: 5 more since then. Q. Is that a yes, you considered yourself to be 6 BY MR. ZELLERS: <sup>7</sup> an expert in asbestos prior to being retained in this Q. Can you answer my question? Did you consider yourself to be an expert in 8 matter? 9 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. <sup>9</sup> asbestos when you were first contacted by 10 I think he stated he was an expert in the health 10 Dr. Thompson? 11 effects. A. Again, I'm stuck with what -- how you define

12 MR. ZELLERS: The doctor can answer the

13 questions.

14 MS. O'DELL: He did answer the

15 question.

- 16 THE WITNESS: That's what I was trying
- 17 to say. It was the health effects, carcinogenic
- 18 potential of asbestos in talcum powder and other
- <sup>19</sup> industrial exposures.
- 20 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Are you familiar with at least what the
- 22 different types of claimed asbestos is in talcum
- 23 powder?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And are you familiar with the health effects

- 12 asbestos -- how you define an expert.
- Q. You're an expert who -- an expert is someone
- who has a special expertise in a matter that peers
- would look to as a person and a resource.
- 16 Do people look to you as a resource on 17 asbestos?
- A. People looked to me for a long time with
- regard to -- as a resource with regard to asbestos and
- 20 its effects on the female genital tract and ovarian
- 21 cancer.

25

- 22 Q. So that's a yes, people have come to you for
- 23 some number of years as an expert on asbestos?
- 24 A. Patients have.
  - MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It

- 1 misstates his testimony.
- 2 MR. ZELLERS: Well, I'm trying to get
- 3 an answer to my question.
- 4 MS. O'DELL: I think he answered your
- <sup>5</sup> question.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Patients have come to me
- 7 as an expert in this topic as it relates to their
- 8 health.
- 9 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. How about your peers? Do your peers come to
- 11 you as an expert in asbestos at any time?
- 12 A. I have different groups of peers. My
- 13 gynecologic oncology colleagues, I don't think I'm any
- 14 more of an expert than they are.
- On the other hand, a general obstetrician
- <sup>16</sup> and gynecologist, an internist, a family medicine
- 17 physician, a pediatrician would consider me an expert.
- Q. And that -- so my question very simply is do
- 19 your peers come to you as an expert in asbestos?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked
- 21 and answered.
- THE WITNESS: I have lots of different
- 23 levels of peers, is what I was trying to describe.
- 24 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. The second article that you brought and

- Q. Did you prepare these notes?
- 2 A. Yes.

1

12

- Q. First paper you list here is -- or have
- 4 brought with you included in this folder and
- 5 highlighted is Gates, which was published
- 6 November 12th of 2009; is that right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You also have brought a paper, HHS Public

Page 48

Page 49

- <sup>9</sup> Access, "Douching, Talc Use," Epidemiology, 2016.
- 10 First author is Gonzalez; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Then you have another collection of materials
- 13 with some additional handwritten notes, also in what
- 14 we have marked as Exhibit 11, your "EPI" folder. And
- 15 at the top of your handwritten notes, which appear on
- 16 two Post-its, it's "Penninkilampi."
- That is a study that you have written down
  - along with some other notes, and you have brought that
- 19 with you in your folder; is that right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. You have brought the Berge paper, dated
- 22 May 18, 2018, European Journal of Cancer Prevention.
- 23 You have that in your folder; correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. You have the Langseth paper that was accepted

- 1 placed in your "Asbestos Ovarian Cancer" folder is an
- <sup>2</sup> article by Reid. States at the top, published online
- <sup>3</sup> first May 24, 2011, in Cancer Epidemiology,
- 4 "Biomarkers & Prevention"; is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. The third article is "Occupational Exposure
- <sup>7</sup> to Asbestos and Ovarian Cancer." This is a paper with
- 8 the first author of Camargo. It appears that it was
- 9 published in Environmental Health Perspectives,
- 10 September 2011; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. The last paper that you included in your
- 13 folder was an article on ovarian cancer and asbestos,
- 14 first named author Graham. It was received -- is this
- 15 1967?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You brought with you, which we will mark as
- 18 Exhibit 11, a folder captioned "EPI." Is that right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 (Exhibit No. 11 was marked for identification.)
- 21 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. The first page, are these your notes to help
- 23 you in terms of answering my questions relating to the
- <sup>24</sup> epidemiology of ovarian cancer and talcum powder?
- A. Yes, sir.

- 1 for -- well, strike that -- that was published in
- <sup>2</sup> Journal of Epidemiol. Community Health, 2008; is that
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. And then finally, you have in your folder the
- 6 Taher -- T-A-H-E-R -- paper, which appears to be -- is
- 7 this a 2018 or 2019 paper, if you know?
- 8 A. I don't know.
- 9 Q. Was the Taher paper something that was
- 10 provided to you by counsel for the plaintiffs?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Was the Health Canada assessment something
- 13 that was provided to you by counsel for plaintiffs?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. You've got a folder on animals with a couple
- 16 of very brief notes. We've marked your folder on
- <sup>17</sup> animals as Exhibit 12.
- 18 (Exhibit No. 12 was marked for identification.)
- 19 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. First paper we have is the Keskin article
- 21 from Gynecologic Obstetrics, 2009. Keskin is spelled
- 22 K-E-S-K-I-N. Is that right?
- A. Yes, the spelling's correct.
- Q. The next paper is the Hamilton paper. It
- 25 looks like it was published in 1984. The other

authors are Fox, Buckley, Henderson, and Griffiths.
 It was received for publication in 1983.

3 Is that right?

4 A. Yes.

<sup>5</sup> Q. Are these studies that you found, these

6 animal studies, or are these studies that were

provided to you by counsel for the plaintiffs?

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

9 THE WITNESS: I think it's some of

10 both.

11 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Well, there's only two that are here. So did

13 you find and review the Keskin paper?

A. I found it and reviewed it, yes.

Q. Not provided to you by counsel; is that

16 right?

15

A. Can I see them both?

Q. Sure. Of course.

(Document was handed to the witness.)

THE WITNESS: I think I printed this

21 online, off of PubMed.

22 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. And my question is a little different.

Are these articles that you were made aware

<sup>25</sup> of by plaintiffs' counsel, or are these articles that

Page 51

<sup>1</sup> you found in any research that you did after being

<sup>2</sup> retained in this matter?

A. I understand your question.

Yes, I researched and found these as I did

<sup>5</sup> my PubMed search.

Q. All right. Latency, Exhibit 13.

<sup>7</sup> (Exhibit No. 13 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. You've got a couple of handwritten notes,

10 just a couple of articles in here. One is "The

11 latency period of mesothelioma among a cohort of

12 British asbestos workers (1978-2005)"; and also

13 "Latency Period for Malignant Mesothelioma" by

<sup>14</sup> Dr. Lanphear, which is dated -- well, we'll have to

15 just let the record -- it was uploaded in 2016 by the

<sup>16</sup> author.

Are these materials that you found in your

18 search and have put together, or are these articles

19 that were provided to you by counsel?

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: May I see that again?

22 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Sure.

25

(Document was handed to the witness.)

THE WITNESS: I believe these are both

<sup>1</sup> articles that I identified in my literature search.

<sup>2</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Did you find any articles on the latency

4 period of ovarian cancer in women?

A. The latency at the time of exposure to

6 asbestos or talcum powder?

O. Yes.

A. I think it's clear that there has to be a

<sup>9</sup> latency period, and it's probably very parallel, in my

10 opinion, to the latency period for mesothelioma and

11 many other cancers that requires decades of exposure

before one develops ovarian cancer.

Q. Can you be any more precise than "decades of

14 exposure"?

15

21

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: No more precise than

17 these papers that talk about the latency for

18 mesothelioma --

19 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. You believe --

A. -- which run the gamut from 22 to 32 years in

22 one paper and 20 to 40 years in another paper.

Q. You believe that the latency period for

24 ovarian cancer is the same as the latency period for

5 mesothelioma; is that right?

Page 53

Page 52

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I believe it should be

<sup>3</sup> very close.

4 ///

2

5 ///

11

16

19

6 (Exhibit No. 14 was marked for identification.)

<sup>7</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS:

8 Q. The last folder that you brought with you is

<sup>9</sup> the -- is titled or captioned "Asbestos Fibers Talc

10 Longo, etc."

Is this also a folder that you prepared?

12 A. Yes, sir.

Q. You've got a number of handwritten notes and

calculations here; is that right?

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure it's

calculations. It's notes taken from the papers.

18 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. You cite and have brought with you a report,

<sup>20</sup> Longo, January 15th, 2019.

Is that the updated report that was referred

22 to earlier?

A. That's my understanding.

Q. You've got, looks like, an exhibit from the

<sup>25</sup> Hopkins deposition; is that right?

Page 54
A. Yes.

- Q. You have an article by Blount, "Amphibole
- <sup>3</sup> Asbestos in Vermont Talc"; is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes.

1

7

- <sup>5</sup> Q. That's got an Imerys Bates number on it.
- 6 Is that where you obtained that document?
  - MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I obtained it from
- 9 counsel.
- 10 BY MR. ZELLERS
- Q. And then you also have the Pier deposition
- 12 exhibit in your folder; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Have we now identified all of the materials
- 15 that you have reviewed and relied upon in formulating
- <sup>16</sup> your opinions in this matter?
- A. Above and beyond these folders, the other
- 18 folders that we have here are included in my reliance.
- Q. Your reliance list and your reference list;
- 20 is that right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Exhibit A, just so we are complete here, is
- 23 your CV, or curriculum vitae, as of the time that your
- <sup>24</sup> report was published; is that right?
- <sup>25</sup> (Exhibit No. 15 was marked for identification.)

- 1 that this was submitted in November 2018.
- Q. Are there any updates to your curriculum
- <sup>3</sup> vitae that you believe in any way are relevant to the
- 4 opinions you're giving here today?
- 5 A. I understand. No, there's no -- nothing
- 6 relevant to add.
- Q. I did not tell you at the beginning, but if
- 8 at any time you need to take a break and get up and
- 9 stretch, just tell me and we'll do that.
- 10 A. Okay.
- MR. ZELLERS: Same goes for you as
- 12 well, Counsel.
- MS. O'DELL: Thank you.
- 14 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. Did anyone assist you with your review and
- 16 research and preparation of your report in this matter
- 17 other than counsel?
- <sup>18</sup> A. No, sir.
- Q. You were able to do the research that you
- 20 felt you needed to do to answer the questions that
- 21 were posed to you by counsel for the plaintiffs within
- 22 the 20 hours that are identified in your invoice,
- 23 Exhibit 2, between April 17th of 2017 and
- 24 November 4th of 2018?
- A. That's what I billed for. As I sort of

Page 55

- 1 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 2 Q. And your report was published or provided and
- 3 signed in November of 2018?
- 4 And that's too many questions in one.
- 5 You attached an exhibit, Exhibit A, to your
- 6 report, which we have marked as Exhibit 5; is that
- 7 right?
- 8 MS. O'DELL: Is it -- Exhibit 15 is
- 9 the --
- MR. ZELLERS: So Exhibit 15 is --
- 11 Deposition Exhibit 15 is a copy of Exhibit A to
- 12 Dr. Clarke-Pearson's report, which we marked as
- 13 Exhibit 5.
- 14 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Number one, is that correct? Is this your
- 16 CV?
- A. This is my CV at the time my report was
- 18 submitted.
- Q. Is there a date on this curriculum vitae?
- A. I don't believe so.
- 21 Q. Was it accurate and complete as of November
- <sup>22</sup> of 2018?
- A. I'm just checking to see what my most recent
- <sup>24</sup> reference was in here. I try to keep it up to date.
- Yes, I believe it was correct at the time

Page 57

- 1 indicated earlier, I'm not very diligent on marking
- $^{2}\;$  down every minute or every hour that I spend. So
- 3 that's what I billed for. It's close to what time
- 4 I spent
- <sup>5</sup> Q. That's your best estimate of the time that
- 6 you had spent on this matter through the preparation
- <sup>7</sup> of your report, which we marked as Exhibit 5; is that
- 8 right?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- Q. When were you first asked to prepare a
- 11 report?
- A. I'm not sure I can answer that question. It
- 13 was obviously after I'd been retained and after I'd
- 14 had the opportunity to review materials to be able to
- 15 formulate an opinion.
- Q. Other than Ms. O'Dell and Dr. Thompson, what
- 17 other attorneys for the plaintiffs in the MDL talcum
- powder litigation have you met with or communicated
- 19 with?
- A. I met Ms. Brown yesterday for the first time.
- Q. Anyone else?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- Q. Do the -- strike that.
- Does your invoice, Exhibit 2, approximate
- 25 the meetings and discussions that you had with

- Dr. Thompson and Ms. O'Dell up and through the
   production of your report in November of 2018?
- 3 MS. O'DELL: Objection. Form.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I believe so.
- 5 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 6 Q. Since then, what other time have you spent
- 7 with the attorneys for plaintiffs relating to this
- 8 matter?
- 9 A. I've had one meeting, I believe in early
- 10 January, for an hour and a half or two --
- Q. Was that an in-person meeting or --
- 12 A. Yes, it was in person.
- Q. Was that here in Chapel Hill?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Was that with Ms. O'Dell and Dr. Thompson?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Anyone else?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. Any other meetings that you've had with
- 20 counsel preparing for your deposition?
- A. This past Saturday and Sunday.
- Q. Did you meet with the three plaintiffs'
- 23 counsel who are here today?
- A. Ms. O'Dell and Dr. Thompson on Saturday, and
- 25 Ms. Brown joined us on Sunday.
- Page 59
- Q. What amount of time did you spend, total, on
- <sup>2</sup> Saturday and Sunday with counsel preparing for the
- <sup>3</sup> deposition?
- 4 A. I'd estimate probably four to five hours on
- <sup>5</sup> Saturday and about five to six hours on Sunday.
- 6 Q. Anything else you did to prepare for your 7 deposition?
- 8 A. I reviewed a lot of materials here to be
- <sup>9</sup> really fresh on it. That's why you see these folders.
- Q. Anything else you did to prepare for your
- 11 deposition?
- A. I'm not sure I understand what else I might
- 13 do.
- Q. Did you talk to anyone other than counsel for
- 15 plaintiffs?
- A. I see. No, I didn't.
- Q. Did you speak to any of your colleagues about
- 18 this?

25

- 19 A. No, sir.
- Q. The total amount of time that you've spent,
- 21 you would approximate to be the 20 hours that are
- <sup>22</sup> reflected on Exhibit 2, plus an additional 60 hours up
- <sup>23</sup> until today when we started your deposition?
- A. That would be my approximation, yes.
  - Q. Have you been retained in any other talcum

- Page 60
- 1 powder proceeding, aside from the talcum powder MDL?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. What percent of your professional time do you
- 4 spend working as a consultant?
- 5 A. With regard to medicolegal expert witness
- 6 work?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. What percent? I'd say probably 5 percent in
- 9 this past year, less than that in the preceding
- 10 several years.
- 11 Q. What percent of your income is from
- 12 consulting on litigation matters?
- A. None of my income.
- Q. You receive no income as an expert witness
- 15 consultant on litigation?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. Where does the money that you're billing for
- 18 your services as an expert witness in this case go?
- A. The rules that we have at University of North
- 20 Carolina is that any revenue, if you will, from expert
- 21 witness work is considered clinical revenue and is
- 22 sent to the practice plan.
- Q. Does your income, at least in part -- is it
- 24 determined by the income you bring into the
- 25 university?

- A. The compensation plan doesn't account for the
- 2 income we bring in.
- Q. Your testimony is that doesn't matter what
- 4 grants you may bring in, it doesn't matter what expert
- 5 witness consulting you may do or what income you may
- 6 generate, it has no effect on your compensation; is
- 7 that right?
- 8 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: The Department of
- 10 Obstetrics & Gynecology at the University of North
- 11 Carolina, of which I'm the chair, the compensation
- 12 plan, the base salary is based on the AAMC median
- 13 income based on subspecialty.
- So a maternal-fetal medicine physician,
- 15 based on their rank -- assistant, associate, and full
- 16 professor -- has a different median income than does a
- 17 gynecologic oncologist, but it's pegged to national
- 18 standards.
- 19 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Is there any type of bonus or additional
- 21 compensation that someone in your department,
- 22 including yourself, can earn?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. How or what are the factors in terms of bonus
- 25 compensation or additional compensation?

- A. Clinical relative value units that are
- 2 generated by a faculty member that exceed the
- 3 60th percentile are then attributed to that faculty
- 4 member. The percent of the number of faculty members'
- 5 RVUs that are generated as a whole are then divided
- 6 out amongst the pot of money, if you will, that's
- 7 available for incentive distribution. And that amount
- 8 of money depends upon the department's overall
- 9 financial status.
- Q. Do grants that are brought into the
- 11 university by members of your department have any
- 12 impact or part in this incentive distribution
- 13 calculation?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Do -- or strike that.
- Does any income from litigation consulting
- 17 have a part in this incentive distribution?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. Are you -- you are in charge of the
- 20 department; is that right?
- A. I'm the chair of the department.
- Q. Do you have to balance the books in terms of
- 23 money in and money out?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does income that you generate from litigation

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is that included in the disclosure that was

Page 64

Page 65

- <sup>3</sup> given to us today, Exhibit 3?
- A. I considered it as deposition and trial
- <sup>5</sup> testimony.
- Q. So there were two testimonies, both of which
- you gave on December 12th of 2014; is that right?
- 8 A. No. That was probably when we submitted our
- 9 invoice. I got this information from my billing
- 10 department.
- Q. So Edmonson really should be two testimonies;
- 12 is that right?
- 13 A. Yes. Deposition --
- Q. And the deposition --
- A. A deposition and trial testimony.
- Q. And the date you've given here relates to
- 17 your invoice, not to when you provided the testimony?
- 8 A. I believe so.
- Q. And the same answer with respect to
- 20 Rappaport. The date on Exhibit 3 doesn't relate to
- 21 when you provided the testimony; is that right?
- A. That's right. And I had a deposition and
- 23 trial.
- Q. And, lastly, with respect to the Pizzirusso
- matter, the date doesn't relate to when you provided

Page 63

- 1 consulting help you balance the books of the
- <sup>2</sup> department?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. The Deposition Exhibit 3, your list of
- <sup>5</sup> testimony that you've given in the past five years, is
- 6 that now accurate and complete?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Have all of the testimonies you've given that
- <sup>9</sup> are listed on Exhibit 3, are those all deposition
- 10 testimony? Or have you testified at trial?
- 11 A. Let me take a look at them.
- The Edmonson and Lee, I testified at trial.
- 13 Rappaport, I testified at trial. Pizzirusso, I
- 14 testified at trial. The latter two that I -- are just
- <sup>15</sup> depositions.
- Q. Is it accurate you did not give deposition
- 17 testimony in Edmonson, Rappaport, and Pizzirusso?
- A. No, that's not accurate.
- Q. Well, should those depositions also be
- 20 included in this list of testimonies?
- A. I don't know exactly what you asked for.
- <sup>22</sup> I -- this is either depositions or testimony that
- 23 I made in court.
- Q. Did you give a deposition in Edmonson in the
- <sup>25</sup> past five years?

- <sup>1</sup> the testimony; correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. And it was actually a deposition and trial
- 4 testimony in those matters; is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Have you ever been retained in a case
- <sup>7</sup> involving asbestos?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Have you ever been retained in a case
- 10 involving cosmetic products?
- 11 A. No. sir.
- Q. Did you review any of the expert reports of
- the other experts that have been retained by the
- plaintiffs in the MDL talcum powder litigation?
- MS. O'DELL: Other than Dr. Longo,
- <sup>16</sup> which he's testified to.
  - MR. ZELLERS: I'd like to hear it from
- 18 the doctor, but, yes, other than Dr. Longo.
  - THE WITNESS: I've read a lot of
- 20 things. Not many reports, so I don't recall exactly
- <sup>21</sup> if I -- may I ask counsel, since we've been working?
- 22 BY MR. ZELLERS:

19

- Q. Well, no, because I really want it to be your
- 24 testimony. If you don't understand -- and I should
- <sup>25</sup> have told you this up front. If you have to guess or

- 1 speculate to answer my question, tell me you can't 2 answer it because it would call for a guess or
- 3 speculation.
- A. Okay. I can't answer that.
- Q. You don't recall, as you sit here, other than
- 6 Dr. Longo's updated report, reviewing any other expert
- reports in this litigation; correct?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I reviewed Dr. Longo's
- 10 original report and now the updated report.
- 11 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 12 Q. Other than those reports, at least as you sit
- 13 here, you don't have a memory of reviewing other
- 14 expert reports in this matter; is that right?
- 15 A. I don't recall.
- 16 Q. Do you recall reviewing any defense expert --
- 17 or strike that.
- 18 Do you recall reviewing any other expert
- 19 reports in any talcum powder litigation other than the
- 20 MDL?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Have you communicated about the litigation --
- 23 the MDL talcum powder litigation -- with anyone other
- 24 than plaintiffs' counsel?
- 25 A. I'm required to communicate that to the

- Page 68 A. Sometime after I formed my opinion. I'm not
- <sup>2</sup> sure. I'm in communication with Dr. Rice quite often.
- She's a friend of mine.
- Q. Was it before or after you prepared your
- report --
- 6 A. It was after my report.
- Q. So sometime after November --
- A. 16th.
- 9 Q. -- 16th of 2018; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Any other communication you've had with
- 12 anyone other than counsel for plaintiffs regarding
- your opinion that talc is a cause of ovarian cancer?
- 14 A. No.

15

21

- Q. Have you reviewed any deposition or trial
- testimony from any of the talcum powder cases?
- A. Yes. I'm blanking on her name. The GYN
- 18 oncologist, Judy -- one of the experts on the
- plaintiffs' side that --
- 20 Q. Judy Wolf?
  - A. Yeah, Judy Wolf.
- 22 Q. Do you know Dr. Wolf?
- 23 A. I've met her once.
- 24 Q. Have you had any discussions with her about

Page 69

25 the subject matter of your opinions in this case with

- 1 hospital counsel, and I have.
- Q. Who is the hospital counsel?
- 3 A. Her name is Glenn -- G-L-E-N-N -- George.
- Q. Does she work for the university directly or
- 5 is she in private practice, if you know?
- A. She works for the University of North
- <sup>7</sup> Carolina Hospital as the head counsel.
- Q. Have you communicated about talc as a cause
- <sup>9</sup> of ovarian cancer with anyone other than the
- 10 plaintiffs' counsel?
- 11 A. As it regards to this case?
- 12 Q. Yes, as it regards to this case and your
- 13 opinion that talcum powder used in the perineal region
- 14 by women is a cause of ovarian cancer.
- A. I've communicated to the immediate past
- <sup>16</sup> president of the Society of Gynecologic Oncology that
- 17 I think that they should investigate and offer a
- 18 committee opinion on the topic.
- 19 Q. Who is the -- past president you said you
- 20 communicated with?
- 21 A. Past president.
- 22 Q. Who is that?
- 23 A. Her name is Laurel Rice, R-I-C-E.
- 24 Q. When did you have that communication with
- 25 Dr. Rice?

- 1 Dr. Wolf?
- A. I've had no communication with Dr. Wolf
- 3 whatsoever.
- Q. You reviewed her deposition transcript in
- preparation for today; correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Any other deposition transcripts or trial
- transcripts in the talcum powder litigation or any
- talcum powder case that you have reviewed?
- 10 A. Reviewed -- I can't remember the name --
- 11 Pinkerton, maybe. It was a toxicologist that had a
- deposition.
- Q. Do you remember the name or do you -- did you
- know this toxicologist?
- A. I don't know the toxicologist. I think the
- 16 name was Pinkerton.
- 17 Q. Any other deposition transcripts or trial
- 18 transcripts that you have reviewed?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- 20 Q. Were the transcripts of Dr. Wolf and
- 21 Pinkerton, the toxicologist, provided to you by
- counsel for the plaintiffs?
- A. Yes. 23
- 24 Q. Did you request any information or material
- 25 from counsel for the plaintiffs that was not provided

Page 20 of 89 Page 70 Page 72 1 to you? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. You're asking 2 2 me about peer-reviewed publications? A. No. I think everything was provided to me 3 BY MR. ZELLERS: <sup>3</sup> that I requested. Q. In your report and in one of your file Q. Yes, and whether or not you have ever relied <sup>5</sup> folders, you have exhibits from the deposition of John 5 upon isolated exhibits provided to you by counsel from 6 Hopkins. And let me rephrase that. You have an 6 depositions that you have never read as support for 7 exhibit from a witness by the name of John Hopkins. any of your peer-reviewed publications. 8 Are you aware of that? A. In a peer-reviewed publication, one on 9 A. Yes. 9 occasion will cite a personal communication from a 10 Q. Who is Mr. Hopkins? 10 colleague or an expert. 11 A. I've been -- it's my understanding -- and 11 Q. Can you answer my question? 12 I may be wrong -- that he is a former employee of 12 A. "In a peer-reviewed publication, one on 13 Johnson & Johnson. occasion will cite a personal communication" -- okay. 14 Q. Do you know what he did for Johnson & 14 So your question was -- all right. 15 Johnson? So in my peer-reviewed publications, I would 16 A. I believe somehow he was involved with 16 say the answer is no. Q. What is the difference between the references 17 testing of talcum powder to evaluate for products such 18 as fibrous talc and asbestos. which are at the end of your report that we marked as 19 Q. Do you know anything else that Mr. Tom --Exhibit 5 and the list of additional materials which 20 Mr. Hopkins did for Johnson & Johnson? we marked as Deposition Exhibit 6 and you included as 21 A. No. Exhibit B to your report? 22 Q. Did you review or read his deposition? 22 A. Those are additional materials that 23 A. I did not. 23 I reviewed in formulating my opinion, but I felt that 24 Q. Do you know who Julie Pier is? 24 they didn't need to be included in my report. 25 Q. Were the references that you listed in your A. Vaguely. Page 71 Page 73 Q. Who is Julie Pier? 1 1 report, Exhibit 5, the key primary materials that 2 you're relying on? A. My understanding is that she has also done 3 testing on Johnson & Johnson products. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. Do you know where she works or by whom she is THE WITNESS: I think that's fair to 5 employed? <sup>5</sup> say, yes. 6 A. No. 6 BY MR. ZELLERS: 7 Q. Did you read her deposition transcript? Q. If you go to Exhibit 6 -- could you find that 8 in front of you. This, again, is Exhibit B to your A. No. 9 Q. Have you reviewed any other exhibits to the report. Go to page 11. 10 deposition of John Hopkins? And you see, starting at the bottom of page 11 A. No, sir. 11 11 carried over to page 12, there are a number of 12 Q. Have you reviewed any other exhibits to the documents that begin with "Imerys" and then have a 13 deposition of Julie Pier? number following them. 14 A. No. 14 Do you see that? 15 15 Q. Is it your practice outside of litigation to A. Yes. 16 rely on isolated exhibits from deposition testimony? 16 Q. Did you rely on those documents in forming 17 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 17 your opinions? 18 THE WITNESS: I think sometimes if 18 A. I reviewed them. 19 19 they're meaningful, yes. Q. Can you identify for us here what those 20 BY MR. ZELLERS: 20 documents are? Q. Have you ever, in any of the peer-reviewed 21 A. I would have to go to the books to review

> 22 them. 23

> > compiled?

24

25

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

22 publications that are listed in Exhibit A, cited to

23 isolated exhibits from deposition testimony of

24 depositions that you didn't read?

25

Q. Do you know how those documents were

A. They were supplied by counsel.

Q. Turning to page 13, there's a series of

2 documents that begin with "J&J" followed by numbers.

3 Do you see that?

4 A. Yes.

5

Q. Did you rely on those documents in forming

6 your opinions?

A. I reviewed them, and they probably served as

8 part of my overall opinion; but I'm not referencing

9 them per se in my report.

Q. Can you identify or tell us what those

11 documents are?

A. These were internal documents from J&J.

13 I don't recall specifically what each one of these

14 numbers represent.

Q. Do you know how they were compiled?

16 A. They were provided to me by counsel.

Q. Plaintiffs' counsel provided you with these

18 select company documents that you have identified in

19 your additional materials list; is that right?

20 A. Yes.

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

22 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Were you provided with any documents of

24 either Imerys or J&J by counsel for plaintiffs that

you did not include or list in your additional

Page 76

1 first time I've been shown internal documents in a

2 litigation.

3 BY MR. ZELLERS:

4 Q. Do you have any knowledge as to what

5 percentage of the internal documents that have been

6 produced in this litigation were actually provided to

7 you and appear in your materials-considered list,

8 Exhibit 6 to this deposition?

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

10 THE WITNESS: I do not.

11 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Is it fair to say, Dr. Clarke-Pearson, that

13 the only company documents that you reviewed -- either

14 Imerys or Johnson & Johnson -- are the ones that were

15 hand-selected by plaintiffs' lawyers and provided to

16 you?

18

17 A. Yes, that's fair to say.

Q. Do you agree, based upon your experience and

19 the studies that you've reviewed, that most women who

20 used talcum powder in their perineal region begin that

21 use before age 30?

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I believe that's

24 reasonable. I'm not aware of any data that

25 specifically says that.

Page 75

1 materials-considered list?

A. No. I believe I've listed everything that we

3 saw.

Q. Based upon -- well, strike that.

5 Did you review each of these documents of

6 Imerys and J&J that are identified in your

7 materials-reviewed list?

8 MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and

9 answered.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

11 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Based upon that review, did you ask

13 plaintiffs' counsel if there were any additional

14 documents or documents that might put in context the

documents that were selected by plaintiffs' counsel

16 for you to review?

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: No, I didn't ask for

19 that.

20 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Outside of your work in litigation, do you,

22 with respect to your scientific publications and work,

<sup>23</sup> rely on small subsets of internal company documents?

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I believe this is the

1 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Well, the Cramer 2016 paper actually goes

Page 77

3 through and lists out the age for the folks that were

4 included in that study first used genital powder. Is

5 that generally familiar to you?

6 A. I can pull the paper if we're going to need

<sup>7</sup> to discuss it more, but...

8 Q. Well, my question is -- and you can decide if

<sup>9</sup> you need to pull the paper. But do you agree that,

10 based upon your review of the literature, your

11 personal experience, that the vast majority of women

who use talcum powder in their perineal region begin

that use before the age of 30?

4 If you need to take a look at the Cramer

paper, go to page 336. This is Cramer 2016, Table 1.

16 A. So --

Q. I think it's a simple question --

A. Probably so.

So can you restate the question? I've lost

20 it on the screen.

Q. Sure.

Do you agree that most women who use talcum powder in their perineal region begin that use before

24 age 30?

19

25 A. Yes.

Q. Do you agree that, on average, women who use talcum powder in their perineal region continue that

- 3 use for over 20 years?
- 4 A. Yes.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. It's your opinion that talcum powder causes
- 6 ovarian cancer; is that right?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. What are the other causes of ovarian cancer?
- 9 A. We can talk about risk factors --
- Q. No, I don't want to talk about risk factors.
- 11 You have identified talcum powder as a causative
- 12 factor in ovarian cancer; is that right?
- 13 A. Right.
- Q. That's different than being a risk factor for
- <sup>15</sup> ovarian cancer; is that right?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that's true.
- 18 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Well, is it your opinion that ovarian cancer
- 20 is caused by talcum powder or that talcum powder is a
- 21 risk factor for ovarian cancer?
- A. Ovarian cancer is caused by talcum powder.
- Q. What other causes of ovarian cancer are
- <sup>24</sup> there, in your opinion?
- A. Fair enough.

- <sup>1</sup> cause, but the cause doesn't -- but the risk factor
- <sup>2</sup> doesn't cause the cancer in every instance.
- Q. Talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian

Page 80

Page 81

- 4 cancer; is that right?
- 5 A. And it causes ovarian cancer.
- 6 Q. Every factor that you identified for us --
- <sup>7</sup> age, pelvic inflammatory disease, obesity -- those are
- 8 all risk factors for ovarian cancer and, in your
- <sup>9</sup> opinion, causes of ovarian cancer; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. If a study shows a statistically significant
- 12 relationship between a risk factor and a disease, is
- 13 that enough for the factor to be classified as a
- 14 cause?
- A. In my opinion, yes.
- Q. Just takes one study; is that right?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: No. Now we're talking
- 19 about the totality of the evidence, and nearly all of
- 20 those -- all those risk factors that I described to
- you that are causative for ovarian cancer, including
- <sup>22</sup> talcum powder, there's more than just one study.
- 23 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Let me ask my question again because I may
- 25 not have been clear.

- Age, lack of exposure to birth control
- <sup>2</sup> pills, lack of being pregnant -- so nulliparity --
- 3 obesity, women that have had pelvic inflammatory
- 4 disease, women who use a nonhormonal-producing
- 5 intrauterine device, women who have gene mutations for
- 6 BRCA1, 2, or Lynch syndrome.
- 7 There are probably others; but, off the top
- 8 of my head, I think that's a fairly complete list.
- 9 Q. Each of the items that you have mentioned, in
- 10 your opinion, those are causes of ovarian cancer; is
- 11 that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. What is the difference between a risk factor
- 14 and a cause?
- A. They're virtually the same. A risk factor
- 16 describes a cause. It does not affect every woman
- 17 that has that risk factor.
- Q. Is that true for all of the risk factors that
- 19 you just identified?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that true for talcum powder?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What makes a factor cross the line from being
- 24 a risk factor to being a cause?
- A. Well, I think that the risk factor is the

- If a study shows a statistically significant
- 2 relationship between a risk factor and a disease, is
- 3 that enough for the factor to be classified as a
- 4 cause
- A. I see what you're saying.
- 6 So, no, one study is not sufficient, in my
- <sup>7</sup> opinion.
- 8 Q. Other than your discussion with Dr. Rice
- 9 sometime after November 16th of 2018, what have you
- 10 done to alert the medical community about the
- relationship between talcum powder and ovarian cancer?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: That's all I've done
- 14 right now.
- 15 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. What was your methodology for concluding that
- 17 talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?
- A. All right. So then we get into what
- 19 I describe as my methods to come to this conclusion.
- 20 And I was asked by counsel to form an opinion one way
- 21 or the other.
- To do that, I used very similar techniques
- 23 that I use in doing peer-reviewed publications, of
- which I have over 250 and over 50 book chapters.
- 25 I need to research the literature.

1 In this case, I used a PubMed search.

- <sup>2</sup> I also used a Google search. And I reviewed a number
- 3 of textbooks. In my PubMed search, many times there
- 4 were references that then I would turn to and also
- 5 pull up to review; and that's where many of these
- 6 publications over here in these binders come from.
- As I then start working my way through it,
- 8 we start -- you know, in medicine, I would call it
- <sup>9</sup> evidence-based medicine. In this particular
- 10 circumstance, Bradford Hill criteria are used to come
- 11 to a conclusion. And I have my Bradford Hill summary
- 12 in the back of my -- at the end of my report to show
- 13 you how I came to my conclusions that talcum powder
- 14 causes ovarian cancer.
- 15 Q. Anything else that you did in terms of your
- 16 methodology for concluding that talcum powder causes
- 17 ovarian cancer?
- 18 A. I, you know, of course, in looking at
- publications come to try to put some weight on the
- publications, whether this is something that should be
- given more weight or less weight.
- 22 I don't have a scoring system per se, but
- 23 evaluating the size of the study, the statistical
- 24 analysis, the study design, the credibility of the
- 25 author, the quality of the journal that the
- Page 83
- 1 publication is printed in are all things that come to
- 2 my -- fit into my evaluation and help me come to my
- 3 conclusion.
- Q. Anything else?
- A. In the end, it's a matter of the totality of
- 6 what I've reviewed to bring forward my opinion based
- 7 on the Bradford Hill criteria.
- 8 Q. Anything else?
- A. Not that I'm aware of except for my own
- 10 personal experience as a gynecologic oncologist for
- 11 nearly 40 years. And I've harkened back several times
- 12 already to my early training and then subsequent to
- 13 that.
- Q. Did you follow this same methodology with
- 15 regard to the other question that you addressed,
- 16 whether or not there was a biologic mechanism by which
- 17 talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Do you believe that the standard for proving
- causation in the medical literature is the same as the
- one that applies in litigation?
- 22 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I think that we use --23
- 24 whether you want to call it Bradford Hill or whether
- we want to call it evidence-based medicine, those are,

- 1 I think, pretty much interchangeable terms.
- I think in evidence-based medicine probably

Page 84

Page 85

- 3 fits more into my clinical practice, and it's my
- understanding Bradford Hill fits more into litigation.
- BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Try to answer my question if you can.
- Do you believe that the standard for proving
- causation in the medical and scientific literature is
- the same as the one that applies in litigation?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked 11 and answered.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I believe so.
- 13 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. Is it generally known among gynecological
- oncologists that talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?
  - A. Not until recently. I think I referred to a
- tipping point that's happening right now that will
- make more gynecologic oncologists aware of the
- problem.
- 20 Q. At least as of now, though, the answer would
- 21 be no based upon your experience; correct?
- 22 A. My experience at the moment is that many
- gynecologic oncologists are starting to suspect that
- there is an association and that talcum powder causes
- ovarian cancer based on the literature and then also,
- 1 importantly, on what the news media has been
- <sup>2</sup> reporting.
- Q. What was your methodology for focusing on
- certain studies and excluding or not addressing other
- studies in your review?
  - MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Well, I think I tried to
- answer that before. I was trying to put a weight to
- those studies that are more or less strong, if you
- will, and -- and others that are there but really
- don't have any input or bearing on my decision.
- BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 13 O. You do not discuss or address the cohort
- studies in your report; is that right?
  - A. That's true.

16

22

- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 17 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Would you agree that, if you had only looked
- at the cohort studies in this case, that you would not
- have been able to opine that talcum powder causes
- ovarian cancer?
  - MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
  - THE WITNESS: Exactly why I tried to do
- a full literature search and included case-control
- 25 studies.

Page 86 Page 88 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: MS. O'DELL: Mike, after 2 Q. You believe -- well, strike that. <sup>2</sup> Dr. Clarke-Pearson answers this question, we've been 3 You have published a number of articles on <sup>3</sup> going about an hour and 50 minutes. If we could take 4 ovarian cancer; is that right? a break, that would be great. 5 MR. ZELLERS: That's fine. I've got A. I believe so. 6 Q. In any of those articles, have you published 6 one more after this, and then would be glad to take a your theory that baby powder causes ovarian cancer? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 8 BY MR. ZELLERS: THE WITNESS: The intention of those Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, can you answer that? <sup>10</sup> articles was not to address causation or risk factors. A. I thought I had a folder on inflammation 11 BY MR. ZELLERS: 11 here. I don't think you put it under your pile. But, 12 12 at any rate, I think I have seen evidence that talc Q. Is the answer no, that you have not, at least 13 in those publications, discussed your theory that baby can cause inflammation in the ovary. powder causes ovarian cancer? Q. Let me ask my question again. 15 15 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Can you identify a single article that 16 THE WITNESS: Those papers were not 16 identifies inflammation anywhere in a woman's reproductive tract resulting from external genital 17 intended to discuss risk factors associated with <sup>18</sup> talcum powder, so the answer is no. talc application? 19 19 BY MR. ZELLERS: MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 20 20 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so, that Q. Have you conducted any tests or experiments to confirm your theory that talc migrates from the I can quote for you right now. perineum to the ovaries? 22 BY MR. ZELLERS: 23 23 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. Can you cite a single study, animal or human, 24 THE WITNESS: It's my opinion -- and 24 that traces externally applied talc up through the 25 this is not a theory -- that it's well established in reproductive tract to the ovaries? Page 87 Page 89 1 the gynecologic community that talc can migrate along A. I think that's well accepted, as I said, in <sup>2</sup> with other particles from the perineum to the ovarian 2 the gynecologic community, that the vagina is open to <sup>3</sup> surface and fallopian tube. 3 the outside world, if you will, there's no lid at the 4 BY MR. ZELLERS: 4 opening of the vagina, and that particles of talc can 5 Q. Try and answer my question if you can. 5 migrate from the vulva and perineum up through the 6 Have you, Dr. Clarke-Pearson, conducted any uterus and onto the ovaries. 7 tests or experiments to confirm the theory that talc Q. Now I need you to answer my question. Do you migrates from the perineum to the ovaries? need me to repeat it? 9 9 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. MS. O'DELL: Well, Counsel, won't you 10 THE WITNESS: No, I have not. be courteous of the witness. He answered your 11 BY MR. ZELLERS: question. You may not have liked the answer. And you 12 Q. Have you, Dr. Clarke-Pearson, conducted any happy to ask another question. 13 13 tests or experiments to confirm your theory that talc MR. ZELLERS: No, he did not answer my causes cancer via inflammation? 14 question. 15 15 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. MS. O'DELL: He did answer your 16 THE WITNESS: It's not my theory; it's 16 question. 17 MR. ZELLERS: The record will reflect my opinion that talc causes ovarian cancer through 18 inflammation. he did not. And I think both of us, all of us, are 19 being cordial and professional. I have not done any studies to confirm my 20 20 opinion. If, at any time, Dr. Clarke-Pearson, you 21 BY MR. ZELLERS: 21 don't think I'm being professional, let me know. 22 Q. Can you identify a single article that 22 Okav? 23 23 identifies inflammation anywhere in a woman's THE WITNESS: Sure. <sup>24</sup> reproductive tract resulting from external genital 24 BY MR. ZELLERS:

25

25 talc application?

Q. My specific question to you is can you cite

Page 90 Page 92 1 several theories as to the origin of ovarian cancer; 1 any study, animal or human, that traces externally 2 applied talc up through the reproductive tact to the 2 is that right? 3 ovaries? 3 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 4 5 THE WITNESS: So by study, you mean a 5 BY MR. ZELLERS: 6 peer-reviewed publication? Q. Do you agree that, although some risk 7 BY MR. ZELLERS: factors, like age or BRCA genetic mutations have been Q. Yes. identified, it's impossible to say for sure what the 9 A. I cannot. cause of ovarian cancer was for any individual woman? 10 MR. ZELLERS: Let's take a break. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 11 THE WITNESS: Well, we know that the 12 at 10:50 a.m. 12 cause is a genetic mutation that allows the ovarian 13 13 cancer -- that ovarian cell that was normal to become (Recess taken from 10:50 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.) 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at a malignant cell and loses its regulation and growth. BY MR. ZELLERS: 15 11:04 a.m. 16 BY MR. ZELLERS: 16 Q. Do you agree, though, that it is impossible 17 to say for sure what the cause of ovarian cancer was Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, do you treat women who 18 have ovarian cancer and other gynecological disease? for any individual woman? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 19 A. I've treated hundreds of women with ovarian 19 20 cancer, put them through radical surgical procedures, 20 THE WITNESS: The cause is always a 21 including bowel resections and removing their spleen gene mutation. 22 to get their cancer out. I've given them 22 BY MR. ZELLERS: 23 23 chemotherapy. We've had some successes. I've taken Q. Is it your testimony that you are able to 24 care of a lot of patients throughout the remainder of identify the cause of ovarian cancer in all cases? 25 their life as they died from ovarian cancer. 25 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Page 91 Page 93 THE WITNESS: I can't identify the gene 1 So to answer your question, yes. Q. Do you also counsel women who are at high 2 mutation in all cases, no. <sup>3</sup> risk for ovarian cancer? 3 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Is it impossible to say for sure what gene MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 5 mutation or other cause of ovarian cancer was for any 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 BY MR. ZELLERS: 6 individual woman? Q. Ovarian cancer is a complex disease; correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 8 A. Cancer, in general, is a complex disease. THE WITNESS: In some individual women, <sup>9</sup> I wish we knew more about it. <sup>9</sup> we can identify the cause, for example, the mutation 10 Q. No one knows for sure how ovarian cancer 10 of the BRCA1 and 2 gene. We can also do genetic 11 develops; is that right? 11 profiling more and more these days, identifying a 12 12 number of gene mutations that then lead to the MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 13 THE WITNESS: I think we have some 13 malignancy. strong opinions based on scientific research, and we 14 BY MR. ZELLERS: <sup>15</sup> continue to research further in terms of the genetics Q. Other than BRCA1 and 2, do you agree that it 16 is impossible to say for sure what the cause of <sup>16</sup> and mutations that go along with developing ovarian <sup>17</sup> cancer. ovarian cancer was for any individual woman? 18 18 BY MR. ZELLERS: MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 19 19 Q. Is it true that no one knows for sure how THE WITNESS: There are more gene <sup>20</sup> ovarian cancer develops? 20 mutations than BRCA 1 and 2. There's PD1 and others 21 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. that I don't have off the top of my head that are now 22 THE WITNESS: I guess no one knows for 22 being identified. 23 sure. 23 BY MR. ZELLERS: 24 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Other than when a specific gene mutation can 25 Q. You refer in your report to there being 25 be identified, is it impossible to say for sure what

- the cause of ovarian cancer was for any individualwoman?
- 3 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 4 THE WITNESS: In -- to answer your
- <sup>5</sup> question, what I think I understand your question
- 6 being, if we can't identify a gene mutation, then we
- <sup>7</sup> don't know what caused it. Is that what you're asking
- 8 me?
- 9 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. Then the answer would be, yes, we don't know.
- Q. In your practice, do you diagnose what caused
- 13 your patients' ovarian cancer?
- A. We do genetic profiling, as is a relatively
- 15 new approach to trying to approach causes, and also
- 16 personalized treatment for patients with ovarian
- 17 cancer.
- Q. Other than genetic profiling, in your
- 19 practice do you diagnose what caused your patients'
- 20 ovarian cancer?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: We don't. There's no --
- <sup>23</sup> I don't think anybody can.
- 24 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. In your practice, do you tell your patients

- 1 then also advise.
- Q. As of today, it's not part of the patient
- 3 intake form; is that right?
- A. As of today, no.
- Q. As of today, the University of North Carolina

Page 96

Page 97

- 6 and the department that you chair do not advise women
- 7 that perineal use of talcum powder causes ovarian
- 8 cancer; correct?

9

- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 11 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Do you teach residents about talc as a
- 13 potential risk factor?
- A. It is listed as a potential risk factor
- 15 today, and I think in the very near future it will be
- 16 considered a risk factor and a causative factor.
- Q. When did you first start doing that, teaching
- 18 residents about talc as a potential risk factor?
- A. Well, I think it's been in the literature for
- 20 a good while as a potential risk factor.
- Q. My question is when did you first begin
- 22 teaching residents about talc as a potential risk
- 23 factor?
- A. I think from the time that I was starting to
- 25 teach residents in 1975 -- well, I was a resident in

- 1 what caused their ovarian cancer other than with
- 2 respect to genetic profiling?
- 3 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 4 THE WITNESS: No.
- 5 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Have you ever given any presentation on the
- 7 relationship between talcum powder and ovarian cancer?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Have you ever spoken at a conference or
- 10 meeting of the American College of Obstetricians and
- 11 Gynecologists, or ACOG, about the relationship between
- 12 talcum powder and ovarian cancer?
- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Have you ever spoken at a conference or
- 15 meeting of the Society of Gynecologic Oncology, or
- 16 SGO, about the relationship between talcum powder and
- 17 ovarian cancer?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. Does your institution, the University of
- 20 North Carolina, advise women that perineal use of
- 21 talcum powder causes ovarian cancer?
- A. Well, again, back to my point of the tipping
- 23 point in this whole discussion. And so at this
- 24 juncture, we are considering adding that to our
- 25 patient intake form, to ask for that information, and

- 1 '75 -- 1979 when I finished my residency and started
- <sup>2</sup> teaching residents.
- Q. Do you today ask any of your own patients if
- 4 they used talcum powder as a routine screening
- 5 question?
- 6 A. I think that would be very inappropriate for
- 7 a woman who has advanced ovarian cancer to try to find
- 8 and cause her to feel guilt that she did something to
- 9 cause ovarian cancer. My situation is one of trying
- to take care of women that have ovarian cancer.
- Q. Have you ever told a patient that talcum
- 12 powder caused her ovarian cancer?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. Have you ever recommended increased screening
- 15 or monitoring for ovarian cancer based on a patient's
- 16 prior use of talcum powder products?
- 17 A. Not yet.
- Q. Have you ever recommended that a patient who
- 19 had a history of using talcum powder undergo
- 20 prophylactic surgery to remove the fallopian tubes or
- 21 ovaries because of her talcum powder use?
  - A. I think that is likely to become a discussion
- 23 in the near future, and we would have to balance the
- 24 risks of surgery versus the risks of developing
- 25 ovarian cancer.

- Q. As of today, you have not; is that right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- <sup>3</sup> Q. Have you ever asked your patients about their
- <sup>4</sup> exposure to asbestos in the course of taking their
- 5 medical histories?
- 6 A. No.
- Q. Are you familiar with screenings for asbestosexposure?
- 9 A. I'm not familiar with that.
- Q. Do you ask your patients about their
- 11 occupational history?
- A. I often -- yes, most of the time I find out
- 13 what the patient does outside the home.
- Q. Do you ask your patients about the
- <sup>15</sup> occupational history of their parents?
- A. I do not.
- Q. Do you ask your patients about their spouse's
- 18 occupational history?
- 19 A. Sometimes.
- Q. Do you ask what kind of buildings your
- 21 patients have either lived in or do live in?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Do you ask about the kind of buildings that
- <sup>24</sup> your patients either work in or have worked in?
- A. Have not.

- Page 99
- Q. In 1993 you coauthored an article on the
- <sup>2</sup> mutations of the p53 gene and ovarian cancer; is that
- 3 right?
- 4 A. I believe so. I was a coauthor.
- 5 Q. That study concluded that p53 mutations in
- 6 ovarian cancer arise because of spontaneous errors in
- <sup>7</sup> DNA synthesis and repair rather than direct
- 8 interaction with -- strike that -- rather than the
- <sup>9</sup> direct interaction of carcinogens with DNA; is that
- 10 right?
- MS. O'DELL: He needed --
- THE WITNESS: I would have to see that
- 13 paper. 1993 was a long time ago. It was kind of our
- 14 lab. And I was not in the lab, but I was a coauthor.
- MR. ZELLERS: Deposition Exhibit 16 is
- 16 the paper on which you were an author. First named
- 17 author was Kohler.
- 18 (Exhibit No. 16 was marked for identification.)
- 19 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Take just a quick look at that, and I have a
- 21 specific question for you.
- This is your paper that you were a coauthor
- on back in 1993; is that right?
- A. Allow me to read this a little bit more.
- Q. Sure.

- A. All right. I think I can answer this. This
- 2 is a long time ago.
- Q. As -- and let me just repeat my question, and
- 4 I'm specifically looking at the statement toward the
- 5 bottom of the third column on page 1 of the
- 6 publication.
- 7 The study concluded that p53 mutations in
- 8 ovarian cancer arise because of spontaneous errors in
- <sup>9</sup> DNA synthesis and repair rather than the direct
- 10 interaction of carcinogens with DNA; is that right?
- 11 A. That's what it reads.
- Q. That would be inconsistent with the idea that
- 13 exposure to talcum powder causes errors in DNA
- 14 synthesis and repair that lead to cancer; is that
- 15 right?

16

- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: No, that's not -- that's
- 18 not correct.
- 19 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Why is that not correct?
- A. So the inflammatory response of the ovarian
- 22 epithelium to talcum powder then leads to gene
- <sup>23</sup> mutations, and there is mounting evidence that that's
- <sup>24</sup> happening in work that's being written and presented
- 25 by Dr. Saed in particular.

Page 101

Page 100

- Q. Does your paper -- the 1993 paper -- discuss
- <sup>2</sup> inflammation?
- A. No. That wasn't part of the question that
- 4 was being pursued in this laboratory investigation.
- Q. Your paper in 1983 [sic] states that
- 6 (as read):

13

- "Consistent with data from
  - epidemiologic studies that failed
- 9 to demonstrate a convincing
- relationship between ovarian
- cancer and exposure to
- environmental carcinogens."
  - Is that right?
  - MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 15 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. And I'm looking again at the first page of
- your article at the bottom -- or right above the line
- <sup>18</sup> in the third column.
- A. You've read that correctly. I would have to
- 20 reread this paper -- it's more than 20 years old --
- because I'm not continue -- I'm not currently aware of
- the investigation that we did looking at carcinogens.
- Q. In 2009, you published an article entitled
- 24 "Screening for Ovarian Cancer." Is that right?
- A. I'd have to see the article.

Page 102 Page 104 1 MR. ZELLERS: We'll mark your 2009 A. I don't recall that, but it may be on the <sup>2</sup> article as Deposition Exhibit 17. <sup>2</sup> videotape that you probably have. 3 (Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.) Q. You did not tell the viewers that talcum THE WITNESS: Yes. Okay. 4 powder was associated with or a cause of ovarian 5 BY MR. ZELLERS: cancer; is that right? Q. This is an article that you authored; is that A. That's correct, because at that point in time 6 7 right? I didn't believe it was causative. A. Yes, it was printed in The New England Q. It wasn't until after being retained in this case, and around the time that you concluded your <sup>9</sup> Journal. I was invited to write this clinical review. Q. This is an article that is captioned review in November of 2018, that you formed that 11 "Screening for Ovarian Cancer." Is that right? opinion; correct? 12 12 A. Yes. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 13 Q. This is many years before you were retained 13 Excuse me. Go ahead. 14 by Dr. Thompson and plaintiffs' counsel in the talcum 14 THE WITNESS: As I was preparing to 15 powder litigation; is that right? 15 offer an opinion, I did this review and came to that 16 A. Yes. 16 opinion, yes. 17 17 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. In this article, you discussed risk factors 18 for ovarian cancer. And I'm looking at the second Q. If we try to put a time on it, it would be 19 paragraph on page 1. toward the latter part of 2018, once you had completed 20 A. The first page of -- page 170? your review that you've told us about in connection 21 Q. Yes. And my question, specifically, is you 21 with this litigation; correct? 22 22 only discussed in this article the risk factors of A. Yes. 23 23 family history of ovarian or breast cancer and the MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 24 BRCA genetic mutations; is that right? BY MR. ZELLERS: 25 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. Where do practicing gynecological oncologists Page 103 Page 105 THE WITNESS: That's what appears to 1 look for guidance on what the risk factors are for 1 2 ovarian cancer? 2 be, yes. <sup>3</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS: A. I think a variety of sources, from --Q. You did not mention talcum powder in this <sup>4</sup> published in many textbooks, review articles. 5 article; is that right? Q. Well, just as you don't have the time to go A. It appears I didn't mention several other 6 and research each and every potential risk factor for <sup>7</sup> risk factors. That wasn't the intent of this article. ovarian cancer in depth, you rely on certain Q. Well, in July of 2014, you appeared on a FOX organizations to do that research for you; right? 9 9 News station to discuss ovarian cancer; do you MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 10 remember that? 10 THE WITNESS: And other researchers, 11 11 yes. A. Vaguely. 12 BY MR. ZELLERS: 12 Q. That was before you were retained by 13 Dr. Thompson and by plaintiffs' counsel in this case; Q. One organization would be the American 14 correct? College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, or ACOG; 15 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 15 is that right? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 17 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Another organization would be the Society of 18 Gynecologic Oncology, or SGO; is that right? 18 Q. As part of that discussion, you were asked 19 and talked about risk factors for ovarian cancer. A. Yes. 20 Do you recall that? 20 Q. Another would be the National Cancer 21 21 Institute's physician data queries? A. I probably wouldn't turn to that, but it's 22 Q. Do you recall that, in that interview in 22 23 2014, July, you only mentioned age, family history of 23 information available to the public. 24 breast or ovarian cancer, and BRCA genetic mutations Q. That's generally thought to be reliable 25 as risk factors? <sup>25</sup> information; correct?

Page 106 Page 108 1 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 1 caused by talcum powder will be reflected in those 2 THE WITNESS: I'm not quite certain. 2 statements in the future. <sup>3</sup> I'm not familiar with that. Is this a PDQ you're Q. You don't have any reason to believe that the physicians at ACOG and SGO have not kept up to date 4 talking about? 5 BY MR. ZELLERS: with the talc and ovarian cancer epidemiology, do you? Q. A PDQ. But you're familiar, certainly, with MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. the National Cancer Institute; right? THE WITNESS: I think that they haven't 8 looked at this question as in depth as I have. A. Yes. 9 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. The National Cancer Institute has funded at 10 least some of the studies that you have been involved 10 Q. How do you know that? 11 in; is that right? 11 A. I'm quite certain of that. 12 12 Q. Well --A. As basic research and research into ovarian 13 13 cancer treatment, not necessarily risk factors. A. This is a huge amount of work, to spend 80 14 Q. Is it a reputable organization, the National 14 hours reviewing materials to come to my opinion. I'm 15 Cancer Institute? not aware of any other physician that's been tasked 16 A. It's an agency that sponsors cancer research, with that job, if you will. <sup>17</sup> by and large. Q. Are there not committees on both ACOG and SGO 18 that look into risk factors and potential causes for Q. Is that a "yes"? 19 A. There -- they're reputable in terms of ovarian cancer? 20 A. I have served as the committee chair for the <sup>20</sup> sponsoring cancer research. 21 Q. You're a member of ACOG; is that right? GYN Management Committee at ACOG, which publishes 22 22 committee opinions. And I've also served on the A. Yes, sir. 23 practice committee, which puts out technical Q. You're a member of SGO; is that right? 24 A. Yes. bulletins, now called practice bulletins. 25 Q. You were the president of SGO from 2009 to In both cases, ACOG is asked by a member to Page 107 Page 109 1 2010; is that right? 1 consider investigating and writing an opinion about 2 A. Yeah. 2 that. So if the opinion was requested by an ACOG Q. You've served on a number of committees for 3 member, that committee would then decide whether they 4 both ACOG and SGO; is that right? 4 wanted to pursue that or not. 5 A. Yes. O. Does ACOG and SGO have committees who Q. Do you agree, generally, that the doctors and generally look at the risk factors for ovarian cancer? scientists in organizations like ACOG and SGO are A. Only if that committee is asked to look at working very hard to protect women's health? that question. 9 A. Yes. Q. Any member of ACOG or any member of SGO can 10 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. ask either ACOG or SGO and their respective committees 11 BY MR. ZELLERS: 11 to look at and evaluate a particular risk factor; 12 correct? 12 Q. And, in forming your opinions in this case, 13 did you consider the risk factors that ACOG and SGO 13 A. Yes. Sure. 14 recognized for ovarian cancer? Q. And it's your testimony that that's never 15 15 ever been done up until today? A. I was familiar with the existing risk factors 16 that had been identified. 16 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 17 17 Q. Are you aware that, even as of today, in THE WITNESS: No, it's not my testimony. I don't know what's been requested of ACOG 18 their patient-facing websites as well as in their 19 publicly available information about ovarian cancer, in the past or currently. 20 neither ACOG nor SGO identify perineal use of talcum 20 BY MR. ZELLERS: 21 powder as a risk factor for ovarian cancer? Q. Would it be important to you to know that 22 22 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the CDC, A. Again, I'm getting back to my point that does not list talcum powder or talc as a risk factor 23 we're at a point in time where it's a tipping point. 24 And so, yes, right now, that's not posted. And 24 for ovarian cancer?

25

A. That doesn't surprise me.

25 I would imagine that my opinion that ovarian cancer is

Page 30 of 89 Page 110 Page 112 1 Q. The same for the Mayo Clinic. The Mayo 1 increased risk of ovarian cancer." 2 <sup>2</sup> Clinic does not list talc as a risk factor for ovarian Is that right? 3 A. That's what they say. 3 cancer; correct? 4 A. I'll take your word for it. Q. If you go to 18 of 18, this statement was Q. Have you received funding from the National updated as of January 4th of 2019; is that right? 5 6 Institutes of Health? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, I see they updated A. I've received funding from the National 8 Cancer Institute, and I have received funding for 8 that. 9 physician training through the National Institutes of And I think that I do recall having seen 10 Health for a women's reproductive health research this. And my recollection is that their references 11 grant. are not fully up to date too. And also, it befuddles 12 Q. Are you aware that NIH does not list talc as 12 me that the National Cancer Institute -- is that 13 a risk factor for ovarian cancer? 13 right? -- National Cancer Institute, going back to 14 A. I would have to look at their publications. page 12, would take statistically significant clinical 15 That wouldn't surprise me, along with all the other studies and dismiss that clinical significance -- a 16 agencies and foundations and organizations that you've relative risk of 1.44, a relative risk of 1.26 -- I'm 17 listed previously. sorry -- 1.71, a relative risk of 1.2 -- and say that 18 Q. With respect to the National Cancer 18 they're not important. 19 Institute, they do publish guidance for physicians on BY MR. ZELLERS: 20 20 risk factors for cancer; is that right? Q. You have no personal knowledge of the 21 21 A. I believe so. analysis done by the National Cancer Institute that 22 Q. Take a look at Deposition Exhibit 18. underlie this statement; correct? 23 23 (Exhibit No. 18 was marked for identification.) A. I don't, and I have a hard time understanding 24 BY MR. ZELLERS: <sup>24</sup> how they came to the conclusions they have. Q. Are you familiar with this publication of the Q. Well, let's look at the FDA. The FDA has Page 111 Page 113 1 National Cancer Institute? 1 also looked at this issue, has looked at the Bradford 2 <sup>2</sup> Hill factors, and has concluded that causation has not A. No. Q. This is not something that you reviewed in <sup>3</sup> been established as between talcum powder use --4 all of your preparation and research for rendering 4 peritoneal -- perineal talcum powder use and ovarian 5 your opinions in this case? cancer; is that right? A. I may have seen it, but I'm not familiar with MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I'd have to see the 7 all the details of it. 8 Q. Well, did you review and rely on this publication. <sup>9</sup> statement by the National Cancer Institute with regard 9 BY MR. ZELLERS: to ovarian, fallopian tube, and primary peritoneal 10 Q. Well, let's take a look. cancer prevention in your review of this matter? 11 I'm handing you what we have marked as 12 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 12 Deposition Exhibit 19. 13 THE WITNESS: It did not contribute to (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.) 14 my formation of my opinion, if that's what you're 14 BY MR. ZELLERS: 15 asking. Q. This is a letter from the FDA. It has a date 16 BY MR. ZELLERS: stamp at the top, April 1, 2014. It's addressed to 17 Q. Well, take a look, if you will, on page 12, Dr. Epstein at the University of Illinois in Chicago. 12 of 18, at the section "Perineal Talc Exposure." 18 18 A. I think I have seen this one. 19 19 Do you see that? Q. FDA is another governmental entity; is that 20 A. Yes. 20 right?

21 Q. The National Cancer Institute states 22 (as read):

23 "The weight of evidence does not

24 support an association between 25

perineal talc exposure and an

21 A. Yes. 22 Q. As far as you know, the FDA is not biased one

way or the other with respect to the food and drug

issues that they research and opine on; is that right? 25

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

	Daniel Page D. 239	<u>41</u> 4	
	Page 114		Page 116
1	THE WITNESS: No, that's incorrect. In	1	the pile.
2	my personal experience, the FDA has done a bad job in	2	BY MR. ZELLERS:
3	evaluating the risk of morcellation of uterine	3	Q. You have notes that are other than what you
4	fibroids. The data that they based their black box	4	brought here today?
5	opinion on in November of 2014 was based on inadequate	5	MS. O'DELL: I think it's in may be
6	review of the medical literature. And it was biased	6	in your stack, Doctor. I'm not sure. I don't have
7	and I think clearly influenced by some outside	7	it
8	sources.	8	THE WITNESS: Well, I'll go through it.
9	BY MR. ZELLERS:	9	My recall of this is this letter is all over
10	Q. Do you have criticisms of the FDA's review	10	the place in terms of pros and cons and pros and cons.
11	and investigation of talcum powder products?	11	
12	A. I would like to reread this, because I did	12	I'm on page 4.
13	have some criticism in reading this.	13	2 0
14	Q. Well, my question is more general. But you	14	Q. All right. The FDA goes through and reviews
15	would agree	15	epidemiology and etiology findings; is that right?
16	A. Yes, I have criticism. I think that they're	16	A. That's where they start, yes.
17	not sufficiently evaluating all the data and evidence	17	Q. The FDA noted, in reviewing this issue,
18	that's here.	18	genital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer, that
19	Q. Does the FDA have qualified scientists and	19	"selection bias and/or uncontrolled confounding result
20	medical professionals that look at various issues,	20	
21	including talcum powder?	21	A. I'm sorry. Can you just take me to where you
22	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	22	
23	THE WITNESS: They probably have	23	Q. Sure. Let's look if we're on page 4,
24	qualified people that sometimes make mistakes or	24	
25	sometimes have biases of their own.	25	
	Page 115		Page 117
	BY MR. ZELLERS:	1	"After consideration of the"
2	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues,	1 2	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and
2 3	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today,	2	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"?
2 3 4	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum	3 4	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page
2 3 4 5	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a	2 3 4 5	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)?
2 3 4 5	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and	2 3 4 5 6	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?	2 3 4 5 6 7	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing	2 3 4 5 6 7	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):     "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA. Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA. Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA. Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?  MS. O'DELL: Are we at 21 or 19?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found" Are you with me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA. Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?  MS. O'DELL: Are we at 21 or 19?  MR. ZELLERS: Oh, I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found" Are you with me? A. Yes, I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA. Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?  MS. O'DELL: Are we at 21 or 19?  MR. ZELLERS: Oh, I'm sorry.  I misspoke. Thank you, Ms. O'Dell. Yes. So let me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found" Are you with me? A. Yes, I am. Q. All right. No. 2 (as read):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA. Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?  MS. O'DELL: Are we at 21 or 19?  MR. ZELLERS: Oh, I'm sorry.  I misspoke. Thank you, Ms. O'Dell. Yes. So let me ask that question again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found" Are you with me? A. Yes, I am. Q. All right. No. 2 (as read):  "The FDA noted that no single
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA. Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?  MS. O'DELL: Are we at 21 or 19?  MR. ZELLERS: Oh, I'm sorry.  I misspoke. Thank you, Ms. O'Dell. Yes. So let me ask that question again. BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):     "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found"     Are you with me? A. Yes, I am. Q. All right. No. 2 (as read):     "The FDA noted that no single study has considered all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA. Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?  MS. O'DELL: Are we at 21 or 19?  MR. ZELLERS: Oh, I'm sorry.  I misspoke. Thank you, Ms. O'Dell. Yes. So let me ask that question again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found" Are you with me? A. Yes, I am. Q. All right. No. 2 (as read):  "The FDA noted that no single study has considered all the factors that potentially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA.  Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?  MS. O'DELL: Are we at 21 or 19?  MR. ZELLERS: Oh, I'm sorry.  I misspoke. Thank you, Ms. O'Dell. Yes. So let me ask that question again.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Turn, if you will, Doctor, to page 4 of Deposition Exhibit 19.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found" Are you with me? A. Yes, I am. Q. All right. No. 2 (as read):  "The FDA noted that no single study has considered all the factors that potentially contribute to ovarian cancer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA. Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?  MS. O'DELL: Are we at 21 or 19?  MR. ZELLERS: Oh, I'm sorry.  I misspoke. Thank you, Ms. O'Dell. Yes. So let me ask that question again.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Turn, if you will, Doctor, to page 4 of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found" Are you with me? A. Yes, I am. Q. All right. No. 2 (as read):  "The FDA noted that no single study has considered all the factors that potentially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA.  Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?  MS. O'DELL: Are we at 21 or 19?  MR. ZELLERS: Oh, I'm sorry.  I misspoke. Thank you, Ms. O'Dell. Yes. So let me ask that question again.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Turn, if you will, Doctor, to page 4 of Deposition Exhibit 19.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found" Are you with me? A. Yes, I am. Q. All right. No. 2 (as read):  "The FDA noted that no single study has considered all the factors that potentially contribute to ovarian cancer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA. Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?  MS. O'DELL: Are we at 21 or 19?  MR. ZELLERS: Oh, I'm sorry.  I misspoke. Thank you, Ms. O'Dell. Yes. So let me ask that question again.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Turn, if you will, Doctor, to page 4 of Deposition Exhibit 19.  THE WITNESS: Ms. O'Dell, may I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):     "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found"     Are you with me? A. Yes, I am. Q. All right. No. 2 (as read):     "The FDA noted that no single study has considered all the factors that potentially contribute to ovarian cancer, including selection bias and/or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. But do you agree that, on scientific issues, including the one that we're here to talk about today, whether or not talcum powder genital use of talcum powder is a risk factor for ovarian cancer, that's a topic on which well-qualified scientists and physicians may have differing views?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: They may have differing views, yes.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Let's look at this publication from the FDA. Turn to page 4, if you will. And we are looking at Deposition Exhibit 21. Are you at page 4?  MS. O'DELL: Are we at 21 or 19?  MR. ZELLERS: Oh, I'm sorry.  I misspoke. Thank you, Ms. O'Dell. Yes. So let me ask that question again.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Turn, if you will, Doctor, to page 4 of Deposition Exhibit 19.  THE WITNESS: Ms. O'Dell, may I have I have some notes on this letter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"After consideration of the" A. My page 4 doesn't have findings and conclusions. "Epidemiology and etiology findings"? Q. Yes. So we're on the same page A. Above this (indicating)? Q. Underneath "epidemiology and etiology findings" A. Okay. Q if we go to the second paragraph, it states (as read):  "After consideration of the scientific literature submitted in support of both citizen petitions, FDA found" Are you with me? A. Yes, I am. Q. All right. No. 2 (as read):  "The FDA noted that no single study has considered all the factors that potentially contribute to ovarian cancer, including selection bias and/or uncontrolled confounding that

	Page 10: 239	415	
	Page 118		Page 120
1	ovarian cancer."	1	A. That's with regard in the first part of
2	Did I read that correctly?	2	their sentence to "no single study."
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Let's look at Conclusion 3.
4	Q. You would agree that there are limitations on	4	"The FDA concludes that results of
5	case-control studies; is that right?	5	case-control studies do not
6	A. Yes, there are.	6	
7		7	demonstrate a consistent positive
	Q. There are difficulties in interpreting a		association across studies."
8	retrospective case-control study; is that right?	8	Is that right?
9	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	9	MS. O'DELL: Objection.
10	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you	10	THE WITNESS: That's wrong. You read
11	mean by "difficulties."	11	it right; it's wrong.
12	BY MR. ZELLERS:	12	BY MR. ZELLERS:
13	Q. Well, are there limitations in interpreting a	13	Q. You disagree with the FDA's conclusion; is
14	retrospective case-control study?	14	that right?
15	A. There can be.	15	A. Yes.
16		16	
	Q. What are those limitations that you're aware		Q. And I'm going to ask you all about that
17	of based upon your experience?		today
18	A. Well, it depends upon how the study is	18	A. Okay.
19	designed, in terms of the size of the study, the	19	Q so you'll have to chance to tell me why
20	how the you know, recall issue is always an issue	20	you disagree.
21	when you're dealing with patients retrospectively.	21	Did the FDA also state that, at least based
22	There are similar problems in cohort studies	22	upon its review of the epidemiology and etiology
23	as well.	23	findings, that a dose response strike that that
24	Q. My question is very simple.	24	
25	What are you aware of in terms of	25	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
	what are you aware of in terms of		MS. O DELL. Object to the form.
	Page 119		Page 121
1	_	1	Page 121 THE WITNESS: And can you show me where
1 2	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?		THE WITNESS: And can you show me where
	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked	2	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that?
2 3	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.	2 3	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS:
3 4	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the
2 3 4 5	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement.
2 3 4 5 6	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in
2 3 4 5 6 7	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose
2 3 4 5 6	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response
2 3 4 5 6 7	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, you would agree that selection bias is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion. Q. Finally, the FDA found that "a cogent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, you would agree that selection bias is a potential concern in case-control studies; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion. Q. Finally, the FDA found that "a cogent biological mechanism was lacking." And I'm looking at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, you would agree that selection bias is a potential concern in case-control studies; correct?  A. It can be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion. Q. Finally, the FDA found that "a cogent biological mechanism was lacking." And I'm looking at number 4, "A cogent biological mechanism by which talc
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, you would agree that selection bias is a potential concern in case-control studies; correct?  A. It can be.  Q. And uncontrolled confounding is a potential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion. Q. Finally, the FDA found that "a cogent biological mechanism was lacking." And I'm looking at number 4, "A cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, you would agree that selection bias is a potential concern in case-control studies; correct?  A. It can be.  Q. And uncontrolled confounding is a potential concern in case-control studies; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion. Q. Finally, the FDA found that "a cogent biological mechanism was lacking." And I'm looking at number 4, "A cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking." Is that the statement of the FDA, at least
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, you would agree that selection bias is a potential concern in case-control studies; correct?  A. It can be.  Q. And uncontrolled confounding is a potential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion. Q. Finally, the FDA found that "a cogent biological mechanism was lacking." And I'm looking at number 4, "A cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, you would agree that selection bias is a potential concern in case-control studies; correct?  A. It can be.  Q. And uncontrolled confounding is a potential concern in case-control studies; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion. Q. Finally, the FDA found that "a cogent biological mechanism was lacking." And I'm looking at number 4, "A cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking." Is that the statement of the FDA, at least
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, you would agree that selection bias is a potential concern in case-control studies; correct?  A. It can be.  Q. And uncontrolled confounding is a potential concern in case-control studies; is that right?  A. Yes. But if your controls are well selected,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion. Q. Finally, the FDA found that "a cogent biological mechanism was lacking." And I'm looking at number 4, "A cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking." Is that the statement of the FDA, at least as of 2014? A. The statement goes on in the same sentence to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, you would agree that selection bias is a potential concern in case-control studies; correct?  A. It can be.  Q. And uncontrolled confounding is a potential concern in case-control studies; is that right?  A. Yes. But if your controls are well selected, then that negates much of the bias.  Q. And, at least in this document, the FDA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion. Q. Finally, the FDA found that "a cogent biological mechanism was lacking." And I'm looking at number 4, "A cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking." Is that the statement of the FDA, at least as of 2014? A. The statement goes on in the same sentence to say (as read):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, you would agree that selection bias is a potential concern in case-control studies; correct?  A. It can be.  Q. And uncontrolled confounding is a potential concern in case-control studies; is that right?  A. Yes. But if your controls are well selected, then that negates much of the bias.  Q. And, at least in this document, the FDA states that "those result in spurious positive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion. Q. Finally, the FDA found that "a cogent biological mechanism was lacking." And I'm looking at number 4, "A cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking." Is that the statement of the FDA, at least as of 2014? A. The statement goes on in the same sentence to say (as read): "Exposure to talc does not account
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	limitations of retrospective case-control studies?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. That generally apply to case-control studies.  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: Well, there are limitations in probably there's a variety of limitations, depending upon the particular studies.  So I think we would have to get down to a particular study. And I don't hang my weight or hang my hat or put the weight of my opinion on a single study.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, you would agree that selection bias is a potential concern in case-control studies; correct?  A. It can be.  Q. And uncontrolled confounding is a potential concern in case-control studies; is that right?  A. Yes. But if your controls are well selected, then that negates much of the bias.  Q. And, at least in this document, the FDA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: And can you show me where you're reading that? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sure. Conclusion 3, last part of the statement. A. There is dose response evidence. It's not in every single study, but we are aware of dose response Q. Doctor, my question was, was it the FDA's conclusion, based upon the epidemiology that it reviewed as of 2014, that dose response evidence is lacking? A. That's the FDA's opinion; that's not my opinion. Q. Finally, the FDA found that "a cogent biological mechanism was lacking." And I'm looking at number 4, "A cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking." Is that the statement of the FDA, at least as of 2014? A. The statement goes on in the same sentence to say (as read):

Page 122
1 cancer. I can't believe the FDA would even say

- 2 something like this.
- Q. Are you able to answer my question without
- 4 editorializing?
- 5 A. I answered your question. I have to finish
- 6 the whole sentence that you want me to read.
- Q. Did the FDA state, as of 2014, that "a cogent
- 8 biological mechanism by which talc might lead to
- 9 ovarian cancer is lacking"?
- 10 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked
- 11 and answered.
- THE WITNESS: That's what half of the
- 13 sentence says. That's what the FDA wrote.
- 14 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. All right. IARC, you're certainly familiar
- 16 with IARC. You brought your whole monograph here with
- 17 you today; is that right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. It's
- 20 not his monograph; it's not the whole monograph --
- 21 it's multiple monographs, as you know. So don't --
- 22 don't be --
- MR. ZELLERS: I haven't gone through it
- 24 page by page, but it looks like it's about a
- 25 2-inch-thick monograph that he brought with him today.

1 rejected classification of talc as carcinogenic and

Page 124

Page 125

- 2 instead assigned it to the classification of possibly
- 3 carcinogenic to humans?
- 4 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I think that was an IARC
- 6 publication in the mid 2000s. And I'm aware of it,
- <sup>7</sup> yes.
- 8 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. Are you generally familiar with the IARC
- 10 categories?
- 11 A. Generally, but I'm happy to walk through them
- 12 with you.
- Q. Sure. Doctor, I show you Exhibit 20.
- 14 (Exhibit No. 20 was marked for identification.)
- 15 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. This is a one-page listing of the agents
- 17 classified by the IARC monographs, Volumes 1 to 123,
- 18 and it lists out the different categories that IARC
- 19 classifies agents within.
- You're generally familiar with --
  - A Yes

21

- Q. -- with these classifications; is that right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Looking at Exhibit 20, there are 120 agents
- 25 in Group 1, "carcinogenic to humans"; is that right?

- 1 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. My question is, are you familiar with IARC?
- 3 A. I am.
- 4 Q. All right. IARC has addressed Bradford Hill
- 5 considerations with respect to talc used in a perineal
- 6 manner with respect to women -- is that right? -- in
- 7 ovarian cancer?
- 8 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: You're asking me a
- 10 question, not what the FDA is writing here now but
- 11 what IARC has said?
- 12 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. I'm now on to IARC. So let me ask my
- 14 question.
- Based upon your review of the IARC
- 16 monographs, it has addressed the Bradford Hill
- 17 considerations; is that right?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- Are you referring to all the monographs?
- 20 Are you referring to a certain topic that's --
- 21 because, as you know, there are multiple monographs
- 22 and they relate to different substances. So, for your
- <sup>23</sup> specific question, that might be helpful.
- 24 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Are you aware, Dr. Clarke-Pearson, that IARC

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. That's the only category in which IARC finds
- 3 sufficient evidence in humans; is that right?
- 4 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. And there's 82 agents in Group 2A, "probably
- 6 carcinogenic to humans"; is that right?
  - A. I see that.
- 8 Q. It appears that IARC isn't shy about
- <sup>9</sup> declaring something to be either a known or a probable
- 10 carcinogen; is that right?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I don't know about being
- 13 shy. They have their listing from their --
  - 14 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Well, they have over 200 agents in those two
- 16 categories; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. There's only one agent in Group 4, "probably
- 19 not carcinogenic to humans"; is that right?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: That's what it says.
- 22 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. So out of the over a thousand agents that
- 24 IARC has reviewed, IARC has placed only one agent in
- 25 Group 4, "probably not carcinogenic"?

Page 126 Page 128 1 A. Yes. <sup>1</sup> I just have a few general questions. Q. IARC doesn't have a Group 5, "not 2 A. All right. Well, please go ahead. 3 carcinogenic," do they? Q. Well, are you able to tell me, generally, A. Not on this sheet. 4 what association the literature reports between talc Q. With genital talc, IARC has classified use and ovarian cancer? 6 genital talc as a Group 2B category agent; is that A. The literature consistently shows an right? increased risk of developing ovarian cancer in women 8 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. that are exposed to talcum powder. 9 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. It's just Q. Generally, it's around a 1.3 odds ratio in 10 genital talc. Isn't the talcum powder of all forms? the case-control studies; is that fair? 11 BY MR. ZELLERS: 11 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 12 12 Q. Talcum powder is a Group 2B agent, "possibly THE WITNESS: I would acknowledge that, 13 yes. 13 carcinogenic to humans"; is that right? 14 A. Yes. 14 BY MR. ZELLERS: 15 Q. That designation is based, according to the Q. All right. Do you also acknowledge that the 16 IARC definitions, on limited evidence in humans; is epidemiologists consider a 1.3 odds ratio in case-control studies to be a weak or modest 17 that right? 18 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. association? 19 THE WITNESS: I would have to read what 19 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 20 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what they 20 is written. 21 BY MR. ZELLERS: mean by "weak" or "modest." 22 Q. Is it your understanding that, in classifying 22 BY MR. ZELLERS: 23 talcum powder as a Group 2B agent, that IARC cannot 23 Q. Would you categorize it as a weak or modest <sup>24</sup> rule out chance, bias, or confounding with reasonable 24 association? 25 confidence; correct? A. No. I would call it a statistically Page 127 Page 129 1 significant observation that impacts the lives of A. I suppose you're reading that from some IARC 2 thousands of women that I've taken care of over the 2 statement that I don't have, but... Q. That's generally your understanding; correct? 3 years and that, if talcum powder were not on the 4 market and being used in perineal hygiene, for lack of A. That would be generally my understanding, 5 yes. 5 a better word, many other women would not have died of 6 Q. Are you aware of some of the other agents ovarian cancer that I've taken care of. 7 that have been designated as 2B agents by IARC as MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as possibly carcinogenic? 8 nonresponsive. 9 A. I am not. 9 BY MR. ZELLERS: 10 Q. Ginkgo biloba? Are you familiar with that? Q. You are unaware as to whether or not an 11 epidemiologist would consider a 1.3 odds ratio in a 12 case-control study to be a weak or modest association; Q. Occupational carpentry and joinery? 13 MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry. I missed that is that right? 14 last one. What did you say? A. I don't understand the definition of "weak" 15 BY MR. ZELLERS: 15 or "modest." 16 16 Q. Occupational carpentry and joinery. Q. You're not an epidemiologist; is that right? 17 17 A. I was not aware of that. A. That's correct. 18 18 Q. Pickled vegetables? Q. Can you point to any peer-reviewed literature 19 A. I've heard that. on talc and ovarian cancer that states that 1.3 odds Q. All right. What association does the ratio is a strong association? 21 literature report between talc use and ovarian cancer? 21 A. I think --22 22 A. Well, now we move into looking at MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 23 THE WITNESS: -- it's a statistically <sup>23</sup> epidemiology, in my opinion.

25 If you need to look at your folders, please do. But

Q. Well, these are just a few general questions.

24 significant association that's been consistently

25 reported in case-control studies and in meta-analyses.

Page 130 Page 132 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. I take it that's no to my question. Is that THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that <sup>3</sup> right? And I'll ask it again if you'd like me to. 3 question --4 BY MR. ZELLERS: MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. <sup>5</sup> I think he answered your question. Q. I thought it was a good question. I can try THE WITNESS: I'm not aware that it's a 6 to do it again, but, did you not understand that <sup>7</sup> strong association or a weak association. It's a question? 8 statistically significant association. A. I think what you're trying to get at is does <sup>9</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS: talcum powder have equal carcinogenic effect resulting 10 in different types of epithelial ovarian cancers? Q. You cannot point me to any peer-reviewed 11 literature on talc and ovarian cancer that states that 11 Q. Yes. 12 A. Okay. So different types of epithelial 12 1.3 is a strong association; correct? 13 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked 13 ovarian cancers are separated into several -- and we 14 and answered. 14 believe there are several different mechanisms that 15 15 cause them. So in the past, they've been lumped into THE WITNESS: That's correct. 16 BY MR. ZELLERS: 16 epithelial ovarian cancers; but, in fact, the biology 17 of mucinous tumors -- cancers -- are different than Q. IARC does not refer to this as a strong 18 association; correct? serous cancers. 19 19 Based on the epidemiologic evidence that A. I'm not familiar with what IARC says. 20 20 I've seen, there is a preponderance of impact on women Q. FDA does not refer to this as a strong 21 association: correct? 21 that have serous carcinomas of the ovary, which is the 22 22 most common ovarian cancer; and because it is the most A. I'm not aware. 23 Q. The National Cancer Institute does not refer 23 common, it's more likely we're going to see a <sup>24</sup> to this as a strong association; correct? 24 statistical association as opposed to a rarer cancer 25 like a mucinous cancer. A. I'm not aware what they said about strong or Page 131 Page 133 1 weak. So that is my answer to your question. Q. Do your opinions on causation and strength of Q. Do your opinions as to talcum powder used in 3 association apply equally to all forms of ovarian 3 the perineal area being a risk factor and/or a 4 cancer? 4 causative factor for serous ovarian cancer also apply 5 to mucinous ovarian cancer? A. No. Q. Are you able to break down your opinion with A. I think the association is weaker for <sup>7</sup> respect to ovarian cancer? mucinous. A. Yeah. So there are three types of ovarian Q. How about for endometrioid? 9 cancer: germ cell, sex cord-stromal, and epithelial A. I think some studies have suggested 10 ovarian cancers. I have no evidence that sex endometrioid is increased risk with talcum powder. 11 cord-stromal tumors or germ cell tumors are associated 11 O. Is it weaker? 12 12 with the use of talcum powder, although they are rare A. Is it weaker? 13 13 cancers, so it would take much larger populations to O. Than serous. 14 really fully investigate that issue. 14 A. Than serous? I'm not certain of that. 15 15 Q. Do you -- strike that. Q. Clear cell, is it weaker than serous? 16 16 Does your opinion on strength of association A. I'm not certain of that because clear cell is 17 and causation apply equally to all forms of epithelial 17 a very rare cancer. 18 ovarian cancer? 18 Q. On page 8 of your report, you say that 19 19 A. Reading the literature, it appears that there (as read): 20 20 is some variation in terms of impact that talcum "The strength of association 21 powder might have on some forms of ovarian cancer. 21 between talcum powder and ovarian 22 Q. Tell us what your opinions with the different 22 cancer is critically important 23 subtypes of epithelial ovarian cancer and whether or 23 because of severity and frequency 24 not they are either a risk factor or a causative 24 of ovarian cancer." 25 <sup>25</sup> factor for ovarian cancer. Is that right?

Page 134 Page 136 1 A. That's what I say. 1 exhibit copy. 2 Q. Do you believe that ovarian cancer is a A. Sure. 3 frequently occurring disease? 3 Q. We have marked this one as Exhibit 21. A. In my practice it is. It occurs in 22,400 (Exhibit No. 21 was marked for identification.) 5 5 women a year in the United States, and about 14,000 of THE WITNESS: Okay. 6 those women will ultimately die of their cancer. MS. O'DELL: Feel free to look at your Q. What is your support for that? own copy if you'd rather, Doctor. A. My support for that data, the incidence of BY MR. ZELLERS: 9 ovarian cancer? Q. Do you have Exhibit 21? 10 Q. Yes. 10 A. Yes. You gave me two copies. Here, let me 11 A. Well, I may have rounded it off and it may 11 give you one back. 12 not be exact, but the American -- I mean the American 12 Q. Ah, okay. 13 Cancer Society, the SEER database. Those would be two 13 You have both the exhibit copy I gave you, 14 sources of information that count the annual incidence which is not highlighted, and you have your own 15 of ovarian cancer and the mortality from ovarian personal highlighted copy of the study; is that right? 16 cancer. 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 17 Q. When you examine a causation, are you more Q. On page 7 of your report, you address this 18 likely to consider a lower association causal if the meta-analysis by Langseth; is that right? disease is severe or frequent? 19 A. I've lost track of my report, but as soon as 20 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 20 I get to it -- here we go. 21 THE WITNESS: Let me read your question 21 Q. Your report is Exhibit 5; is that right? 22 A. I have one that's not marked, but go ahead. 22 again. I'm not sure what you mean by "lower 23 23 Q. Well, turn to page 7. association." 24 A. Mm-hmm. 25 25 Q. And do you see in your chart you have Page 135 Page 137 1 identified Langseth as one of the six articles that 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: 2 you have pulled out and highlighted in your paper; is Q. You have told us in your report that "the 3 that right? 3 strength of association between talcum powder and 4 ovarian cancer is critically important because of the A. Yes. <sup>5</sup> severity and frequency of ovarian cancer." Q. And you list the odds ratio found by Langseth 6 Is that right? 6 and the other authors in that paper to be 1.40; is 7 A. Yes, that's right. that right? 8 Q. My question is, when you examine causation, A. That's correct. <sup>9</sup> are you more likely to consider a lower association Q. Go to Figure 1 on page 359 of the Langseth 10 causal if the disease is severe or frequent? 10 article, Exhibit 21. 11 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 11 Do you have that? 12 12 THE WITNESS: No, it doesn't have A. Yes. 13 anything to do with my opinion as to what the 13 Q. And Langseth lists 20 case-control studies; <sup>14</sup> causation is. 14 is that right? 15 BY MR. ZELLERS: 15 A. I believe so. 16 Q. Langseth, 2008, that is a study that you have Q. Of those 20 studies, only 10 have 17 reviewed and that you rely upon for your opinions in 17 statistically significant results; is that right? 18 18 this case; is that right? A. I'm going to have to go through each one, so 19 A. I believe so. It's one of the meta-analyses, 19 give me a moment here. 20 as I recall. I count 11. 21 Q. Are you familiar with the Langseth 21 Q. You count 11 that found a statistical 22 publication? significance? 23 23 A. I have read it, and I think it's of value, A. Where the confidence interval does not 24 but --24 overlap 1. 25 Q. Take a look at -- I'm going to hand you the 25 Q. Well, we have Cramer; correct?

	Daniel Pagelo 239	4ZU	Pearson, M.D.
	Page 138		Page 140
1	A. Yes.	1	what 10 out of 20 we're talking about.
2	Q. Second, Harlow; correct?	2	MS. O'DELL: Sorry, Doctor. Object to
3	A. Yes.	3	the form. Asked and answered.
4	Q. Cramer again; correct?	4	You may answer his question.
5	A. Yes.	5	BY MR. ZELLERS:
6	Q. Purdie; is that right?	6	Q. Generally, if you flip a coin 20 times, are
7	A. Yes.	7	you going to get 10 heads and 10 tails?
8	Q. Chang?	8	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
9	A. Yes.	9	THE WITNESS: Statistically, yes.
10	Q. Cook?	10	BY MR. ZELLERS:
11	A. Yes.	11	Q. All right. Is it your opinion that 10 out of
12	Q. Green?		20 means there are consistent results across
13	A. Yep.	13	studies
14	Q. Cramer?	14	
15		15	A. That's where a meta-analysis puts weight onto some studies more than others.
16	A. Yep.	16	
	Q. Ness?		Q. The
17	A. Yes.	17	A and comes up with a conclusion that this
18	Q. Mills?		is a statistically significant finding, pooling all of
19	A. Yes.	1	these papers.
20	Q. That's 10. You see another one?	20	Q. Langseth is just looking at the case-control
21	A. Okay. I'm sorry. I counted the pooled odds	1	studies; is that right?
22	ratio population-based studies. So 10. Yes, I agree	22	A. Yes.
	with you.	23	Q. Langseth concluded and the authors
24	Q. So out of the 20 case-control studies that	1	concluded that causation should be rejected and
25	are cited by Langseth and that you rely on for your	25	that more study is needed; is that right?
	Page 139		Page 141
	E		$\epsilon$
1	opinions in this matter, only 10 of the 20 have	1	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
	opinions in this matter, only 10 of the 20 have statistically significant results: is that right?	1 2	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where
	statistically significant results; is that right?		THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where
2	statistically significant results; is that right? A. Yes.	2 3	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.
3	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that	2 3	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written. BY MR. ZELLERS:
2 3 4 5	statistically significant results; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath
2 3 4 5 6	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research
2 3 4 5 6 7	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"?  A. Yes.  Q. (As read):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"? A. Yes. Q. (As read): "The current body of experimental
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"?  A. Yes.  Q. (As read):  "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"?  A. Yes.  Q. (As read):  "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"? A. Yes. Q. (As read): "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"?  A. Yes.  Q. (As read):  "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.  BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"?  A. Yes.  Q. (As read):  "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk."  Did I read that correctly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"? A. Yes. Q. (As read): "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk." Did I read that correctly? A. You read that correctly.
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again. I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"? A. Yes. Q. (As read): "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk." Did I read that correctly? A. You read that correctly. Q. Would you agree that you're drawing
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"?  A. Yes.  Q. (As read):  "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk."  Did I read that correctly?  A. You read that correctly.  Q. Would you agree that you're drawing conclusions from this study that are broader than the
2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: You're misusing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"? A. Yes. Q. (As read):     "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk." Did I read that correctly? A. You read that correctly. Q. Would you agree that you're drawing conclusions from this study that are broader than the study authors' own conclusions?
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: You're misusing epidemiologic data.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"? A. Yes. Q. (As read): "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk." Did I read that correctly? A. You read that correctly. Q. Would you agree that you're drawing conclusions from this study that are broader than the study authors' own conclusions? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: You're misusing epidemiologic data.  BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"?  A. Yes.  Q. (As read):  "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk."  Did I read that correctly?  A. You read that correctly.  Q. Would you agree that you're drawing conclusions from this study that are broader than the study authors' own conclusions?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: My opinion is not based
2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: You're misusing epidemiologic data.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"?  A. Yes.  Q. (As read):  "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk."  Did I read that correctly?  A. You read that correctly.  Q. Would you agree that you're drawing conclusions from this study that are broader than the study authors' own conclusions?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: My opinion is not based on just this study; it's based on all of the studies
2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: You're misusing epidemiologic data.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"? A. Yes. Q. (As read): "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk." Did I read that correctly? A. You read that correctly. Q. Would you agree that you're drawing conclusions from this study that are broader than the study authors' own conclusions?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: My opinion is not based on just this study; it's based on all of the studies that I have in my report where there's a consistency
2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: You're misusing epidemiologic data.  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"? A. Yes. Q. (As read):     "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk."     Did I read that correctly? A. You read that correctly. Q. Would you agree that you're drawing conclusions from this study that are broader than the study authors' own conclusions?     MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.     THE WITNESS: My opinion is not based on just this study; it's based on all of the studies that I have in my report where there's a statistically
2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statistically significant results; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this the first time that you've done that exercise, that you've actually looked at the 20 studies and determined that only 10 of them have statistically significant results?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: No. I didn't go through every to count let me read your question again.  I was not aware of the exact count that you brought to my attention. On the other hand, I think that this paper results in a statistically significant finding. That's the beauty of a meta-analysis.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: You're misusing epidemiologic data.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Would you agree that 10 out of 20 is no better than a coin toss?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: I'd have to see where that's written.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Well, look under so same page, underneath our table, see where it says "Proposal to research community"?  A. Yes.  Q. (As read):  "The current body of experimental and epidemiological evidence is insufficient to establish a causal association between perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer risk."  Did I read that correctly?  A. You read that correctly.  Q. Would you agree that you're drawing conclusions from this study that are broader than the study authors' own conclusions?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: My opinion is not based on just this study; it's based on all of the studies that I have in my report where there's a consistency

	Daniei Pageloi 239	421	
	Page 142		Page 144
1	perineal talc. Those confidence intervals in all of	1	A. That's right.
	those meta-analyses are statistically significant.	2	Q. You just discuss the case-control studies and
3	MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as		then the meta-analyses; is that right?
	nonresponsive.	4	A. That's correct.
5	BY MR. ZELLERS	5	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
6	Q. Are these at least with the Langseth	6	BY MR. ZELLERS
7	paper, you've gone further than what the authors have	7	Q. The cohort studies do not show a
8	concluded; correct?	8	statistically significant association between talc use
9	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	9	and ovarian cancer; is that right?
10	THE WITNESS: I'm developing my opinion	10	A. The cohort studies were not designed to
1	on the totality of the evidence that I have reviewed.	11	answer that question. They're poorly done and I don't
12	BY MR. ZELLERS:	12	think contribute to this discussion.
13	Q. Please answer my question. Just on the	13	Q. Is that a "yes," that the cohort studies do
14	Langseth paper	14	not show a statistically significant association
15	A. My opinion is not based on the Langseth	15	between talc use and ovarian cancer?
16	paper.	16	A. The way they're written and studied and
17	Q. I understand. But with respect to Langseth	17	reported, you're correct.
18	and the opinions that you've drawn from Langseth,	18	Q. Berge 2017, that's a paper you've got in one
19	you've gone further in your conclusions than the	19	of your folders that we went through earlier today.
20	Langseth paper authors; correct?	20	And you're generally familiar with that study; is that
21	A. No, I do not.	21	right?
22	MS. O'DELL: Excuse me.	22	A. Yes.
23	Object to the form. Misstates his	23	Q. In Berge, the authors concluded that
24	testimony.	24	(as read):
25	You may repeat your answer if you'd like.	25	"The positive association between
	D 410	-	D 145
	Page 143		Page 145
1	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not	1	talc use and ovarian cancer
	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not	1 2	talc use and ovarian cancer
2	_		talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous
2 3	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of	2	talc use and ovarian cancer
2 3	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion.	2 3	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies."
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:	3 4	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill	2 3 4 5	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that?
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under  "Epidemiology," you state (as read):  "When looking at these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all. And, in fact, these meta-analyses, in many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under "Epidemiology," you state (as read):  "When looking at these epidemiologic studies and their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all. And, in fact, these meta-analyses, in many cases, included the cohort studies and still came out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under  "Epidemiology," you state (as read):  "When looking at these epidemiologic studies and their totality, the data shows a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all. And, in fact, these meta-analyses, in many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under "Epidemiology," you state (as read):  "When looking at these epidemiologic studies and their totality, the data shows a consistent statistically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all. And, in fact, these meta-analyses, in many cases, included the cohort studies and still came out with statistically significant increased risk of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under "Epidemiology," you state (as read):  "When looking at these epidemiologic studies and their totality, the data shows a consistent statistically significant increased risk of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all. And, in fact, these meta-analyses, in many cases, included the cohort studies and still came out with statistically significant increased risk of ovarian cancer. BY MR. ZELLERS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under "Epidemiology," you state (as read):  "When looking at these epidemiologic studies and their totality, the data shows a consistent statistically significant increased risk of developing EOC [epithelial ovarian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all. And, in fact, these meta-analyses, in many cases, included the cohort studies and still came out with statistically significant increased risk of ovarian cancer. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. It was appropriate for you to exclude the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under "Epidemiology," you state (as read):  "When looking at these epidemiologic studies and their totality, the data shows a consistent statistically significant increased risk of developing EOC [epithelial ovarian cancer] with perineal talcum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all. And, in fact, these meta-analyses, in many cases, included the cohort studies and still came out with statistically significant increased risk of ovarian cancer. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. It was appropriate for you to exclude the cohort studies from your discussion; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under "Epidemiology," you state (as read):  "When looking at these epidemiologic studies and their totality, the data shows a consistent statistically significant increased risk of developing EOC [epithelial ovarian cancer] with perineal talcum powder use."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all. And, in fact, these meta-analyses, in many cases, included the cohort studies and still came out with statistically significant increased risk of ovarian cancer. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. It was appropriate for you to exclude the cohort studies from your discussion; correct? MS. O'DELL: Object
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under "Epidemiology," you state (as read):  "When looking at these epidemiologic studies and their totality, the data shows a consistent statistically significant increased risk of developing EOC [epithelial ovarian cancer] with perineal talcum powder use."  Is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all. And, in fact, these meta-analyses, in many cases, included the cohort studies and still came out with statistically significant increased risk of ovarian cancer. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. It was appropriate for you to exclude the cohort studies from your discussion; correct? MS. O'DELL: Object THE WITNESS: I did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under "Epidemiology," you state (as read):  "When looking at these epidemiologic studies and their totality, the data shows a consistent statistically significant increased risk of developing EOC [epithelial ovarian cancer] with perineal talcum powder use."  Is that right?  A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all. And, in fact, these meta-analyses, in many cases, included the cohort studies and still came out with statistically significant increased risk of ovarian cancer. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. It was appropriate for you to exclude the cohort studies from your discussion; correct? MS. O'DELL: Object THE WITNESS: I did MS. O'DELL: Excuse me. Object to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: My conclusions are not based on only Langseth. That is a piece of information that I've used in formulating my opinion. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Consistency is one of the Bradford Hill factors; is that right?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. On page 6 of your report, you discuss the epidemiological studies on talcum powder and ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. In the second paragraph, under "Epidemiology," you state (as read):  "When looking at these epidemiologic studies and their totality, the data shows a consistent statistically significant increased risk of developing EOC [epithelial ovarian cancer] with perineal talcum powder use."  Is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	talc use and ovarian cancer appears to be limited to serous histologic type and to case-control studies." Do you agree with that? A. Yes. Q. How can you validate completely excluding cohort studies from your discussion? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because I don't think they contribute one way or the other. They're poorly designed, poorly executed, and the data that they provide does not inform us at all. And, in fact, these meta-analyses, in many cases, included the cohort studies and still came out with statistically significant increased risk of ovarian cancer. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. It was appropriate for you to exclude the cohort studies from your discussion; correct? MS. O'DELL: Object THE WITNESS: I did

	Pagent. 239	422	
	Page 146		Page 148
1	THE WITNESS: This table back here	1	Q. You're aware that one of the studies
2	that's got all these papers on it, we excluded.	2	
3	They're not in my discussion. I considered them, and	3	<u>r</u>
	I didn't think that they contributed to the	4	study; right?
	information that I needed to present in my report.	5	A. I believe so.
6	BY MR. ZELLERS:	6	Q. How did you make a determination to weigh
7	Q. You state that Penninkilampi shows that the	7	Penninkilampi more heavily than Berge?
8	cohort studies support a statistically well, strike	8	They're both meta-analyses; correct?
9	that.	9	A. Right.
10	I want to ask you a few questions about the	10	Q. Why did you make a determination to weigh
11	cohort studies.	11	Penninkilampi 2018 and place greater weight on it than
12	Did you review the Gates 2010 cohort study?	12	the Berge study?
13	A. Yes.	13	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
14	Q. The Gates 2010 cohort study found that there	14	THE WITNESS: I don't think
15	was not a statistically significant relationship for	15	I necessarily placed greater weight on it. I've told
16	the serous invasive subtype of ovarian cancer; is that	16	you how I weight studies, and they all contribute to
17	right?	17	
18	A. I believe that's true, from my recollection.	18	BY MR. ZELLERS:
19	Q. Berge 2017 shows that the cohort studies do	19	Q. Did you well, strike that.
20	not support a statistically significant relationship	20	Isn't it a problem that Penninkilampi 2018
21	between perineal talc use and ovarian cancer for any	21	does not factor in the data from the Gates 2010 study,
22	subtype; is that right?	22	given that the Gates study tends to negate an
23	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	23	association between perineal talc use and ovarian
24	THE WITNESS: This is Berge's analysis	24	
25	of the cohort studies and Berge's meta-analysis. Is	25	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
	of the conort statics and Berge's meta analysis. Is		man o 22221 coject to the form
	Page 147		Page 149
	that the paper you're talking about?	1	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you
	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS:	1 2	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to
	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017.		THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you
2	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS:	2	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it. BY MR. ZELLERS:
2 3 4	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017.	2 3	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that
2 3 4	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left	3 4	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that Penninkilampi reports is accurate?
2 3 4 5	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says.	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that
2 3 4 5 6	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that Penninkilampi reports is accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read):	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read): "This positive association appears	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that  Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read): "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read): "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that  Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read): "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that  Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read):  "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies."  We covered that earlier; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that  Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read):  "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies."  We covered that earlier; correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that  Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read): "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies." We covered that earlier; correct? A. Yes. MS. O'DELL: What page, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Did you verify that the data that Penninkilampi reports is accurate? A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables? Q. Have you strike that. Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Did you go back to verify that Penninkilampi
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read):  "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies."  We covered that earlier; correct? A. Yes.  MS. O'DELL: What page, please? MR. ZELLERS: Page 6.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that  Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you go back to verify that Penninkilampi was correct in his reporting of the results of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read): "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies." We covered that earlier; correct? A. Yes. MS. O'DELL: What page, please? MR. ZELLERS: Page 6. BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that  Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you go back to verify that Penninkilampi was correct in his reporting of the results of those individual studies?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read): "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies." We covered that earlier; correct? A. Yes. MS. O'DELL: What page, please? MR. ZELLERS: Page 6. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. We're in agreement on that; correct, Doctor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Did you verify that the data that Penninkilampi reports is accurate? A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables? Q. Have you strike that. Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Did you go back to verify that Penninkilampi was correct in his reporting of the results of those individual studies? A. Yeah, that's the question I was just asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read):  "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies."  We covered that earlier; correct? A. Yes.  MS. O'DELL: What page, please?  MR. ZELLERS: Page 6. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. We're in agreement on that; correct, Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that  Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you go back to verify that Penninkilampi was correct in his reporting of the results of those individual studies?  A. Yeah, that's the question I was just asking you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read):  "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies."  We covered that earlier; correct? A. Yes.  MS. O'DELL: What page, please?  MR. ZELLERS: Page 6. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. We're in agreement on that; correct, Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Give him a moment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you go back to verify that Penninkilampi was correct in his reporting of the results of those individual studies?  A. Yeah, that's the question I was just asking you.  No, I did not go back.
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read):  "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies."  We covered that earlier; correct? A. Yes.  MS. O'DELL: What page, please?  MR. ZELLERS: Page 6. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. We're in agreement on that; correct, Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Give him a moment.  THE WITNESS: Yes, he says that in his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you go back to verify that Penninkilampi was correct in his reporting of the results of those individual studies?  A. Yeah, that's the question I was just asking you.  No, I did not go back.  Q. In determining the study is of high quality,
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read):  "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies."  We covered that earlier; correct? A. Yes.  MS. O'DELL: What page, please?  MR. ZELLERS: Page 6. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. We're in agreement on that; correct, Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Give him a moment.  THE WITNESS: Yes, he says that in his abstract.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you go back to verify that Penninkilampi was correct in his reporting of the results of those individual studies?  A. Yeah, that's the question I was just asking you.  No, I did not go back.  Q. In determining the study is of high quality, would it be important to you that the authors are accurately reporting the odds ratios and the
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read):  "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies."  We covered that earlier; correct? A. Yes.  MS. O'DELL: What page, please?  MR. ZELLERS: Page 6. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. We're in agreement on that; correct, Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Give him a moment.  THE WITNESS: Yes, he says that in his abstract. BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you go back to verify that Penninkilampi was correct in his reporting of the results of those individual studies?  A. Yeah, that's the question I was just asking you.  No, I did not go back.  Q. In determining the study is of high quality, would it be important to you that the authors are accurately reporting the odds ratios and the confidence intervals?
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that the paper you're talking about? BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 2017. A. I presume, if you're reading it, that's what he says. Q. Well, I'm looking at Berge 2017, page 6, left column, at the bottom (as read):  "This positive association appears to be limited to serous histologic type and the case-control studies."  We covered that earlier; correct? A. Yes.  MS. O'DELL: What page, please?  MR. ZELLERS: Page 6. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. We're in agreement on that; correct, Doctor?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Give him a moment.  THE WITNESS: Yes, he says that in his abstract. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. You were aware that Berge 2017 included the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I can't explain to you what Penninkilampi was thinking or why he chose to exclude it.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did you verify that the data that Penninkilampi reports is accurate?  A. Have I gone through every single case-control study and verified every number that's in his tables?  Q. Have you strike that.  Penninkilampi purports to report odds ratios, lower limits and upper limits, for the individual studies; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you go back to verify that Penninkilampi was correct in his reporting of the results of those individual studies?  A. Yeah, that's the question I was just asking you.  No, I did not go back.  Q. In determining the study is of high quality, would it be important to you that the authors are accurately reporting the odds ratios and the

Page 150 Page 152 1 process that resulted in this publication. <sup>1</sup> May of 2018, European Journal of Cancer Prevention. 2 BY MR. ZELLERS: <sup>2</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Okay. So let's do this: Doctor, if you Q. If there were errors in reporting of the odds 4 ratios or the confidence intervals, would that call 4 don't mind, hand me your copy. We'll mark that as into question the reliability of the study? 5 Deposition Exhibit 23. 6 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. MR. ZELLERS: For right now, I'm going 7 THE WITNESS: It might. to just put a No. 23. And, Ms. Court Reporter, if, at a break, you can put an official sticker on it. BY MR. ZELLERS: MS. O'DELL: I hate to even say this, 9 Q. Of the histological subtypes for epithelial 10 ovarian cancer, do you consider endometrioid and clear but did we mark 22? 11 cell to be related? 11 MR. ZELLERS: Yes. So Deposition 12 A. No. 12 Exhibit 22 is the Berge 2017 paper. 13 13 Deposition Exhibit 23 is the Berge Q. You do not consider endometrioid and clear 14 cell ovarian cancer to be related? publication that appeared in the European Journal of 15 A. Only related in they fall into the Cancer Prevention, dated May 2018. 16 classification of epithelial ovarian cancers. 16 (Exhibit Nos. 22 and 23 were marked for 17 17 Q. Penninkilampi only found a statistically identification.) 18 significant increased risk for serous and endometrioid 18 BY MR. ZELLERS: ovarian cancers; is that right? 19 Q. So I'm going to hand both of these back to 20 A. Okay. Yes. you, Dr. Clarke-Pearson. 21 21 MS. O'DELL: Let -- excuse me, Doctor. MR. ZELLERS: I'm going to hand out my 22 If you need to look at the -exhibit copies to counsel. 23 23 BY MR. ZELLERS: Let me also, just so we have it in the Q. You have Penninkilampi in front of you, record, we'll mark as Deposition Exhibit 24 the 25 right, Doctor? Penninkilampi meta-analysis that's referred to in the Page 151 Page 153 1 A. I have. 1 doctor's report. Q. And if you need to take any more time to (Exhibit No. 24 was marked for identification.) 3 answer any of my questions, please do. 3 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. All right, Doctor. Can I ask you some more Q. Penninkilampi did not find a statistically 5 questions? 6 significant increased risk for clear cell or mucinous A. Let's go for it. <sup>7</sup> ovarian cancer; is that right? Q. Does it make sense that an environmental A. Can you show me where you're reading it from? 8 exposure could increase the risk for endometrioid 9 Q. Sure. Take a look at the abstract for the ovarian cancer but not clear cell ovarian cancer? 10 results. 10 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 11 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. A. He says he found an increased risk of serous 12 and endometrioid but not mucinous or clear cell. 12 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And that's where I was going to. So our 13 Q. How do you explain that finding? record is complete, let's mark -- well, let's mark A. Well, we've talked about mutations both Berge 2017 -- we'll mark Berge 2017. previously, and I'll bring it up again, that different 16 MS. O'DELL: Mike, I think there's an mutations occur that result in different types of 17 updated Berge publication, 2018. Do you have the most cancers. And so the ovarian epithelium being exposed 18 up to date? to talcum powder may develop different cancers, 19 MR. ZELLERS: Asking him a question depending upon the impact that talcum powder and 20 about the Berge publication copyrighted 2017 that 20 its products have on that particular cell. 21 appeared in "Genital Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Q. Do you believe -- and, I think, as you told 22 Cancer, a Meta-analysis." That's the one that I'm us earlier -- that you find a stronger association 23 referring to and I believe the one that the doctor has between perineal talcum powder use and serous ovarian 24 identified in his materials. 24 cancer than you find for endometrioid, clear cell, or

THE WITNESS: Actually, mine is from

25

25 mucinous ovarian cancer; is that right?

	Page 10: 239	+24	
	Page 154		Page 156
1	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	1	exposure at one point in time and never followed the
2	THE WITNESS: I think serous has the	2	patients subsequent to that to get some idea of
3	strongest association. But in some studies we see,	3	frequency of use, whether the patient continued to use
4	just as you're quoting from the whichever the study	4	the talcum powder so that the real question is ever
5	is that we're looking at, that endometrioid the	5	use. We don't know duration and frequency from these
6	Penninkilampi study so serous and endometrioid is	6	cohort.
7	increased.	7	MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as
8	BY MR. ZELLERS:	8	nonresponsive.
9	Q. But not clear cell or mucinous; correct?	9	MS. O'DELL: Oppose the motion.
10	A. That's correct in this one study.	10	MR. ZELLERS: And, Counsel,
11	Q. Do you believe that Penninkilampi 2018	11	I understand that anytime I do that, you will oppose
12	provides evidence that there's a biologically	12	
13	plausible mechanism by which talc can cause ovarian	13	MS. O'DELL: I just wanted to make it
14	cancer?	14	clear. Didn't want you to think I was asleep over
15	A. I don't recall, and I'm not seeing it as I do	1	here.
16	a quick scan, that he addresses mechanisms of	16	MR. ZELLERS: I'm going to ask my
17	cancer carcinogenesis. I wouldn't expect that in	17	question again.
18	an epidemiologic study.	18	BY MR. ZELLERS:
19	Q. Penninkilampi specifically states that	19	Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, all of the cohort studies
20	(as read):	20	
21	"A certain causal link between	21	A. They're prospective except for the fact that
22	talc use and ovarian cancer has	22	they don't continue to evaluate the ongoing use of
23	not been established."	23	talc in these patients. It was a point in time that
24	Correct?		
25		24	the patient was asked whether she did or didn't use talc.
25	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	25	taic.
	D 155		D 157
	Page 155		Page 157
1	THE WITNESS: That's what he has	1	_
1 2	THE WITNESS: That's what he has	1 2	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the
	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.		Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control
2	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a	2	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the
2 3	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?	2 3	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from	2 3 4 5	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.  Q. Recall bias is a concern in every
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.	2 3 4 5	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.	2 3 4 5 6	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.  Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.  Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.  Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.  Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true. Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct? A. Yes. Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.  Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true. Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct? A. Yes. Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Let me read your question again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not yet been established."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.  Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Let me read your question
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not yet been established."  Did I read that correctly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.  Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Let me read your question again.  Recall bias has that risk of not being able to analyze the data.
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not yet been established."  Did I read that correctly?  A. I'm sorry. I'm losing track of where you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true. Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct? A. Yes. Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Let me read your question again.  Recall bias has that risk of not being able to analyze the data. BY MR. ZELLERS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not yet been established."  Did I read that correctly?  A. I'm sorry. I'm losing track of where you are. Are you up here?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true. Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct? A. Yes. Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Let me read your question again.  Recall bias has that risk of not being able to analyze the data.  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. For example, recall bias could distort
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not yet been established."  Did I read that correctly?  A. I'm sorry. I'm losing track of where you are. Are you up here?  Q. Right here (indicating).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.  Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Let me read your question again.  Recall bias has that risk of not being able to analyze the data.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. For example, recall bias could distort results if women with ovarian cancer were more likely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not yet been established."  Did I read that correctly?  A. I'm sorry. I'm losing track of where you are. Are you up here?  Q. Right here (indicating).  A. Okay. Yes, you read it correctly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true. Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct? A. Yes. Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Let me read your question again.  Recall bias has that risk of not being able to analyze the data. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. For example, recall bias could distort results if women with ovarian cancer were more likely to remember their exposure to talc than women without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not yet been established."  Did I read that correctly?  A. I'm sorry. I'm losing track of where you are. Are you up here?  Q. Right here (indicating).  A. Okay. Yes, you read it correctly.  Q. Cohort studies are not affected by recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true. Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct? A. Yes. Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Let me read your question again.  Recall bias has that risk of not being able to analyze the data.  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. For example, recall bias could distort results if women with ovarian cancer were more likely to remember their exposure to talc than women without ovarian cancer; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not yet been established."  Did I read that correctly?  A. I'm sorry. I'm losing track of where you are. Are you up here?  Q. Right here (indicating).  A. Okay. Yes, you read it correctly.  Q. Cohort studies are not affected by recall bias; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true. Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct? A. Yes. Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Let me read your question again.  Recall bias has that risk of not being able to analyze the data. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. For example, recall bias could distort results if women with ovarian cancer were more likely to remember their exposure to talc than women without ovarian cancer; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not yet been established."  Did I read that correctly?  A. I'm sorry. I'm losing track of where you are. Are you up here?  Q. Right here (indicating).  A. Okay. Yes, you read it correctly.  Q. Cohort studies are not affected by recall bias; is that right?  A. Not by recall bias, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.  Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Let me read your question again.  Recall bias has that risk of not being able to analyze the data.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. For example, recall bias could distort results if women with ovarian cancer were more likely to remember their exposure to talc than women without ovarian cancer; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: The issue in these large
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not yet been established."  Did I read that correctly?  A. I'm sorry. I'm losing track of where you are. Are you up here?  Q. Right here (indicating).  A. Okay. Yes, you read it correctly.  Q. Cohort studies are not affected by recall bias; is that right?  A. Not by recall bias, no.  Q. All of the cohort studies were prospective as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true. Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct? A. Yes. Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Let me read your question again.  Recall bias has that risk of not being able to analyze the data.  BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. For example, recall bias could distort results if women with ovarian cancer were more likely to remember their exposure to talc than women without ovarian cancer; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: The issue in these large case-control trials is that we have many, many more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: That's what he has written, and you've read it correctly.  MS. O'DELL: Are you reading at a certain page, Counsel?  MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I was reading from page 42, the end of the first paragraph.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Did I read that correctly? It's the last statement in the first paragraph in the left-hand side (as read):  "A certain causal link between talc use and ovarian cancer has not yet been established."  Did I read that correctly?  A. I'm sorry. I'm losing track of where you are. Are you up here?  Q. Right here (indicating).  A. Okay. Yes, you read it correctly.  Q. Cohort studies are not affected by recall bias; is that right?  A. Not by recall bias, no.  Q. All of the cohort studies were prospective as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. The cohort studies were not subject to the same selection bias as retrospective case-control studies; is that right?  A. That's true.  Q. Recall bias is a concern in every retrospective study; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Recall bias can distort a scientific evaluation of whether an exposure is actually related to a disease; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Let me read your question again.  Recall bias has that risk of not being able to analyze the data.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. For example, recall bias could distort results if women with ovarian cancer were more likely to remember their exposure to talc than women without ovarian cancer; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: The issue in these large case-control trials is that we have many, many more women in them that have ovarian cancer. And,

		Daniel Page D. 239	425	Pearson, M.D.
	_	Page 158		Page 160
		worked out in most cases, and there is a consistency		case; is that right?
		across all of these studies.	2	A. Yes.
		BY MR. ZELLERS:	3	Q. Schildkraut 2016 looked at, among other
	4	Q. I'm going to ask you about consistency. I'm	4	things, what impact, if any, lawsuit filings in 2014
	5	going to ask you about confounding factors. But, for	5	had had on whether women recalled using talc in the
		right now, please try to answer my question.	6	past; is that right?
	7	Recall bias could distort results if women	7	A. I think she tried to evaluate that, yes.
	8	with ovarian cancer were more likely to remember their	8	Q. The authors thought that the publicity from
	9	exposure to talc than women without ovarian cancer;	9	the lawsuits might influence the participants' recall
	10		10	of prior body powder use; is that right?
	11	A. Yes, that could distort the results.	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. Recall bias could explain the fact that some	12	Q. If we go to page 4 of Exhibit 25
-	13	retrospective case-control studies have found a	13	A. Page 1414, Table 2?
-	14	, , ,	14	Q. Yeah. Page 1414, Table 2, the second column
	15	powder and ovarian cancer but the cohort studies have	15	shows the number of cases. That's women with ovarian
	16	not; correct?	16	cancer; is that right?
	17	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	17	A. Yes.
	18	THE WITNESS: (As read):	18	Q. The third column shows the controls. Those
	19	"Recall bias could explain the	19	are the women who do not have ovarian cancer; is that
	20	fact that some retrospective	20	right?
	21	case-control studies have found a	21	A. That's correct.
	22	statistically significant	22	Q. Looking at this data, before 2014, before the
	23	relationship between talcum powder		lawsuits, the percentage of controls meaning women
	24	and ovarian cancer?"	24	without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on
2	25	Yes, that's true.	25	their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?
- 1				
-		Page 159		Page 161
	1	Page 159 And then you go on to say "but the cohort	1	Page 161  A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is
	1 2	_		_
		And then you go on to say "but the cohort		A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is
	2	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."	2	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?
	2 3 4	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant	2 3 4	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure,"
	2 3 4 5	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies	2 3 4	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines
	2 3 4 5	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort	2 3 4 5	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than
	2 3 4 5 6	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate	2 3 4 5 6	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they
-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that
1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?
-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date
1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that right?  A. About what response?  Q. About dose response.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date less than 2014, never used."  Q. Then you go down to "any genital use."  A. Okay. "Any genital use, 34 percent," yes.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that right?  A. About what response?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date less than 2014, never used."  Q. Then you go down to "any genital use."  A. Okay. "Any genital use, 34 percent," yes. I see what you're saying.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that right?  A. About what response?  Q. About dose response.  A. Dose response? That's one of the studies.  Q. All right. Take a look, if you will, please,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay. Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date less than 2014, never used." Q. Then you go down to "any genital use." A. Okay. "Any genital use, 34 percent," yes. I see what you're saying. Q. And then the percentage of cases meaning
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that right?  A. About what response?  Q. About dose response.  A. Dose response? That's one of the studies.  Q. All right. Take a look, if you will, please, at Deposition Exhibit 25, which is the Schildkraut	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date less than 2014, never used."  Q. Then you go down to "any genital use."  A. Okay. "Any genital use, 34 percent," yes. I see what you're saying.  Q. And then the percentage of cases meaning women with ovarian cancer that they said used talc
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that right?  A. About what response?  Q. About dose response.  A. Dose response? That's one of the studies.  Q. All right. Take a look, if you will, please, at Deposition Exhibit 25, which is the Schildkraut 2016 study cited and relied upon by you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date less than 2014, never used."  Q. Then you go down to "any genital use."  A. Okay. "Any genital use, 34 percent," yes. I see what you're saying.  Q. And then the percentage of cases meaning women with ovarian cancer that they said used talc on their genitals who were interviewed before 2014 was
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that right?  A. About what response?  Q. About dose response.  A. Dose response? That's one of the studies.  Q. All right. Take a look, if you will, please, at Deposition Exhibit 25, which is the Schildkraut 2016 study cited and relied upon by you.  (Exhibit No. 25 was marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay. Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date less than 2014, never used." Q. Then you go down to "any genital use." A. Okay. "Any genital use, 34 percent," yes. I see what you're saying. Q. And then the percentage of cases meaning women with ovarian cancer that they said used talc on their genitals who were interviewed before 2014 was 36.5 percent; is that right?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that right?  A. About what response?  Q. About dose response.  A. Dose response? That's one of the studies.  Q. All right. Take a look, if you will, please, at Deposition Exhibit 25, which is the Schildkraut 2016 study cited and relied upon by you.  (Exhibit No. 25 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date less than 2014, never used."  Q. Then you go down to "any genital use."  A. Okay. "Any genital use, 34 percent," yes. I see what you're saying.  Q. And then the percentage of cases meaning women with ovarian cancer that they said used talc on their genitals who were interviewed before 2014 was 36.5 percent; is that right?  A. Right. That's correct.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that right?  A. About what response?  Q. About dose response.  A. Dose response? That's one of the studies.  Q. All right. Take a look, if you will, please, at Deposition Exhibit 25, which is the Schildkraut 2016 study cited and relied upon by you.  (Exhibit No. 25 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Do you have that in front of you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date less than 2014, never used."  Q. Then you go down to "any genital use."  A. Okay. "Any genital use, 34 percent," yes. I see what you're saying.  Q. And then the percentage of cases meaning women with ovarian cancer that they said used talc on their genitals who were interviewed before 2014 was 36.5 percent; is that right?  A. Right. That's correct.  Q. So roughly the same reporting of genital talc
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that right?  A. About what response?  Q. About dose response.  A. Dose response? That's one of the studies.  Q. All right. Take a look, if you will, please, at Deposition Exhibit 25, which is the Schildkraut 2016 study cited and relied upon by you.  (Exhibit No. 25 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Do you have that in front of you?  A. Yes. You just handed it to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay. Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date less than 2014, never used." Q. Then you go down to "any genital use." A. Okay. "Any genital use, 34 percent," yes. I see what you're saying. Q. And then the percentage of cases meaning women with ovarian cancer that they said used talc on their genitals who were interviewed before 2014 was 36.5 percent; is that right? A. Right. That's correct. Q. So roughly the same reporting of genital talc use between women with and without ovarian cancer
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that right?  A. About what response?  Q. About dose response.  A. Dose response? That's one of the studies.  Q. All right. Take a look, if you will, please, at Deposition Exhibit 25, which is the Schildkraut 2016 study cited and relied upon by you.  (Exhibit No. 25 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Do you have that in front of you?  A. Yes. You just handed it to me.  Q. And this is a study that you have previously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay.  Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date less than 2014, never used."  Q. Then you go down to "any genital use."  A. Okay. "Any genital use, 34 percent," yes. I see what you're saying.  Q. And then the percentage of cases meaning women with ovarian cancer that they said used talc on their genitals who were interviewed before 2014 was 36.5 percent; is that right?  A. Right. That's correct.  Q. So roughly the same reporting of genital talc
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And then you go on to say "but the cohort studies have not."  Have not found a statistically significant relationship? That's true. The cohort studies haven't found a statistically because the cohort studies have many other confounding and inadequate parts of their evaluation.  MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as nonresponsive.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You rely on the Schildkraut case-control 2016 study for your opinions about dose response; is that right?  A. About what response?  Q. About dose response.  A. Dose response? That's one of the studies.  Q. All right. Take a look, if you will, please, at Deposition Exhibit 25, which is the Schildkraut 2016 study cited and relied upon by you.  (Exhibit No. 25 was marked for identification.)  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. Do you have that in front of you?  A. Yes. You just handed it to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's not in this table, I don't think, is it?  Q. Take a look do you see, under "Exposure," "Body powder use by location"? It's about eight lines down, "Interview date, less than or earlier than 2014."  A. I'm with you, yeah. Okay. Q. All right. So the percentage of controls meaning women without ovarian cancer who said they used talc on their genitals was 34 percent; is that right?  A. I'm not seeing that. I see "interview date less than 2014, never used." Q. Then you go down to "any genital use." A. Okay. "Any genital use, 34 percent," yes. I see what you're saying. Q. And then the percentage of cases meaning women with ovarian cancer that they said used talc on their genitals who were interviewed before 2014 was 36.5 percent; is that right? A. Right. That's correct. Q. So roughly the same reporting of genital talc use between women with and without ovarian cancer

- Q. Now, look at what happened after the lawsuits were filed.
- 3 A. I see.
- 4 Q. After 2014, what percent of women without
- 5 ovarian cancer said they used talc on their genitals?
  - A. 34.4 percent.
- 7 Q. So essentially the same as before; is that
- 8 right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. So, based on this data, the lawsuits had
- 11 essentially no effect on how many of the women without
- 12 ovarian cancer, the controls, remembered or recalled
- 13 using baby powder; is that right?
- 14 A. That seems to be true.
- O. For women with ovarian cancer, as we
- 16 discussed, before the lawsuits were filed,
- 17 36.5 percent of them said they recalled using baby
- 18 powder; is that right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. But after the lawsuits were filed,
- 21 the percent of women with ovarian cancer who said they
- 22 used baby powder went up to 51.5 percent; is that
- 23 right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. So after the lawsuits were filed, the percent

- 1 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. At least according to the author, the women,
- 3 after a lawsuit was filed, with ovarian cancer
- 4 remembered using talc much more than the women without

Page 164

Page 165

- 5 ovarian cancer; correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 8 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Those findings would be an example of the
- 10 potential effect of recall bias; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.

12

- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 13 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. What was your methodology for discounting the
- 15 effect of recall bias in the population-based
- 16 case-control studies?
- A. My methodology was to rely on a skilled
- 18 epidemiologist like Dr. Schildkraut to work her way
- 19 through all of the data and come up to her
- 20 conclusions.
- Q. Is there a rate of error in such a
- 22 methodology?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I know what
- 25 you mean by "rate of error."

Page 163

- 1 of women with ovarian cancer who said they used baby
- 2 powder jumped by over 40 percent; is that right?
- 3 A. It went from 36.5 to 51.5.
- 4 Q. That's just over 40 percent; correct? That
- 5 increase?
- 6 A. From 36 to 51?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. You're doing the math, but --
- 9 Q. Well, it's a substantial increase.
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you agree with that?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 14 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. All right. So, looking at this data, lawsuit
- 16 filings affected how many women with ovarian cancer
- 17 remembered using talc on their genitals but basically
- 18 had no effect on the memory of women without ovarian
- 19 cancer; correct?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I don't know that it --
- 22 the hypothesis that Dr. Schildkraut puts out there is
- 23 that the lawsuit filings may have changed women's
- 24 recall, if you will. There may be other factors that
- 25 are involved here too.

- 1 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Didn't the cohort studies involve a much
- 3 greater number of women than the case-control studies?
- A. More women altogether, but less cancer cases.
- 5 Q. What was your methodology for weighing the
- 6 power of the cohort of studies versus the case-control
- 7 studies?
- 8 A. My methodology was to look at the issues
- 9 regarding cohort studies that are at fault, that are
- 10 defective in their trial design and the reporting of
- 11 their data.
- Q. You're speaking about cohort studies in
- 13 general; is that right?
- 14 A. Well, three cohort studies.
- Q. Is that right? But you're talking about the
  - 6 studies in general as opposed to specific aspects of
- the individual cohort studies?
- A. We can go through the specifics of these
- 19 three studies.
- Q. Well, Gates 2010, the Nurses' Health Study,
- 21 did you review that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. It was a follow-up to the cohort study Gertig
- 24 2000; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Documer Page 44 of 89 Page 166 Page 168 1 Q. It's an analysis of data collected in the 1 age 30; right? 2 Nurses' Health Study; correct? A. That's what we've seen in other studies. 3 Q. So if a study asks women ages 36 to 61 if A. Yes. 4 Q. The analysis included over 100,000 women; is 4 they use talcum powder, it would capture the majority 5 of women who use genital powder during the follow-up 5 that right? A. I believe so. 6 period; correct? 6 Q. The women in the Nurses' Health Study were MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 8 followed from 1976 to 2006, so for 30 years; is that THE WITNESS: During the follow-up 9 right? period? 10 A. The knowledge in this study by the study --10 BY MR. ZELLERS: 11 the researchers doing the study did not gain any 11 Q. Yes. 12 information about exposure until 1982. 12 A. No. It's a point in time. The question was 13 Q. After following over 100,000 women for three 13 ever used up to 1982. 14 decades, the data did not show a statistically Q. It would capture the majority of women who 15 use, genital powder use; is that right? In this 15 significant relationship between talcum powder use and 16 any type of epithelial ovarian cancer; is that 16 study. 17 correct? 17 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 18 18 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Up till 1982. 19 THE WITNESS: That's correct, and BY MR. ZELLERS: 20 there's many defects in the design of this study. 20 Q. Houghton, 2014, the Women's Health Initiative 21 Study, did you review that study? For example, the patients were never asked, 21 22 once again after 1982, whether they used or didn't use 22 A. I did. 23 23 talc or how frequently they used talc. Q. That study involves over 61,000 women; is 24 BY MR. ZELLERS: 24 that right? 25 A. And only 429 cases of ovarian cancer. Q. Well, let me ask you questions about that. Page 167 Page 169 The Nurses' Health Study participants were Q. Houghton 2014 did not find a statistically 1 2 between the ages of 30 to 55 at the start of the study 2 significant relationship between perineal talc use and 3 in 1976; is that right? 3 ovarian cancer among women who had ever used talc; is A. I believe so. 5 MS. O'DELL: If you need to see it --A. Yes. And this study was not powered to 6 THE WITNESS: I don't have -- well, 6 identify --7 maybe I do have it here. MS. O'DELL: If you need it. 8 BY MR. ZELLERS: THE WITNESS: -- the relative risk that Q. If you need to take a look at it -- do you we're talking about in the cohort studies -- I mean 10 have it in front of you? I can give it to you if you the case-control studies. Excuse me. 11 need it. 11 BY MR. ZELLERS: 12 A. Okay. 12 Q. Or among women who had fewer than nine years Q. So my question is the Nurses' Health Study 13 of perineal talc use; right? 14 participants were between the ages of 30 to 55 at the A. That's what I believe. 15 start of the study in 1976; is that right? Q. I'm looking at page 4, Houghton 2014, 16 A. Yes. 16 Table 2. 17 Q. They were asked about their talcum powder use 17 A. Okay. The question again? Table 2?

- 18 in 1982; is that right?
- 19
- Q. So they would have been between the ages of
- 21 36 and 61 when they were asked about their talcum
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Most women, as we have discussed, who used
- A. That's my understanding, yes.

- 22 powder use; is that right?
- 25 talc in their perineal region start that use before

- Q. Yeah. The question is Houghton did not find
- a statistically significant relationship between
- perineal talc use and ovarian cancer among women who
- had fewer than nine years of perineal talc use; right?
- 22 A. Yes. That sort of exposure is minimal.
- 23 Q. Or among women who had more than ten years of
- 24 perineal talc use; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

	Daniei Pagelbi 239	426	
	Page 170		Page 172
1	Q. And the same results for talcum powder on a	1	Q. Sure.
2	sanitary napkins or diaphragms; is that right?	2	A. So he is saying that the cohort studies are
3	A. Yes.	3	r
4	Q. Isn't it true that, when combined in a	4	Q. What he is saying, I believe, is that the
5	meta-analysis, these cohort studies, the three that	5	1
6	we're talking about, have sufficient power to detect a	6	of 1.25, which was the basis for his conclusion in the
7	relative risk of 1.25?	7	last sentence (as read):
8	A. I'm not aware that that how that	8	"Thus low power of cohort studies
9	calculation was made.	9	cannot be invoked as explanation
10	Q. Did you consider the published power	10	of the heterogeneity of results."
11		11	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
12	And so if you look at the Berge 2017 paper,	12	THE WITNESS: I read that with a
13	page 6, second column, first paragraph, Berge and his	13	different understanding.
14	coauthor states (as read):	14	What he's saying is that the ability of the
15	"The statistical power of the	15	cohort study is to detect a relative risk of 1.25 that
16	meta-analysis of these cohort	16	is similar to the results of the meta-analyses
17	studies"	17	
18	MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry, Mike. Where	18	So those cohort studies aren't powered to
19	are you reading? Page 6?	19	detect 1.25.
20	MR. ZELLERS: Page 6, second column,	20	BY MR. ZELLERS:
21	first paragraph.	21	Q. Does Berge conclude "Thus low power of cohort
22	MS. O'DELL: Thank you.	22	<u>r</u>
23	MR. ZELLERS: Sure.	23	heterogeneity of results"?
24	THE WITNESS: Second column. That's	24	A. And I'm not sure what I mean what you mean
25	what this looks like to me (indicating).	25	by what he means by "heterogeneity of results."
		+-	
	Page 171		Page 173
1	Page 171 BY MR. ZELLERS:	1	_
1 2	_	1 2	Page 173  Q. Did I read it correctly?  A. Yes, you read it correctly.
	BY MR. ZELLERS:		Q. Did I read it correctly?
2	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22.	2	<ul><li>Q. Did I read it correctly?</li><li>A. Yes, you read it correctly.</li></ul>
3 4	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper.	2 3	<ul><li>Q. Did I read it correctly?</li><li>A. Yes, you read it correctly.</li><li>Q. All right.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year	3 4	<ul><li>Q. Did I read it correctly?</li><li>A. Yes, you read it correctly.</li><li>Q. All right.</li><li>You're familiar with the hospital-based</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Did I read it correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes, you read it correctly.</li> <li>Q. All right.</li> <li>You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right?</li> <li>A. They are part of the case-control studies,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Did I read it correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes, you read it correctly.</li> <li>Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column. Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Did I read it correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes, you read it correctly.</li> <li>Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column. Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column. Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Did I read it correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes, you read it correctly.</li> <li>Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column. Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Did I read it correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes, you read it correctly.</li> <li>Q. All right.  You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right?  A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes.  Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column. Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I would have to go back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column. Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the way, Berge and the authors conclude (as read):	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Did I read it correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes, you read it correctly.</li> <li>Q. All right.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column. Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the way, Berge and the authors conclude (as read): "The statistical power of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I would have to go back to each one of those studies, sir. BY MR. ZELLERS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column.  Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the way, Berge and the authors conclude (as read):  "The statistical power of the meta-analysis of these cohort	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I would have to go back to each one of those studies, sir. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, let's do you have Langseth there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column. Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the way, Berge and the authors conclude (as read): "The statistical power of the meta-analysis of these cohort studies to detect a relative risk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I would have to go back to each one of those studies, sir. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, let's do you have Langseth there? That might be an easy way to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column.  Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the way, Berge and the authors conclude (as read):  "The statistical power of the meta-analysis of these cohort studies to detect a relative risk of 1.25, similar to the result of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I would have to go back to each one of those studies, sir. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, let's do you have Langseth there? That might be an easy way to A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column.  Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the way, Berge and the authors conclude (as read):  "The statistical power of the meta-analysis of these cohort studies to detect a relative risk of 1.25, similar to the result of the meta-analysis of case-control	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I would have to go back to each one of those studies, sir. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, let's do you have Langseth there? That might be an easy way to A. I do. Q take a look at this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column. Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the way, Berge and the authors conclude (as read): "The statistical power of the meta-analysis of these cohort studies to detect a relative risk of 1.25, similar to the result of the meta-analysis of case-control studies, was 0.99. Thus low power	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I would have to go back to each one of those studies, sir. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, let's do you have Langseth there? That might be an easy way to A. I do. Q take a look at this. We looked at the Langseth as Deposition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column.  Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the way, Berge and the authors conclude (as read):  "The statistical power of the meta-analysis of these cohort studies to detect a relative risk of 1.25, similar to the result of the meta-analysis of case-control studies, was 0.99. Thus low power of cohort studies cannot be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I would have to go back to each one of those studies, sir. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, let's do you have Langseth there? That might be an easy way to A. I do. Q take a look at this. We looked at the Langseth as Deposition Exhibit 21.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column.  Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the way, Berge and the authors conclude (as read):  "The statistical power of the meta-analysis of these cohort studies to detect a relative risk of 1.25, similar to the result of the meta-analysis of case-control studies, was 0.99. Thus low power of cohort studies cannot be invoked as an explanation of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I would have to go back to each one of those studies, sir. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, let's do you have Langseth there? That might be an easy way to A. I do. Q take a look at this. We looked at the Langseth as Deposition Exhibit 21. A. I have it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column. Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the way, Berge and the authors conclude (as read): "The statistical power of the meta-analysis of these cohort studies to detect a relative risk of 1.25, similar to the result of the meta-analysis of case-control studies, was 0.99. Thus low power of cohort studies cannot be invoked as an explanation of the heterogeneity of results."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I would have to go back to each one of those studies, sir. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, let's do you have Langseth there? That might be an easy way to A. I do. Q take a look at this. We looked at the Langseth as Deposition Exhibit 21. A. I have it. Q. And if we look at his table on page 359, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Looking at Exhibit 22. A. I've got 23, which is the more recent paper. Q. Well, take a look at 22, which is the year before, 2017. And I'm looking at page 6. And I'm looking at the last part of the first full paragraph in the right-hand column.  Are you with me? A. "The important feature of the present meta-analysis"? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Q. And so if we go down about two-thirds of the way, Berge and the authors conclude (as read):  "The statistical power of the meta-analysis of these cohort studies to detect a relative risk of 1.25, similar to the result of the meta-analysis of case-control studies, was 0.99. Thus low power of cohort studies cannot be invoked as an explanation of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did I read it correctly? A. Yes, you read it correctly. Q. All right. You're familiar with the hospital-based case-control studies; is that right? A. They are part of the case-control studies, yes. Q. You agree with me that none of the hospital-based case-control studies show a statistically significant association between talc use and ovarian cancer; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I would have to go back to each one of those studies, sir. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Well, let's do you have Langseth there? That might be an easy way to A. I do. Q take a look at this. We looked at the Langseth as Deposition Exhibit 21. A. I have it. Q. And if we look at his table on page 359, he lists out each of the hospital-based case-control

Page 174 Page 176 1 Do you see that? 1 patients to hospitalized patients; is that right? 2 A. Right. Those are in the forest plot, yes. A. Yes. 3 Q. None of the hospital-based case-control Q. Whereas in a population-based study, you're 4 studies show a statistically significant association 4 more likely to be comparing ill people to healthy 5 between talc use and ovarian cancer; correct? people; is that right? 6 A. Yes. 6 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 7 Q. The results of the hospital-based THE WITNESS: In a hospital-based 8 case-control studies are not consistent with the 8 study, the people are ill. That's why they're in the <sup>9</sup> results of the population-based case-control studies; 9 hospital. 10 correct? 10 BY MR. ZELLERS: 11 A. That's right. That's why they're combined. 11 Q. And they're compared to other ill people, 12 Q. What methodology did you use to account for 12 other hospitalized patients; is that right? 13 13 this lack of consistency between the population-based A. Yes. 14 14 case-control studies and the hospital-based Q. There's a difference in the populations that <sup>15</sup> are being studied between a hospital-based case-control studies? 16 A. This is what the beauty of a meta-analysis case-control study and a population-based case-control 17 is, where it brings together all the studies and comes study; correct? 18 18 to a conclusion. And the conclusion here is that A. Yes. 19 there's a 1.35 risk of developing ovarian cancer in 19 Q. How did you account for selection bias in 20 women who receive perineal talc. 20 population case-control studies? 21 21 Q. Which Langseth and the other authors A. I think if there was selection bias -- and 22 concluded was "insufficient to establish a causal 22 I didn't control for selection bias, but if there was 23 association between perineal use of talc and ovarian selection bias, first of all, it would be usually 24 cancer risk"; correct? negated by the large number of patients in that study. 25 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. Even among the population-based case Page 175 Page 177 THE WITNESS: It's statistically 1 controls, some studies have shown statistically 1 2 significant, which to a clinician means that we could 2 significant findings and some have not; is that right? 3 reduce the risk of ovarian cancer if we eliminated A. Yes. 4 talcum powder from the patients that are being exposed Q. What is your methodology for weighing the 5 to it. 5 lack of consistency in statistical significance across 6 MS. BOCKUS: Object. Nonresponsive. 6 case-control studies? 7 MR. ZELLERS: Joined. MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's where a BY MR. ZELLERS: 9 Q. Are you familiar with the term "selection 9 meta-analysis becomes a very valuable tool. 10 bias"? BY MR. ZELLERS: 11 11 Q. You agree that, if a study does not show a A. Yes. 12 statistically significant association, it could mean 12 Q. What does "selection bias" mean? 13 A. Means that the selection of the patients in a that no risk exists; is that right? 14 particular study may be inappropriate, that they may 14 A. It's a possibility, yes. 15 not be the proper controls or the proper candidates to 15 MS. O'DELL: Excuse me, Mike. When you 16 be included in the study. get to a -- we've been going an hour and 45 minutes or 17 17 Q. You agree that hospital-based case-control so. 18 studies may be less susceptible to selection bias than 18 MR. ZELLERS: Let's take a break. 19 population-based case-control studies; correct? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 20 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 20 at 12:46 p.m. 21 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I believe 21 (Recess taken from 12:46 p.m. to 1:45 p.m.) 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at 22 that. 23 BY MR. ZELLERS: 23 1:45 p.m.

25 you're more likely to be comparing hospitalized

Q. Well, hospital-based case-control studies,

Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, in your report, page 7,

24 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Docume Page 47 of 89 Page 178 Page 180 1 you have a table where you state that you reviewed six MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: To some degree. <sup>2</sup> meta-analyses reported between 1995 and 2018; is that 2 3 right? <sup>3</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS: A. Yes. I overlooked adding Berge to this list. Q. A proper meta-analysis or pooled analysis Q. What other studies did you overlook adding to must analyze the sources of heterogeneity across the 5 studies; right? A. Yes. A. Subsequent to my report, there's also a meta-analysis by Taher. Q. And a proper meta-analysis or pooled analysis 9 Q. Any other studies that you omitted from your must examine the methodology that lead to the report and specifically the table on page 7? 10 underlying studies; right? 11 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 11 A. Yes. I think that's where the weighting done 12 THE WITNESS: No, not that I'm aware 12 in the meta-analysis helps. 13 of. Q. Did you examine the methodology in the 14 BY MR. ZELLERS: studies underlying these meta-analyses and pooled 15 Q. What's the difference -- well, strike that. analyses? 16 In your report, page 7, you list out five 16 A. Not in detail. 17 meta-analyses and a pooled analysis; is that right? Q. Do you agree that consistency exists when 18 A. Yes. different studies look at different populations --19 Q. What is the difference between a pooled strike that. Let me ask that question again. 20 analysis and a meta-analysis? 20 Do you agree that consistency exists when A. You know, I really can't give you a good different studies looking at different populations 22 definition of that. reach consistent results? 23 23 Q. How did you select these five studies to set MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. <sup>24</sup> forth in your report? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. It seems to be what 25 A. I think these were all of the meta-analyses <sup>25</sup> I would consider consistency. Page 179 Page 181 1 that I was aware of. <sup>1</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Did you only review the studies that showed a Q. A meta-analysis does not demonstrate whether 3 statistically significant relationship between 3 similar results were replicated across different 4 perineal talc use and ovarian cancer? populations; correct? A. I believe I included all the meta-analyses A. Yes. It combines all the papers that were 6 that I could identify. considered in the meta-analysis. Q. Meta-analyses and pooled analyses combine the Q. It combines study results into one risk work of other published studies into one study; is calculation; is that right? 9 that right? A. After weighting the different studies in 10 A. Yes. 10 terms of the number of patients and the statistics. 11 Q. If there are biases and confounding in the 11 Q. Therefore, meta-analyses themselves cannot demonstrate consistency of results across different 12 underlying studies, the meta-analysis or pooled 13 analysis will reflect the biases and confounding; populations; correct? 14 correct? 14 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 15 15 THE WITNESS: They could demonstrate MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 16 THE WITNESS: It obviously varies from 16 consistency. 17 one study to another. I would be very surprised if 17 BY MR. ZELLERS: 18 all studies included in the meta-analysis had the same 18 Q. How could they demonstrate consistency of 19 errors, if you will. results across different populations if what they're 20 BY MR. ZELLERS: doing is combining the study results into one risk 21 Q. Well, can you answer that question? calculation? 22 If there are biases and confounding in the 22 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

23

25 correct?

<sup>23</sup> underlying studies, the meta-analysis or pooled

24 analysis will reflect the biases and confounding;

THE WITNESS: I don't understand what

you mean by them not being able to demonstrate

25 consistency across different populations.

Page 182 Page 184 1 can let the record -- correct this later if need be. 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: 2 Q. In your report, you claim that Penninkilampi Doctor --<sup>3</sup> and every meta-analysis before 2018 report a similar MS. O'DELL: I'll have it in front of 4 increase in the risk of epithelial ovarian cancer with 4 you in one moment, Doctor. 5 the use of talcum powder; is that right? 5 BY MR. ZELLERS: 6 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, you now have <sup>7</sup> Langseth 2008 and Cramer 1999 in front of you; is that Q. But each of these meta-analyses that you set 8 forth on page 7 of your report use many of the same 8 right? 9 A. Yes. studies as the other meta-analyses; is that right? 10 A. Yes. Over time, new case-control studies 10 Q. Langseth 2008 included all but one of the 14 11 were added to the meta-analyses. 11 studies that were included in Cramer 1999; is that 12 Q. Well, for instance, Langseth 2008 and Graham 12 right? 13 13 1999 each include all nine of the studies that were A. This is the Cramer case-control study. 14 included in Gross and Berg 1995; is that right? Q. Let me ask you the question this way, Doctor: 15 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 15 Do you have any reason to doubt as you sit here or 16 THE WITNESS: I believe --16 dispute as you sit here that Langseth 2008 did not 17 MS. O'DELL: Did you say Graham '99? include all but one of the 14 studies that were 18 MR. ZELLERS: No, I said Cramer '99. 18 included in Cramer 1999? 19 MS. O'DELL: Okay. I thought you said 19 A. I would accept that as the truth. 20 Q. Thank you. As you sit here, do you have any 20 Graham. 21 21 reason to doubt or dispute that Langseth 2008 included THE WITNESS: It says Graham on the all but one of the 15 studies that were included in 22 transcription. 23 MS. O'DELL: So Cramer is what you're Huncharek 2003? referring to, '99? 24 I understand you don't have the studies in 25 MR. ZELLERS: Yes. I'll ask that 25 front of you to be able to make that --Page 183 Page 185 MS. O'DELL: Let me just -- I would 1 question again if it was unclear. <sup>2</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS: <sup>2</sup> just object to the line of questions. If you're going Q. For instance, Langseth 2008 and Cramer 1999 3 to ask the specific studies that are listed in the 4 each included all nine of the studies that were 4 table and ask him to compare --<sup>5</sup> included in Gross and Berg 1995; correct? 5 MR. ZELLERS: No. What I'm asking him, A. I believe so. 6 Counsel --7 Q. Langseth 2008 included all but one of the 14 MS. O'DELL: Let me finish. studies that were included in Cramer 1999; correct? It's unfair to ask him to make comparisons 9 MS. O'DELL: And if you need to regarding the studies included in the meta-analyses 10 compare -without affording him the opportunity to look at the 11 THE WITNESS: I need to see the paper. 11 articles themselves. 12 12 I have Langseth; if I can see Cramer's. MR. ZELLERS: And, Counsel, as you 13 BY MR. ZELLERS: 13 know, we've got limited time, and I don't want to sit Q. Well, did you consider this in terms of here --15 <sup>15</sup> analyzing the information and data? MS. O'DELL: It's still an unfair 16 A. No. 16 question. 17 17 MR. ZELLERS: It is not an unfair Q. Take a look, then, if you need to, at the question to ask this witness if he has any reason as <sup>18</sup> Cramer 1999 paper. 19 MS. O'DELL: Just a moment. I'm sorry. he sits here to dispute or to doubt that Langseth 2008 included all but one of the 15 studies that were 20 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. We're still just looking at your folders from included in Huncharek 2003. 22 earlier today that you have in front of you; right, 22 MS. O'DELL: Well, that's not a fair question when you're not providing him an opportunity 23 Doctor? 24 A. Yes. 24 to compare the two. 25 25 Q. Let me phrase it a different way, and then we And so if Dr. Clarke-Pearson wants to see a

1 copy of the study, then we'll put it in front of him,

- 2 because that's not a fair analysis, particularly when
- <sup>3</sup> you're talking about multiple -- more than 10 to 15
- 4 meta-analyses -- excuse me -- cohorts over time.
- MR. ZELLERS: Counsel, I've asked you a
- 6 number of times not to make speaking objections. All
- 7 that I am doing is asking the doctor questions about
- 8 the studies included in the six meta-analyses and
- <sup>9</sup> pooled analysis that he sets out in a chart.
- If he doesn't have the answer, my question is framed as to whether or not he has any reason to
- 12 dispute or doubt the overlap of studies.
- MS. O'DELL: Well, I would just say,
- $14\;$  Dr. Clarke-Pearson, to the degree you remember, you
- <sup>15</sup> can answer his questions. But, to the degree he asks
- 16 you to assume something, don't assume that what
- 17 counsel is stating is correct because it may or may
- 18 not be true.
- MR. ZELLERS: And I'm not asking the
- 20 doctor to assume.
- MS. O'DELL: Yes, you did.
- MR. ZELLERS: I did not ask him to
- 23 assume, Counsel. You can go back and read the
- <sup>24</sup> question, but it did not ask him to assume that. It
- 25 asked him if he was aware of there being any
  - Page 187
- <sup>1</sup> difference in terms of Langseth including all but one
- <sup>2</sup> of the 15 studies that were included in Huncharek
- 3 2003.
- 4 MS. O'DELL: I stand corrected. You
- 5 said "Do you have any reason to doubt or dispute,"
- 6 which I took to be --
- 7 MR. ZELLERS: "Do you have any reason
- 8 to" --
- 9 MS. O'DELL: -- which I took to be
- 10 assume.
- And I'm asking you to assume that counsel is
- 12 not being accurate.
- 13 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Can you answer my question, Doctor?
- And here's my question: Do you have any
- 16 reason to believe that Langseth 2008, which you cite,
- 17 included all but one of the 15 studies that were
- 18 included in Huncharek 2003, which you cite?
- A. Without reading and going through the table
- 20 of the 'teen or so studies, I would have to assume
- 21 that you're representing properly what --
- Q. That is not a comparison that you have made
- <sup>23</sup> personally; correct?
- $^{24}\,$  A. I have not. And if I did, I can't remember
- 25 now.

O. Okay.

1

A. I mean, if this is a quiz about memorizing

Page 188

- 3 details of clinical studies, then...
- 4 Q. I don't want it to be a quiz. Let me ask you
- a new question.
- 6 If the meta-analyses are all combining the
- 7 same set of studies, you would expect them to yield
- 8 similar results; correct?
- A. If they only contain the same set of studies
- 10 but each one had slightly different, and the more
- 11 recent ones added studies to them.
- Q. Have you attempted to quantify how much
- 13 talcum powder reaches a woman's ovaries when they use
- 14 a talcum powder product?
  - A. Have I done some experiment?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- A. I know that talcum powder gets there; I have
- 18 not done any experimentation to that question.
- Q. Do you have any -- were you finished?
- 20 A. Yes.
- MS. BOCKUS: Object as nonresponsive.
- 22 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Do you have any idea how much talcum powder
- reaches a woman's ovaries each time she uses it?
- A. I'm sure it varies depending upon the
  - Page 189
- 1 menstrual cycle, the age of the patient, the patient's
- <sup>2</sup> anatomy.
- Q. It's fair to say you don't know and have not
- 4 done any type of calculation or experiment to
- 5 determine the answer to that question; correct?
- 6 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 8 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 9 Q. Isn't the biological mechanism dependent on
- 10 how much talc a woman's ovaries are exposed to?
- A. Which biological mechanism are you talking
- 12 about?
- Q. Dose response.
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: So, then, rephrasing your
- question, isn't the dose response dependent upon how
- much talc a woman's ovaries are exposed to?
- 18 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 19 Q. I'll accept that.
- 20 A. That sounds like the answer -- you answered
- 21 your own question.
- 22 Q. Well, I need you to answer the question. The
- 23 answer is a yes to that question; correct?
- A. The dose is dependent upon how much talc gets
- 25 to the ovaries, yes.

Page 190 Page 192 1 Q. And you've not done a calculation or 1 that there is a dose response; is that right? <sup>2</sup> experiment to determine what that amount is; correct? A. Yes. 3 Q. And, in fact, at least looking at Table 1 of A. That's correct. 4 Q. All right. 4 the Cramer study, this does not show a dose response; 5 Let me mark Cramer 2016. We discussed it correct? 6 earlier, but we'll mark it for the record. This is a MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. <sup>7</sup> study that you cite in your materials. We'll mark it THE WITNESS: So, going down that 8 as Exhibit 26. 8 table, there is more of a dose response as we get 9 under the second half of that table, toward "general (Exhibit No. 26 was marked for identification.) 10 BY MR. ZELLERS: 10 talc applications." 11 Q. You recognize this paper; correct? 11 BY MR. ZELLERS: 12 12 A. I've reviewed it. Q. There is not a consistent dose response; 13 correct? 13 Q. This is a retrospective case-control study 14 published in 2016; correct? 14 A. Not a consistent. 15 15 A. Yes. Q. Yes. I mean, you get a statistically 16 Q. You discuss this study in your report on significant finding and then a period of time where there's not a statistically significant finding and 17 page 9; is that right? 18 then another period of time where there is a A. Let me turn to page 9. 19 Q. Sure. I'm looking under "Biologic statistically significant finding; is that right? 20 20 Gradient/Dose-response" right in the middle. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 21 21 You claim that (as read): THE WITNESS: As I read through the 22 22 second half of this table, there's a consistent "A number of studies have 23 demonstrated an association statistically significant finding beginning after less 24 between 'dose' and the occurrence 24 than 360 applications, equivalent to one year of daily 25 of EOC [or epithelial ovarian 25 use. Page 191 Page 193 cancer] (response)." 1 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: 2 Is that right? Q. Well, when you review, you consider all of 3 3 the data; correct? A. That's correct. 4 Q. Let's look at what the Cramer study shows. A. Yes. 5 Turn to page 337 of the Cramer paper, if you Q. The top of the Table 1 is not consistent with 6 will, Exhibit 26 to the deposition. 6 the bottom of Table 1, at least in terms of 7 Do you see Table 1? statistically significant findings; is that right? 8 A. The two -- the two vary, depending upon how A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. Table 1 shows the risk of ovarian cancer for you quantitate dose. women who use talc daily for different periods of 10 Q. Another criteria or factor for Bradford Hill 11 time -- 1 year, 1 to 5 years, 5 to 20 years, and more 11 is biological plausibility; is that right? 12 12 than 20 years. Is that right? A. Yes. 13 13 A. Yes. Q. The biological mechanisms of cancer are not your area of expertise; is that correct? Q. There was only statistical significance for one to five years of use and for more than 20 years of 15 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 16 use; is that right? 16 THE WITNESS: I think, as a gynecologic 17 A. According to the odds ratio and the oncologist, I have a good understanding of the 18 biological mechanisms of cancer. For example, human <sup>18</sup> confidence intervals, yes. 19 Q. If there is a dose response, shouldn't there papillomavirus causes cervical cancer, vaginal cancer, 20 continue to be statistical significance with increased 20 vulvar cancer, anal cancer, oropharyngeal cancer. 21 exposure? 21 BY MR. ZELLERS: 22 22 Q. Do you defer to other experts on the topic of A. In general, you would think that. But, on 23 biologic plausibility? 23 the other hand, maybe we don't have to have a dose <sup>24</sup> response to cause cancer. A. I think there are some that know more than

Q. Well, certainly you've opined in your report

25

25 I know about it. But I know that, for example, in

- 1 this disease of ovarian cancer caused by talcum
- 2 powder, inflammation is the most likely cause.
- Q. And do you consider yourself to be an expert
- 4 on the topic of biologic plausibility as it relates to
- 5 talcum powder and ovarian cancer?
- 6 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. Asked
- 7 and answered.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I think I have a very
- 9 good understanding of that, and I'm not sure how you
- 10 define an expert.
- 11 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Is all epithelial ovarian cancer caused by
- 13 the same mechanism?
- 14 A. I don't think so.
- Q. You stated before that there are different
- 16 mechanisms; is that right?
- 17 A. I said -- yes.
- Q. What is the biologic mechanism for serous
- 19 ovarian cancer?
- A. There could be several biological mechanisms
- 21 for any of the ovarian cancers.
- Q. Well, what biologic mechanisms are there,
- 23 based upon your experience, for serous cancer --
- 24 ovarian cancer?
- A. One of the biologic mechanisms are BRCA1 to 2

1 cancer have different biological mechanisms; correct?

Page 196

- 2 A. Again, I'm not sure what you mean by
- 3 "biological mechanism."
- Q. You're not familiar with biological
- 5 mechanisms that cause ovarian cancer?
  - A. The biological mechanism that I've been
- <sup>7</sup> trying to explain to you is gene mutation.
- 8 Q. That's the only biological mechanism that
- <sup>9</sup> causes ovarian cancer, in your experience; is that
- 10 right?
- 11 A. You're talking about what causes ovarian
- 12 cancer, not the mechanism that becomes ovarian cancer
- or what ovarian cancer represents.
- Q. I'm asking you the mechanism that causes
- ovarian cancer. And you have told me that, with
- 16 talcum powder, it is gene mutation; is that right?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: As it is for all cancers.
- 19 As it is for all ovarian cancers.
- 20 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. If talc is associated with all subtypes of
- 22 epithelial ovarian cancer or with different subtypes
- 23 in different studies, doesn't that suggest that the
- 24 association is by chance?
  - MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

## Page 195

- 1 mutations. And, as I discussed previously, all
- <sup>2</sup> cancers are caused by mutations of genes that regulate
- <sup>3</sup> cell growth and result in invasion and metastases.
  - Q. Any others?
- 5 A. Anything else beside gene mutations?
- Q. Gene mutations, yes, for serous ovariancancer.
- 8 A. There are always gene mutations causing the
- <sup>9</sup> cancer. And, therefore, if you're just specifically
- 10 talking about serous cancers, then gene mutations for
- $\,$  11  $\,$  all serous cancers occur. They are not normal cells.
- Q. Does talcum powder increase all subtypes of ovarian cancer?
- MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and
- 15 answered.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I think the epidemiologic
- data would suggest that serous cancers are the most
- 18 common but endometrioid are there.
- And the other study -- other types of
- 20 epithelial ovarian cancers -- clear cell and
- 21 mucinous -- are so infrequent -- they're rare cancers.
- 22 And, therefore, we don't have statistical power to
- 23 decide whether they're caused by talc or not.
- 24 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Different subtypes of epithelial ovarian

Page 197

- THE WITNESS: So no carcinogen is going to cause cancer in every circumstance in every
- <sup>3</sup> patient. Some patients may be more susceptible to a
- 4 carcinogen; others may be more resistant.
- 5 Women with BRCA1 mutations don't always
- 6 develop ovarian cancer, but they are at much higher
- <sup>7</sup> risk. It usually causes -- it requires a number of
- 8 mutations before a malignancy occurs, not just one.
- 9 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. You would agree that different studies have
- 1 found different associations between talcum powder use
- 12 and different types of epithelial ovarian cancer; is
- 13 that right?
- 4 A. The -- yes, and because possibly many of
- 15 those rare cancers, like mucinous cancers and clear
- 16 cell cancers, are not -- the studies aren't powered to
- identify those. So we don't know, I guess would be my
- 18 answer.
- Q. Putting aside inhalation for the moment, your
- opinion is that talcum powder travels from the
- 21 perineal region to the ovaries through the woman's
- 22 reproductive tract; is that right?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So the talcum powder must travel across the
- 25 vulva, through the labia majora, through the labia

Page 198 Page 200 1 minora, across the -- and clitoris, across the 1 Q. And my question to you is --2 <sup>2</sup> perineal body, up into the vagina, into the cervical MS. O'DELL: I think he was finished --<sup>3</sup> canal, through the cervix and cervical mucosa, or <sup>3</sup> he wasn't finished. 4 mucus, into the endometrial cavity, through the THE WITNESS: I was going to read this <sup>5</sup> uterus, into the fallopian tube opening, across the 5 to you from Langseth. And the sentence says 6 entire length of the fallopian tube to the fimbria, (as read): <sup>7</sup> and then into the ovary; is that right? "The evidence of talc migrating to 8 the ovaries lends credibility to A. Yes, sir. 9 such a possible association." Q. If talcum powder can make this migration, can 10 other substances also make the same migration? 10 BY MR. ZELLERS: 11 A. I presume so. 11 Q. Can you answer my question? 12 12 A. I was reporting to you a study. Q. Sand from the beach? 13 13 Q. I need you to answer my question if you can. A. I think the particle size may have some 14 bearing on how far it can get up the reproductive 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. I'll ask it again. 16 Q. Toilet paper particles? 16 Is there any human study that demonstrates 17 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. the migration of any particulate -- and let me 18 THE WITNESS: Again, depends upon the withdraw that, because I think I moved on to the next 19 19 question. particle size. 20 20 BY MR. ZELLERS: None of the articles that you cite actually 21 Q. There is no human study that demonstrates the looked at whether talc can migrate from the perineal migration of any particulate matter from the perineum application through the fallopian tubes to the to the ovaries; correct? ovaries; correct? 24 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 24 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 25 THE WITNESS: Number of studies that 25 THE WITNESS: That's correct. Page 199 Page 201 1 show that once it's in the vagina, it can migrate --1 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. All right. You also cannot cite any article <sup>2</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS: 3 that shows granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere O. There is --4 up the reproductive tract of a woman as a result of A. -- to the ovary. her external genital talc application, can you? Q. But the answer to my question is correct. 6 There are no human studies that demonstrate the MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 7 migration of any particulate matter from the perineum THE WITNESS: No. to the ovaries; correct? BY MR. ZELLERS: 9 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. Let's talk about the studies that you cite in 10 THE WITNESS: Nobody has studied it 10 your report in support of your theory of migration. 11 that I'm aware of. 11 MS. O'DELL: Object to -- excuse me. 12 BY MR. ZELLERS: 12 Sorry. Q. None of the articles you cite in your report 13 MR. ZELLERS: It's okay. 14 actually looked at whether talc can migrate from MS. O'DELL: I apologize. perineal application through the fallopian tubes to 15 BY MR. ZELLERS: 16 the ovaries; correct? 16 Q. In support of your theory of migration, you 17 discuss sperm. I'm looking at page 7, last paragraph MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 18 THE WITNESS: Well, if you go to that carries over onto page 8. Is that right? 19 Langseth, for example, on the second page underneath 19 A. I have it. 20 the forest plot at the end of the second full 20 MS. O'DELL: Object to form. 21 paragraph -- I'm sorry. I've got your exhibit. 21 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Sperm have tails and motility; is that right? 22 BY MR. ZELLERS: 22 Q. Well, you have the exhibit. I should have a A. Yes, and that's acknowledged in my report. 23 23 24 copy. 24 Q. Sperm affirmatively move themselves up the 25 reproductive tract; is that right? 25 A. Okay.

- 1 A. They can.
- Q. You cite Egli, 1961, the carbon particle
- <sup>3</sup> study. Are you familiar with that, or do you need me
- 4 to hand you another copy?
- 5 A. I've reviewed it before. It's been a little
- 6 while.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. Well, let me ask you a couple of questions.
- 8 A. Sure.
- 9 Q. And if you need the study, then I'll be happy
- 10 to have you take a look at it.
- Egli did not involve talcum powder; correct?
- 12 A. No. These are carbon particles.
- Q. Egli used carbon particles that were
- 14 suspended in a solution that had the consistency of
- 15 seminal fluid; is that right?
- MS. O'DELL: If you need to take a
- 17 moment to review, Doctor, feel free to do that.
- THE WITNESS: They were suspended in
- <sup>19</sup> dextran suspension.
- 20 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Is that seminal fluid, fluid that sperm are
- 22 suspended in?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. What solution were they suspended in?
- 25 A. Dextran.

- heads tilted downward is a very -- is very different
- <sup>2</sup> from the way in which women generally apply talcum

Page 204

Page 205

- 3 powder to their perineal region?
- A. Honestly, I don't know how they apply talcum
- 5 powder to their perineal region. I would imagine
- 6 they're not with their head down, but they may be
- <sup>7</sup> sitting, they may be standing, they may be lying.
- 8 Q. Based upon your experience, it's different;
- 9 correct?
- A. I don't have any experience with talcum
- 11 powder application.
- Q. Right. So you don't know whether or not most
- 13 women apply talcum powder to their perineal region
- 14 with their head toward the ground and their legs up in
- 5 the air?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I think it's unlikely
- 18 that they have their heads to the ground and legs in
- 19 the air, but they have probably multiple positions
- 20 they could apply it in.
- 21 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Even with these artificial conditions, the
- 23 researchers only found carbon particles in the
- <sup>24</sup> fallopian tubes of two of the three women; is that
- 25 right?

Page 203

- Q. What support do you have for the proposition
- <sup>2</sup> that talcum powder behaves similarly to carbon
- <sup>3</sup> particles suspended in a dextran fluid-like substance?
- A. I think it's very similar to talcum powder
- 5 particles progressing up. Dextran is a thick,
- 6 glucose-rich medium that is much like vaginal fluid,
- <sup>7</sup> if you will.
- 8 Q. It's a fluid; right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Talcum powder is a particle; correct?
- 11 A. Once talcum powder gets into the vagina, it
- 12 becomes part of the vaginal fluid.
- Q. The Egli study involved three women; is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Tiny sample size; correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. They used intramuscular oxytocin to aid the
- 19 transport of the particles; is that right?
- A. Yes. It stimulated the uterus to contract.
- Q. And for the administration of the carbon
- 22 particles, the women were laying on their backs with
- 23 their heads tilted at a downward angle; is that right?
- A. That's what it says.
- Q. Do you agree that laying down with their

- A. I think that's what the results said.
- Q. Are you familiar with the Venter 1979 study
- 3 that you cite?
- 4 A. I'll have to pull it back out to refresh my
- <sup>5</sup> memory. It's been a few months since I looked at
- 6 that.
- Q. Well, can I ask you a few questions about it?
- 8 A. If I can answer them, I will. Sure.
- 9 Q. Is this the radioactive marker study?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. That study did not involve talcum powder; it
- 12 involved a particle with a radioactive tracer. Is
- 13 that right?
- 14 A. Yes. Technetium albumin in microspheres.
- Q. What support do you have for the proposition
- 16 that talcum powder behaves similarly to this kind of
- <sup>17</sup> particle?

22

- A. I think that talcum powder is similar to
- 19 these particles. It's small and can migrate.
- Q. In the study it involved a small sample size;
- 21 right? Only 24 women?
  - MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 24 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. The women laid on their backs with their

Page 206 Page 208 A. I did. 1 buttocks elevated; is that right? 2 A. When it was applied, and then the patients Q. That study did not involve talcum powder; it 3 didn't undergo surgery until the next day. So the 3 involved starch. Is that right? 4 patients, after being in the position where the A. Yes. 5 talc -- where the radioactive tracer was applied, were Q. Sjosten involved the researchers examining 6 then up and about until they came in for surgery the the women's cervix with their fingers; is that right? 7 next day. So they were in different positions. Are you able to answer that question? Q. Is that really what you think, based upon 8 A. I need to read along with you. 9 your review of the study? So they examined -- they did a pelvic exam, 10 A. You don't think that the patient was laying a bimanual exam on the patients. 11 in bed for 24 hours until she had surgery? 11 Q. Examining the women's cervix with their 12 Q. Doctor, your recollection of this study is 12 fingers; is that correct? 13 A. And examining the vagina. 13 that the radioactive tracer marker was used and then 14 the women were up and around? 14 Q. What is your basis for saying that pressing 15 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. gloved fingers against the cervix is comparable to an 16 BY MR. ZELLERS: external dusting of talcum powder? 17 Q. In fact, after the radioactive marker was 17 MS. O'DELL: Object to form. 18 18 administered, the women remained laying in the THE WITNESS: I think it deposits the 19 position with their -- on their backs with their substance, the powder, against the cervix. 20 buttocks elevated for two hours, with their legs 20 BY MR. ZELLERS: 21 21 pressed together; is that right? Q. And the study found particles in the 22 A. I would have to find it to refresh my memory. reproductive tract of women who were examined with 23 Q. If that's true, that would be different than powder-free gloves; is that right? 24 your understanding of how women use talcum powder in 24 A. I believe so. 25 Q. You cite the Heller study of women's ovaries 25 the genital area; correct? Page 207 Page 209 MS. O'DELL: Objection. Misstates the 1 <sup>1</sup> after surgical oophorectomy; is that right? 2 doctor's testimony. A. Yes. 3 If you need to review --Q. Didn't Heller find talc in tissues of all 24 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't think that patients, including the 12 who did not use perineal 5 we know -- I know how women apply talcum powder. But 5 talc? 6 these women didn't lay supine for 24 hours until they A. Give me a moment. 7 had their surgery, when they found the radioactive Q. Let me try to ask it this way so that we can 8 microspheres in the ovary. move on. 9 BY MR. ZELLERS: 9 Do you have any reason to dispute that 10 Q. Do you know whether or not they laid supine Heller found talc in tissues of all 24 patients, 11 for two hours after the radioactive marker was including the 12 who did not use perineal talc? 12 12 administered with their legs pressed together? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 13 13 A. Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, as long as there's 14 Q. Yes, you agree with that; correct? not an issue with recall bias. 15 A. Yes. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And even under these artificial conditions, 16 Q. If talcum powder migrates from the perineal 17 the researchers only found radioactive activity in the region to the ovaries, shouldn't exposure to talc be 18 fallopian tubes or ovaries of 9 of the 21 women; is far greater in concentration in the rectal, vulvar, that right? 19 vaginal, cervical, and uterine tissues which are MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 20 closer to the area of initial exposure? THE WITNESS: That's what they reported 21 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 22 in 24 hours. 22 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what the basis of that observation is. The urethra and anus 23 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. You cite Sjosten, 2004, the glove study; is

24

25 that right?

<sup>24</sup> have sphincters. The urethra and anus also have an

exit mechanism by urination or defecation.

Page 210 Page 212 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Because the ovary has a Q. So you -- I just want to make sure I'm clear. <sup>3</sup> You disagree that -- if talcum powder migrates from 3 different epithelium, a different surface. The 4 vagina -- I'm sorry -- the vulva, vagina, and 4 the perineal region to the ovaries, you disagree that 5 exposure to talc would be greater in concentration in 5 exocervix are all squamous epithelium. They are much 6 the rectal, vulvar, vaginal, cervical, and uterine 6 more susceptible to HPV. So I can turn around the 7 tissues; correct? 7 explanation and say HPV doesn't infect the MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and 8 endometrium -- the uterus, fallopian tubes, or 9 ovaries. So some tissues are more susceptible to a <sup>9</sup> answered. 10 THE WITNESS: I'm not understanding carcinogen than others. 11 your question. Would be greater where? 11 BY MR. ZELLERS: 12 BY MR. ZELLERS: 12 Q. What study are you referring to for that Q. Would be greater in the rectal, vulvar, 13 proposition? 14 vaginal, cervical, and uterine tissues than in the 14 A. About HPV? 15 Q. No. About the tissue being the same --16 MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and 16 strike that. 17 answered. 17 Tissue being different and not susceptible THE WITNESS: I don't have any evidence 18 to inflammation from talc in the human vulvar, 19 about the rectum or the urethra. And it would be -vaginal, cervical, and uterine tissues. 20 yes, more likely than not, there would be more on the 20 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 21 vulva than on the ovaries. All of it that goes on the THE WITNESS: They are all different 21 22 vulva does not land on the ovaries. 22 tissues, and we have not seen any inflammation or cancer associated with talcum powder in those organs. 23 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Talc particles should be causing inflammation BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Is there a study that you're referring to 25 in all those organs and areas if your theory is Page 211 Page 213 1 correct; is that right? 1 that finds that there is not inflammation from talc to 2 those tissues? 2 A. No. 3 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't have a study, 4 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Why would you not expect inflammation in the but, obviously, it's not associated with cancers of 6 rectal, vulvar, vaginal, cervical, and uterine 6 those tissues. 7 tissues? BY MR. ZELLERS: 8 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. There are no studies that show inflammation 9 THE WITNESS: So there's no -- no as a result of genital talc use result in cancer in 10 evidence that this talc gets into the rectum that I'm those areas; is that right? 11 aware of, unless you have some evidence that I'm not 11 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: In what areas now are you 12 12 seeing. 13 BY MR. ZELLERS: 13 talking about? Q. Why do talc particles not cause inflammation BY MR. ZELLERS: 15 15 in the other organs and areas? Q. Let me make it even simpler. 16 16 There's no studies that show inflammation as A. I think the other organs -- the vagina, 17 cervix, uterus, and fallopian tubes -- are different a result of genital talc use in the vulvar, vaginal, cervical, and uterine areas; is that right? 18 tissues; and different tissues have different 19 19 susceptibility, if you will, to the impact of talcum A. That's correct. 20 20 powder and its contents. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. What is it about the tissues of the vulvar, 21 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. There are no studies that show a link between <sup>22</sup> vaginal, cervical, and uterine areas that would result 22 23 in talc not causing inflammation to those tissues but 23 external genital talc use and rectal, vulvar, vaginal, 24 causing, at least under your theory, inflammation to cervical, or uterine cancer; is that right? 25 25 the ovary? A. That's correct.

1 Q. In Exhibit B of your report, you include a study published by Huncharek in 2007. That's page 11.

3 Do you recall that study?

A. No, but I'd like to refresh my memory.

5 MS. O'DELL: Which Huncharek?

6 MR. ZELLERS: 2007.

7 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Do you have that easily available? 8

9 This is a study that you cite in your

10 materials reviewed; is that right?

11 A. Yes.

4

12 Q. It's a meta-analysis of studies and the

13 relationship between ovarian cancer and using

14 diaphragms that are dusted with talcum powder; is that

15 right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. A diaphragm is inserted directly onto a

18 woman's cervix; is that right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. You did not include Huncharek 2007 in your

list of meta-analyses regarding talc and ovarian

cancer on page 7 of your report, did you?

23 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

24 THE WITNESS: No, because it wasn't

25 dealing with applying talcum powder to the vulva,

Page 215

1 perineum.

<sup>2</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Well, your theory, putting aside inhalation,

4 is that the talcum powder travels from the perineal

5 region through the vagina through the cervix through

6 the uterus and then into the fallopian tubes; is that

7 right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. How, then, do you validate excluding data

about the relationship between ovarian cancer and

talcum powder that is applied directly to the cervix?

12 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

13 THE WITNESS: Because it's not the

volume of talcum powder that is used on the vulva.

<sup>15</sup> And, over a period of time, application of diaphragms

16 is most likely much less likely than somebody using

17 talcum powder on the vulva on a daily basis.

18 BY MR. ZELLERS:

19 Q. On what study are you relying for that

20 statement?

A. My clinical experience of understanding the

22 sexual lives of women. They don't use diaphragms

23 every day, in most cases.

24 Q. Are you aware of any study that talcum powder

<sup>25</sup> affects the body differently when it is applied to the

Page 216 1 perineal region and travels to the cervix compared to

<sup>2</sup> when it is applied directly to the cervix?

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

4 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any

5 study, no.

6 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. When applied to the perineal region, the

talcum powder would also be in close contact with a

woman's urethra; correct?

10 A. Yes.

13

21

11 Q. Substances are capable of traveling up the

12 urethra; right?

A. Not that I know of, except for bacteria.

14 Q. Women get urinary tract infections when

bacteria travels up the urethra; right?

16 A. I recognize that as a modal -- motile, like

sperm and bacteria, when I discuss lower genital tract

migration from the vagina up into the tubes and

ovaries with sperm and sexually transmitted infection.

So, yes, women get urinary tract infections.

Q. Studies do not show an increase in bladder

22 cancer with talcum powder use; is that right?

23 A. That's right. The bladder is a different

epithelium than the ovary.

Q. And studies do not show an increase in rectal

Page 217

1 cancer with talcum powder use; is that right?

2 A. That's correct.

MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and

4 answered.

<sup>5</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Are you opining on inhalation exposure as a

plausible mechanism for talcum powder to reach the

ovaries, or do you defer to other experts on that?

A. I think there's literature that suggests that

10 it's a lower possibility, but inhalation of asbestos

can increase the risk of ovarian cancer.

12 Q. Well, you rely in part on Steiling 2018; is

13 that right? This is at page 8 of your report.

A. IARC and the Steiling.

15 Q. Right. Steiling 2018 deals generally with

cosmetic powders, not talcum powder; correct?

A. I need to look at the paper again.

18 Q. Well, either your counsel can hand it to you

19 or I can hand it to you.

20 MR. ZELLERS: Did you find it, Counsel?

21 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Do you have the Steiling paper in front of 22

23 you?

25

17

24 A. Yes --

MS. O'DELL: Do you have a copy for me,

	Daniei Pagelo 239	44L	Pearson, M.D.
	Page 218		Page 220
1	please, if you don't mind. Thank you.	1	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2	Are you going to mark that, Mike, or are	2	BY MR. ZELLERS:
3	you	3	Q. I'll withdraw the question and move on.
4	MR. ZELLERS: If you want me to mark	4	Do you agree well, strike that.
5	it, I can. I think we all know what it is.	5	You assert that talcum powder, when it
6	MS. O'DELL: I'm just asking.	6	reaches the ovaries, it elicits an inflammatory
7	MR. ZELLERS: Would you like it marked?	7	response that is linked to ovarian cancer; is that
8	MS. O'DELL: Only if you were going to	8	right?
9	mark it, I was just going to put a number on it.	9	A. Yes. I think that's the mechanism by which
10	MR. ZELLERS: Well, I just have a few	10	gene mutation occurs.
11	basic questions.	11	Q. Is it your opinion strike that.
12	BY MR. ZELLERS:	12	Is your opinion related to all of the
13	Q. So, Doctor, my first question is the Steiling	13	different histologic types of epithelial ovarian
14	2018 deals generally with cosmetic powders, not talcum	14	cancer?
15	powder specifically; is that right?	15	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and
16	A. Apparently so, yes.	16	answered.
17	Q. And Steiling 2018 just discusses the fact	17	THE WITNESS: I think an inflammatory
18	that particles can be inhaled; is that right?	18	response happens on the ovarian epithelium, and some
19	A. Yes.	19	ovarian cancers some epithelial ovarian cancers are
20	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	20	more common, serous carcinoma being the most common.
21	BY MR. ZELLERS:	21	BY MR. ZELLERS:
22	Q. It says nothing about inhaled particles	22	Q. Is it your opinion that inflammation is a
23	migrating to the ovaries, does it?	23	cause of clear cell and mucinous ovarian cancer? Or
24	A. No.	24	do you not have an opinion?
25	Q. In fact, it says nothing about inhaled	25	A. I don't have an opinion.
	Page 219		Page 221
1	_		
1	particles migrating anywhere, does it?	1	O. You have not done an expert review of the
1 2	particles migrating anywhere, does it?  MS. O'DELL: Objection.		Q. You have not done an expert review of the inflammation evidence yourself, have you?
	MS. O'DELL: Objection.		inflammation evidence yourself, have you?
2 3	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about	2	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
3 4	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right.	2 3	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done
2 3	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in
2 3 4 5	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled	2 3 4 5	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with
2 3 4 5 6	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. O'DELL: Objection.  THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right?  A. In this particular study, although we know	2 3 4 5 6 7	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that Dr. Saed and others have done. BY MR. ZELLERS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that Dr. Saed and others have done. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that Dr. Saed and others have done. BY MR. ZELLERS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer? A. I believe so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that Dr. Saed and others have done.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that Dr. Saed and others have done.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer? A. I believe so. Q. If inhaled talc could migrate to the ovaries,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that Dr. Saed and others have done. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. There's conditions that are inflammatory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer? A. I believe so. Q. If inhaled talc could migrate to the ovaries, wouldn't you expect to see increased ovarian cancer risk with nongenital use of talcum powder?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that Dr. Saed and others have done. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. There's conditions that are inflammatory reactions that all of us may have or that folks may have that don't lead to cancer, such as rheumatoid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer? A. I believe so. Q. If inhaled talc could migrate to the ovaries, wouldn't you expect to see increased ovarian cancer risk with nongenital use of talcum powder? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that Dr. Saed and others have done. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. There's conditions that are inflammatory reactions that all of us may have or that folks may have that don't lead to cancer, such as rheumatoid arthritis; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer? A. I believe so. Q. If inhaled talc could migrate to the ovaries, wouldn't you expect to see increased ovarian cancer risk with nongenital use of talcum powder? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: In other words, inhaled.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that Dr. Saed and others have done. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. There's conditions that are inflammatory reactions that all of us may have or that folks may have that don't lead to cancer, such as rheumatoid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer? A. I believe so. Q. If inhaled talc could migrate to the ovaries, wouldn't you expect to see increased ovarian cancer risk with nongenital use of talcum powder? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that  Dr. Saed and others have done.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. There's conditions that are inflammatory reactions that all of us may have or that folks may have that don't lead to cancer, such as rheumatoid arthritis; is that right?  A. That's, best as I understand, rheumatoid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer? A. I believe so. Q. If inhaled talc could migrate to the ovaries, wouldn't you expect to see increased ovarian cancer risk with nongenital use of talcum powder? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: In other words, inhaled. I think the inhalation is much smaller, but, to date,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that  Dr. Saed and others have done.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. There's conditions that are inflammatory reactions that all of us may have or that folks may have that don't lead to cancer, such as rheumatoid arthritis; is that right?  A. That's, best as I understand, rheumatoid arthritis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer? A. I believe so. Q. If inhaled talc could migrate to the ovaries, wouldn't you expect to see increased ovarian cancer risk with nongenital use of talcum powder? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: In other words, inhaled. I think the inhalation is much smaller, but, to date, we haven't seen an increased risk of ovarian cancer. BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that Dr. Saed and others have done. BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. There's conditions that are inflammatory reactions that all of us may have or that folks may have that don't lead to cancer, such as rheumatoid arthritis; is that right?  A. That's, best as I understand, rheumatoid arthritis.  Q. Same with psoriasis; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer? A. I believe so. Q. If inhaled talc could migrate to the ovaries, wouldn't you expect to see increased ovarian cancer risk with nongenital use of talcum powder? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: In other words, inhaled. I think the inhalation is much smaller, but, to date, we haven't seen an increased risk of ovarian cancer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that  Dr. Saed and others have done.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. There's conditions that are inflammatory reactions that all of us may have or that folks may have that don't lead to cancer, such as rheumatoid arthritis; is that right?  A. That's, best as I understand, rheumatoid arthritis.  Q. Same with psoriasis; is that right?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer? A. I believe so. Q. If inhaled talc could migrate to the ovaries, wouldn't you expect to see increased ovarian cancer risk with nongenital use of talcum powder? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: In other words, inhaled. I think the inhalation is much smaller, but, to date, we haven't seen an increased risk of ovarian cancer. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. With inhaled talcum powder; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that  Dr. Saed and others have done.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. There's conditions that are inflammatory reactions that all of us may have or that folks may have that don't lead to cancer, such as rheumatoid arthritis; is that right?  A. That's, best as I understand, rheumatoid arthritis.  Q. Same with psoriasis; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Those are chronic inflammatory diseases;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. O'DELL: Objection. THE WITNESS: It doesn't talk about migration. You're right. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. And it also says nothing about inhaled particles causing ovarian cancer; is that right? A. In this particular study, although we know from asbestos studies that it does. Q. Well, don't studies of talcum powder use fail to show statistically significant association between nongenital use of talcum powder and ovarian cancer? A. I believe so. Q. If inhaled talc could migrate to the ovaries, wouldn't you expect to see increased ovarian cancer risk with nongenital use of talcum powder? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: In other words, inhaled. I think the inhalation is much smaller, but, to date, we haven't seen an increased risk of ovarian cancer. BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. With inhaled talcum powder; correct? A. With inhaled talcum powder.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	inflammation evidence yourself, have you?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm aware of I've done a review and have been aware of inflammation in gynecologic cancers, especially ovarian cancer, with elevated serum biomarkers suggesting inflammation and also more biologic the laboratory work that Dr. Saed and others have done.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. You do know that not all inflammatory conditions lead to cancer; correct?  A. Yes.  Q. There's conditions that are inflammatory reactions that all of us may have or that folks may have that don't lead to cancer, such as rheumatoid arthritis; is that right?  A. That's, best as I understand, rheumatoid arthritis.  Q. Same with psoriasis; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. Those are chronic inflammatory diseases; correct?

Page 58 of 89 Page 222 Page 224 1 inflammatory disease of the skin? A. We don't know that information. A. It can have -- in joints. There can be a Q. Do you consider cornstarch to be a talcum 3 skin component to rheumatoid arthritis. I thought you powder product that causes inflammation? 4 were talking about psoriasis. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. How does an acute inflammatory response lead THE WITNESS: It's not a talcum powder 6 product. A. An acute inflammatory response, I don't BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. What about a product like Shower to Shower, 8 believe, leads to cancer. 9 which contains cornstarch and talcum powder? Q. You have -- well, strike that. 10 On page 9 of your report, you conclude that 10 A. And your question is? 11 (as read): 11 Q. My question is, is there a certain amount of 12 12 talcum powder that a product must contain to cause "Talcum powder products is a 13 13 inflammation? causative factor in the 14 development of epithelial ovarian 14 A. Not that we're aware of. 15 15 cancer." Q. 1 percent talcum powder, 99 percent 16 Is that right? cornstarch, that could cause inflammation resulting in 17 epithelial ovarian cancer. Is that your testimony? A. Yes. 18 Q. We can change that now based upon your 18 A. I think that's possible. testimony that talcum powder products is a causative 19 Q. What methodology have you arrived -- strike 20 that. factor in the development of serous ovarian cancer; 21 21 What methodology have you employed to arrive correct? 22 22 at the conclusion that the Shower to Shower product MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 23 THE WITNESS: I think I would stay with causes inflammation? epithelial ovarian cancer till we have more data. 24 A. It has talcum powder in it. 25 25 Q. Your opinion that talcum powder products Page 223 Page 225 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: 1 cause inflammation is not based on the determination Q. How do you define the term "talcum powder 2 that there is a threshold amount of talcum powder that 3 products"? 3 is required to be in the product before you can 4 conclude that the product will cause chronic A. Talcum powder products are Johnson's baby 5 powder and Shower to Shower. inflammation: correct? Q. Are other consumer talcum powder products MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 7 included in your conclusions? THE WITNESS: I think there's no 8 A. Yes, but Johnson & Johnson has the market threshold amount that -- below which the patient share, as I understand it. that's exposed to talcum powder is safe. 10 Q. Do you understand that some of the talc BY MR. ZELLERS: 11 epidemiology separates use by type of talcum powder 11 Q. Is there a study that you can cite me to for

- 12 product?
- 13 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you
- 15 mean by type of talcum powder.
- 16 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 17 Q. Do you include talc-containing deodorizing
- 18 sprays in your definition of talcum powder products? 19 THE WITNESS: No. We've been talking
- 20 today, I thought, about Johnson -- as you defined it
- 21 to start the day as Johnson & Johnson baby powder and
- 22 Shower to Shower.
- 23 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Is there a certain amount of talcum powder
- 25 that a product must contain to cause inflammation?

- 12 that proposition?
- 13 A. No, except that, overall, women that have
- been exposed to talcum powder in the perineum have an
- increased risk of ovarian cancer. And we don't know
- the quantity in each individual patient. So some
- patients may have had a small amount and developed
- ovarian cancer, unfortunately.
- 19 Q. If inflammation is the issue, why would
- 20 cornstarch be a superior alternative to talc?
- 21 A. Because I don't believe cornstarch causes
- 22 chronic inflammation. It's absorbed by the body.
- 23 Macrophages come in and clear it out. It's not a
- permanent mineral like talc is.

25

Q. Are you aware that the FDA banned the use of

1 cornstarch on surgical gloves because of the risk of 2 inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, adhesions, and

3 irritation?

A. Yes, because that was causing an acute

5 inflammation, not a chronic inflammation.

6 Q. Are you aware, though, that that was the

reason the FDA banned the use of cornstarch on

surgical gloves?

9 A. They were trying to stop adhesion formation 10 after surgery.

11 Q. So you are aware of that; is that right?

12 A. Yes. When I was coming up, we had to wash

13 our gloves before we operated, for that reason.

14 Q. How many patients with ovarian cancer have

15 you operated on over the course of your career?

16 A. I would say probably 30 women a year over 40

17 years.

18 Q. For those patients that had nonendometrioid

ovarian cancer, have you seen evidence of inflammation

when you operated? 20

21 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

22 THE WITNESS: When I operated,

23 75 percent of these patients have cancer all over

24 their abdominal and peritoneal cavity, and identifying

25 inflammation visually from the cancer is something a

Page 227

1 surgeon or any doctor can't do.

If you look at histologic specimens of the

3 tumor -- the cancer, we see inflammation, we see

4 lymphocytes and other inflammatory cells. And, in

5 addition, you see inflammatory biomarkers like CA-125.

6 BY MR. ZELLERS:

7 Q. At least grossly, when you operate on

patients with nonendometrioid ovarian cancer, you do

9 not see evidence of inflammation; correct?

10 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

11 THE WITNESS: Well, I see --

12 MS. O'DELL: I'm sorry.

13 THE WITNESS: -- probably more acute

14 inflammation. We do see additional increased

15 peritoneal fluid, what's called ascites, which is

16 probably an inflammatory response to the cancer.

17 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Do you see adhesions?

19 A. Sometimes.

Q. So it's your testimony that, when you operate

21 on patients with nonendometrioid ovarian cancer, you

22 do see evidence of inflammation grossly; is that

23 right?

18

24 A. Yes, with ascites.

25 Q. What else? A. That's about the only thing that I can

2 determine with my naked eye as to what looks like

inflammation.

Q. You see that in some patients but not all

patients with ovarian cancer; correct?

A. That's true. That's not the only thing that

is related to inflammation.

Q. For your patients with a nonendometrioid

ovarian cancer, has microscopic examination of their

tissues shown evidence of activation of an

inflammatory cascade?

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

13 THE WITNESS: I don't think that

pathologists look at that. And I'm not sure exactly

what you would identify histologically in an

inflammatory cascade. I described to you lymphocytes,

17 for example, that represent inflammation.

BY MR. ZELLERS:

19 Q. Has it shown evidence of granulomas?

20 A. No.

12

21 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

22 BY MR. ZELLERS:

23 Q. Has it shown evidence of foreign body or

giant cell reactions?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

Page 229

Page 228

Q. Do you believe that every time a talc

particle enters the human body, it produces an

inflammatory response?

A. A talc particle? Are we talking about platy

5 talc or fibrous talc or what kind of talc --

Q. Talcum powder. Do you believe that every

time a talc particle -- talcum powder enters the human

body, it produces an inflammatory response?

A. I think it does on a microscopic basis, yes.

Q. You rely on Heller 1996 for the idea that

talc can migrate to the ovaries. We talked about the

Heller paper; right?

A. Yes.

10

13

16

25

Q. And, in fact, didn't Heller find that there

was no reaction in the ovaries to the talc particles?

A. I'd like to look at that paper again --

17 Q. Sure. Take --

18 A. -- because we were talking along the lines of

what ovarian cancer patients look like and now we're

back to --

21 Q. I can get it for you or your counsel can show 22

you.

23 I'm looking at Heller 1996, page 1508, right

24 column, second-to-last paragraph.

Counsel, is it easier for me to find it?

	Daniei Pagelo 239	443	1 301 2 311, 11.2.
	Page 230		Page 232
1	MS. O'DELL: Yeah, why don't you do	1	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2	that?	2	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
3	MR. ZELLERS: All right. We'll mark	3	BY MR. ZELLERS:
4	the Heller paper that we discussed previously as	4	Q. In your report, you state (as read):
5	Exhibit 27.	5	"An inflammatory reaction caused
6	(Exhibit No. 27 was marked for identification.)	6	by talcum powder on the tube and
7	BY MR. ZELLERS:	7	surface of the ovary results in
8	Q. Doctor, is this the paper we talked about	8	genetic mutations and
9	previously and that you reviewed and are relying on in	9	carcinogenesis."
10	this case?	10	Is that right?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Turn, if you will, to page 1508, the second	12	Q. And you cite on page 9 in your report
13	page. And I'm looking on the right-hand column just	13	well, strike that.
14	two sentences above "Comment" (as read):	14	So what authority supports that statement?
15	"There was no evidence of response	15	A. What was the question again?
16	to talc, such as foreign body	16	Q. Sure. In your report, page 9, under
17	giant cell reactions or fibrosis	17	"Plausibility," second sentence, you state (as read):
18	in the tissue."	18	"An inflammatory reaction caused
19	Did I read that correctly?	19	by talcum powder on the tube and
20	A. Yes.	20	surface of the ovary results in
21	Q. What evidence is there that externally	21	genetic mutations and
22	applied talcum powder causes chronic inflammation?	22	carcinogenesis."
23	A. Again, I think we see increased biomarkers.	23	What authority supports that statement?
24	I think Dr. Saed's research using ovarian cancer cells	24	A. The sequence of events from perineal talc
1	shows the inflammatory response that results in gene	25	exposure to ovarian cancer and the mechanism of
	Page 231		Page 233
	mutations.	١.	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of
2	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of	2	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes
2 3	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally	3	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.
2 3 4	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas,	2 3 4	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you
2 3 4 5	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's	2 3 4 5	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?
2 3 4 5 6	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the
2 3 4 5 6 7	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US women have used genital talc, shouldn't this be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement, "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US women have used genital talc, shouldn't this be a common finding, inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement, "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and surface of the ovary results in genetic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US women have used genital talc, shouldn't this be a common finding, inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis along a woman's reproductive tract?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement, "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and surface of the ovary results in genetic mutations and carcinogenesis."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US women have used genital talc, shouldn't this be a common finding, inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis along a woman's reproductive tract?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement, "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and surface of the ovary results in genetic mutations and carcinogenesis."  What study can I go look at, what study can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US women have used genital talc, shouldn't this be a common finding, inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis along a woman's reproductive tract?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Those conditions you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement, "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and surface of the ovary results in genetic mutations and carcinogenesis."  What study can I go look at, what study can I read, what study are you relying on for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US women have used genital talc, shouldn't this be a common finding, inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis along a woman's reproductive tract?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Those conditions you're describing are the reaction to an acute inflammation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement, "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and surface of the ovary results in genetic mutations and carcinogenesis."  What study can I go look at, what study can I read, what study are you relying on for that statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US women have used genital talc, shouldn't this be a common finding, inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis along a woman's reproductive tract?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Those conditions you're describing are the reaction to an acute inflammation.  We're talking about chronic inflammation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement, "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and surface of the ovary results in genetic mutations and carcinogenesis."  What study can I go look at, what study can I read, what study are you relying on for that statement?  A. What I just described to you. The study is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US women have used genital talc, shouldn't this be a common finding, inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis along a woman's reproductive tract?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Those conditions you're describing are the reaction to an acute inflammation.  We're talking about chronic inflammation.  BY MR. ZELLERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement, "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and surface of the ovary results in genetic mutations and carcinogenesis."  What study can I go look at, what study can I read, what study are you relying on for that statement?  A. What I just described to you. The study is that the patients have ovarian cancer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US women have used genital talc, shouldn't this be a common finding, inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis along a woman's reproductive tract?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Those conditions you're describing are the reaction to an acute inflammation.  We're talking about chronic inflammation.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. So your testimony is inflammation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement, "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and surface of the ovary results in genetic mutations and carcinogenesis."  What study can I go look at, what study can I read, what study are you relying on for that statement?  A. What I just described to you. The study is that the patients have ovarian cancer.  Q. Please name the study that you're relying on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US women have used genital talc, shouldn't this be a common finding, inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis along a woman's reproductive tract?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Those conditions you're describing are the reaction to an acute inflammation.  We're talking about chronic inflammation.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. So your testimony is inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions are inconsistent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement, "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and surface of the ovary results in genetic mutations and carcinogenesis."  What study can I go look at, what study can I read, what study are you relying on for that statement?  A. What I just described to you. The study is that the patients have ovarian cancer.  Q. Please name the study that you're relying on for that proposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	mutations.  Q. Well, we talked a bit ago, you're unaware of any reports or studies in the literature of externally applied talc leading to inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis, or adhesions anywhere along a woman's reproductive tract; is that right?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: So what you're describing with adhesions is a reaction is an acute reaction acute inflammatory reaction, not a chronic reaction.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. My question is if up to 50 percent of US women have used genital talc, shouldn't this be a common finding, inflammation, granulomas, fibrosis along a woman's reproductive tract?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Those conditions you're describing are the reaction to an acute inflammation.  We're talking about chronic inflammation.  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. So your testimony is inflammation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	chronic inflammation on that ovary over a period of time results in the gene mutation which then becomes ovarian cancer.  Q. On what authority, on what study, are you relying for that statement?  A. On the epidemiologic data showing that the use of perineal talc results in ovarian cancer.  Q. But those studies don't state and find that it's an inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and the ovary, do they?  A. By the time the patient has ovarian cancer, you don't see that.  Q. So my question is you've made the statement, "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and surface of the ovary results in genetic mutations and carcinogenesis."  What study can I go look at, what study can I read, what study are you relying on for that statement?  A. What I just described to you. The study is that the patients have ovarian cancer.  Q. Please name the study that you're relying on for that proposition.  A. All the epidemiologic studies that we've been

Page 234 Page 236 1 between the exposure of talcum powder to women's 1 that inflammation is occurring when Johnson's baby 2 perineum and ovarian cancer. 2 powder is put into culture with a very normal ovarian 3 <sup>3</sup> cancer -- normal ovarian cells. Q. And it's your testimony that all of those 4 studies discuss the inflammatory reaction as the 4 BY MR. ZELLERS: causal mechanism; is that right? Q. You'd agree that the research regarding 6 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 6 whether chronic inflammation can cause ovarian cancer 7 THE WITNESS: Those studies do not is ongoing; is that right? 8 discuss the mechanism in all studies. Some do. A. I think cancer research in general is BY MR. ZELLERS: ongoing. 10 Q. So here's what I want: You're saying here 10 Q. Most of the studies that you cite talking 11 "An inflammatory reaction caused by talcum powder on about chronic inflammation refer to chronic 12 the tube and surface of the ovary results in genetic inflammation as a hypothesis of one of the ways cancer mutations and carcinogenesis." might form in the ovary; is that right? 14 14 What study are you referring to, are you MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 15 15 relying on, for that statement? THE WITNESS: I think it's the most 16 A. That the patient got ovarian cancer. She had 16 likely -- more likely than not that's the reason that 17 carcinogenesis. She had gene mutations caused by ovarian cancer forms on the ovary. chronic inflammation that led to cancer. And then we BY MR. ZELLERS: 19 operated on the patient and found she had cancer. 19 Q. But it is a hypothesis which scientists and 20 Q. What is the study that says that the medical professionals are studying; is that right? 21 mechanism, the biologic mechanism, was an inflammatory MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 22 reaction caused by talcum powder on the tube and 22 THE WITNESS: It's being studied, and 23 surface of the ovary? 23 evidence coming out of laboratories is confirming that 24 A. Would you like to turn to laboratory studies? 24 hypothesis that we have in human beings. 25 Q. Is there a study that you're relying on for Page 235 Page 237 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: 1 that statement? A. There's no way somebody could do a study. Q. You are familiar with a paper published by 3 3 Merritt in 2008, "Talcum Powder, Chronic Pelvic Q. All right. A. They do serial biopsies of the ovary, watch 4 Inflammation, and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of 5 for those gene mutations, and then watch for cancer to 5 Epithelial Ovarian Cancer"; is that right? 6 occur, and then say, hey, chronic inflammation led to A. I've seen it. <sup>7</sup> cancer several years later. I don't know how anybody Q. All right. And you cite that in Exhibit B to your report. We've marked that as Exhibit 6 to this 8 could do such a study. 9 Q. In your report, you state -- this is also on 9 deposition. 10 page 9, under "Coherence" (as read): 10 That's an Australian-wide case-control study 11 of around 1500 women with invasive and low malignant "Epidemiologic data, in vitro and 12 in vivo research, are consistent potential ovarian tumors and 1500 population-based 13 13 controls. in explaining the pathogenesis of 14 epithelial ovarian cancer through 14 Does that refresh your recollection? 15 15 the inflammatory methods described MS. O'DELL: Are you speak of Merritt 16 16 2007? above." 17 17 Did I read that correctly? MR. ZELLERS: I thought I was speaking 18 A. Yes, sir. of Merritt 2008, which the doctor refers to in his 19 Q. How does epidemiological data support your additional materials-considered list on page 17. 20 20 inflammation theory? MS. O'DELL: Let's make sure we've got 21 MS. O'DELL: Objection to the form. that. And that's "Talcum Powder, Chronic 22 THE WITNESS: The inflammation theory Inflammation, NSAIDs in Relation to the Risk of

24

25

23 is the only plausible theory that I think we have to

explain why talcum powder can cause ovarian cancer.

And we see, then, in Dr. Saed's laboratory

Epithelial Ovarian Cancer"?

MR. ZELLERS: That's correct.

MS. O'DELL: Okay.

24

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Documen Page 62 of 89 Page 238 Page 240 1 BY MR. ZELLERS:

- 2 Q. And let me try to cut to the chase, Doctor, 3 so when you look at it, we can --
- The study concludes that, on balance, chronic inflammation does not play a major role in the
- development of ovarian cancer; is that right?
- 7 A. I would have to reread this study if you're
- 8 reading from some particular place. I don't recall
- 9 exactly how this study was even designed or executed.
- 10 Q. Take a look -- and we'll mark this as an
- 11 exhibit. Deposition Exhibit 28 is the Merritt paper.
- 12 (Exhibit No. 28 was marked for identification.)
- 13 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 14 Q. Doctor, is this the same as what you're
- 15 looking at there?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. This is a study that you cite in support of
- 18 your opinions; is that right?
- 19 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 20 I think it's referenced in his materials list. It's
- 21 not cited in his report.
- 22 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 23 Q. It's a study that you felt was at least
- 24 important enough to refer to in your
- <sup>25</sup> materials-considered list; is that right?

- A. Okay. Without knowing what -- how we got to
- <sup>2</sup> this discussion, go right ahead.
- Q. Well, I'm citing your paper or at least one
- of the papers you read and considered.
- A. I have not read every word of every one of
- 6 these papers. And you can imagine that, and you can
- appreciate that.
  - Q. You've not read the studies that are
- contained in your materials-considered list --
  - MS. O'DELL: Objection.
- 11 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. -- Exhibit 6 to the deposition?
- 13 MS. O'DELL: Excuse me. Objection.
- 14 Misrepresents his testimony.
  - What's your question?
- 16 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Well, do you want to answer that question?
- You've not read each and every one of the studies;
- 19 correct?

12

- 20 MS. O'DELL: Objection. Misrepresents
  - his testimony. I think what he had testified to
- 22 earlier is that he had not read every word of every
- 23 study but had read the abstracts of -- certainly of
- 24 every one.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Right. And I haven't

## Page 239

- 1 A. Along with all these other materials, yes.
  - Q. Well, if we go to the "Discussion" on
- <sup>3</sup> page 174 of Deposition Exhibit 28 -- are you with me 4 on 174?
- 5 A. I'm on 174. Which paragraph?
- 6 Q. Well, the very first --
- 7 A. Can I back up? I'd like to refresh my memory
- of what this study was about. 8
- 9 It was a case-control study, 1500 patients.
- 10 Confirmed statistical significance of increased
- 11 ovarian cancer risk associated with use of talc.
- 12 Relative risk 1.17. Strongest were serous. I'm 13 trying to get down to your inflammation question.
- 14 Q. Well, it also talks about --
- 15 MS. O'DELL: I don't think the doctor
- <sup>16</sup> was finished.
- 17 MR. ZELLERS: Okay. If the doctor
- wasn't finished, what else do you need to say, Doctor,
- 19 before --
- 20 THE WITNESS: I'm trying to find out
- 21 where -- all's I'm reading is the abstract, not even
- 22 the details of the study so far.
- 23 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 24 Q. So I'd like you to go to "Discussion," which
- 25 is on page 174.

- Page 241 1 committed every abstract to memory. I'm sure you can
- <sup>2</sup> appreciate that too.
- 3 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. I can, and that's why you have it in front of
- 5 you.
- A. Okay.
- Q. So if we go to page 174, "Discussion," do you
- see that? See that paragraph on the left-hand side?
- 9 A. I see the page. Which paragraph do you want 10 to see?
- 11 Q. Well, do you see the word "Discussion"?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. All right. The first paragraph under
- "Discussion," the last sentence (as read):
- 15 "These results, in combination
- 16 with previous studies, suggest
  - that chronic inflammation is
- 18 unlikely to play a major role in
- 19 the development of ovarian
- 20 cancer."
- 21 Is that the statement -- did I read that
- 22 correctly?

- 23 A. I don't think so. Says (as read):
- 24 "May be linked to increased risk 25
  - of developing ovarian cancer."

	Daniel Pagelb. 239	<u>446</u>	
	Page 242		Page 244
1	Are we reading the same you're reading	1	opinions contained in your report?
2	the first sentence under "Discussion"?	2	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
3	Q. No. I'm reading the last sentence of	3	THE WITNESS: That it is well
4	"Discussion" last sentence of the first paragraph.	4	established, in my opinion, that pelvic inflammatory
5	A. Okay. You read it correctly.	5	disease is a risk factor for ovarian cancer.
6	Q. All right. And then if we go to the	6	BY MR. ZELLERS:
7	right-hand side, on the same page of the last	7	Q. Do you agree you cannot ignore the data that
8	paragraph, the first two sentences state (as read):	8	doesn't support your opinion and are only relying or
9	"If inflammation plays a role in	9	looking at data that does support your opinion?
10	the etiology of ovarian cancer,	10	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
11	then it would be expected that PID	11	THE WITNESS: My opinion is based on a
12	would be associated with increased	12	
13	risk of ovarian cancer. PID was	13	not my opinion, have established that PID is a risk
14	not associated with elevated risk	14	factor.
15	of ovarian tumors in our data,	15	MS. BOCKUS: Object. Nonresponsive.
16	confirming several previous	16	MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as
17	reports of no association with PID	17	nonresponsive.
18	in studies of all subtypes of	18	BY MR. ZELLERS:
19	ovarian cancer."	19	Q. Do you agree that in doing a proper expert
20	Did I read that correctly?	20	analysis, you need to review and consider the studies
21	A. You did.	21	that both support your opinions and the studies that
22	Q. So this study concludes that, on balance,	22	
23	-	23	A. Absolutely. That's my methodology.
24		24	Q. And you believe that you have done that in
25	A. So PID is pelvic inflammatory disease. Is	25	the discussion in your report; is that right?
	,		
_		_	
	Page 243		Page 245
	that what you understand it?	1	A. I believe so.
2	that what you understand it? Q. Yes.	2	<ul><li>A. I believe so.</li><li>Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies</li></ul>
3	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute	2 3	A. I believe so.  Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of
3 4	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually	2 3 4	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation,
2 3 4 5	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic	2 3 4 5	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?
2 3 4 5 6	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a	2 3 4 5 6	A. I believe so.  Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?  A. That's my understanding.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I believe so.  Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?  A. That's my understanding.  Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I believe so.  Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?  A. That's my understanding.  Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I believe so.  Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?  A. That's my understanding.  Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. I'd have to review those studies in more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.  Q. What study do you rely on for your opinion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs were, how chronically they were used, whether they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.  Q. What study do you rely on for your opinion that pelvic inflammatory disease is a risk factor or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs were, how chronically they were used, whether they started at the time the chronic inflammation started
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.  Q. What study do you rely on for your opinion that pelvic inflammatory disease is a risk factor or causative of ovarian cancer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs were, how chronically they were used, whether they started at the time the chronic inflammation started or later.
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.  Q. What study do you rely on for your opinion that pelvic inflammatory disease is a risk factor or causative of ovarian cancer?  A. If I could turn back to the documents you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs were, how chronically they were used, whether they started at the time the chronic inflammation started or later. Q. Would you agree that the literature that you
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.  Q. What study do you rely on for your opinion that pelvic inflammatory disease is a risk factor or causative of ovarian cancer?  A. If I could turn back to the documents you were using earlier today from either the CDC or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs were, how chronically they were used, whether they started at the time the chronic inflammation started or later. Q. Would you agree that the literature that you cite and that you rely upon for your inflammation
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.  Q. What study do you rely on for your opinion that pelvic inflammatory disease is a risk factor or causative of ovarian cancer?  A. If I could turn back to the documents you were using earlier today from either the CDC or Q. And just refer to them generally, and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs were, how chronically they were used, whether they started at the time the chronic inflammation started or later. Q. Would you agree that the literature that you cite and that you rely upon for your inflammation theory cites and just shows inflammation, not chronic
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.  Q. What study do you rely on for your opinion that pelvic inflammatory disease is a risk factor or causative of ovarian cancer?  A. If I could turn back to the documents you were using earlier today from either the CDC or Q. And just refer to them generally, and then we'll take a look. The CDC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs were, how chronically they were used, whether they started at the time the chronic inflammation started or later. Q. Would you agree that the literature that you cite and that you rely upon for your inflammation theory cites and just shows inflammation, not chronic inflammation, leading to cancer?
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.  Q. What study do you rely on for your opinion that pelvic inflammatory disease is a risk factor or causative of ovarian cancer?  A. If I could turn back to the documents you were using earlier today from either the CDC or Q. And just refer to them generally, and then we'll take a look. The CDC A. Well, I mean, the risk I'm not sure which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I believe so.  Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?  A. That's my understanding.  Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?  A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs were, how chronically they were used, whether they started at the time the chronic inflammation started or later.  Q. Would you agree that the literature that you cite and that you rely upon for your inflammation theory cites and just shows inflammation, not chronic inflammation, leading to cancer?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.  Q. What study do you rely on for your opinion that pelvic inflammatory disease is a risk factor or causative of ovarian cancer?  A. If I could turn back to the documents you were using earlier today from either the CDC or Q. And just refer to them generally, and then we'll take a look. The CDC  A. Well, I mean, the risk I'm not sure which one it was, but they are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I believe so.  Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?  A. That's my understanding.  Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?  A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs were, how chronically they were used, whether they started at the time the chronic inflammation started or later.  Q. Would you agree that the literature that you cite and that you rely upon for your inflammation theory cites and just shows inflammation, not chronic inflammation, leading to cancer?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm talking about chronic
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.  Q. What study do you rely on for your opinion that pelvic inflammatory disease is a risk factor or causative of ovarian cancer?  A. If I could turn back to the documents you were using earlier today from either the CDC or Q. And just refer to them generally, and then we'll take a look. The CDC  A. Well, I mean, the risk I'm not sure which one it was, but they are Q. Let me ask another question, then.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I believe so. Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. That's my understanding. Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk? A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs were, how chronically they were used, whether they started at the time the chronic inflammation started or later. Q. Would you agree that the literature that you cite and that you rely upon for your inflammation theory cites and just shows inflammation, not chronic inflammation, leading to cancer? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about chronic inflammation, to be clear.
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that what you understand it?  Q. Yes.  A. So pelvic inflammatory disease is an acute infection treated with antibiotics and usually resolves with proper treatment. So it's not a chronic infection. Having said that, PID is recognized as a risk factor in many of the studies many of the documents that you've referred to earlier this morning.  So this particular case-control study doesn't identify PID as a risk; but, in totality, pelvic inflammatory disease is considered a risk factor for ovarian cancer.  Q. What study do you rely on for your opinion that pelvic inflammatory disease is a risk factor or causative of ovarian cancer?  A. If I could turn back to the documents you were using earlier today from either the CDC or Q. And just refer to them generally, and then we'll take a look. The CDC  A. Well, I mean, the risk I'm not sure which one it was, but they are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I believe so.  Q. All right. Do you agree that the studies relating to NSAIDs are not consistent in terms of establishing that NSAIDs, which reduce inflammation, are associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?  A. That's my understanding.  Q. Wouldn't you expect, if your theory of inflammation is correct, that there would be consistency among the NSAID studies and that they would be consistently associated with reduced ovarian cancer risk?  A. I'd have to review those studies in more detail. I don't know what the doses of the NSAIDs were, how chronically they were used, whether they started at the time the chronic inflammation started or later.  Q. Would you agree that the literature that you cite and that you rely upon for your inflammation theory cites and just shows inflammation, not chronic inflammation, leading to cancer?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: I'm talking about chronic

	Pariter Page 10: 239	<del>44</del> /	
	Page 246		Page 248
1	Page 4, you cite Eberl 1948, Redic 1988, and	1	Q. But the FDA noted and I'm looking at
2	1993 NTP study of rats and mice for the proposition	2	page 4 that (as read):
3	that talcum powder is known to elicit an inflammatory	3	"The investigators conceded that
4	response in animals and humans. Is that right?	4	they had problems with the aerosol
5	A. Yes.	5	generation system and that the
6	Q. Those studies just show an acute inflammatory	6	study did not include positive and
7	response; is that right?	7	negative dust controls."
8	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	8	Is that right?
9	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that,	9	A. That's what it says.
10	but	10	Q. The FDA went on to conclude that (as read):
11	BY MR. ZELLERS:	11	"In light of these shortcomings, a
12	Q. Well, are you familiar with the FDA's 2014	12	panel of experts at the 1994
13	response to the citizens petition which we talked	13	ISRTP/FDA workshop declared that
14	•	14	the 1993 NTP study had no
15	A. Yeah. Let me pull that out again.	15	relevance to human risk."
16	Q. Sure. Do you have that available?	16	Did I read that correctly?
17	A. There's an exhibit here.	17	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
18	Q. I have it as Exhibit 19.	18	THE WITNESS: You read that correctly,
19		19	•
	Do you see that do you have that in front		and this that study was that workshop was
20	j	20	convened a decade before this letter was written.
21	A. I have the exhibit.	21	There was definitely more information available that
22	Q. So go to page 3, where the authors talk about	22	the FDA, once again, chose to not include or ignore.
23	the toxicologic findings.	23	BY MR. ZELLERS:
24	Do you see that?	24	Q. Well, let's take a look at just a couple of
25	A. I'll get there in a second.	25	the studies that you refer to in your report.
	Daga 247		Dog 240
	Page 247		Page 249
1	_	1	_
1 2	Q. Sure.	1 2	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that
	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question?		You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that
2	<ul><li>Q. Sure.</li><li>Can I ask you a question?</li><li>A. Just give me one minute, please.</li></ul>	2	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right? A. Yes.
2 3	<ul><li>Q. Sure.</li><li>Can I ask you a question?</li><li>A. Just give me one minute, please.</li><li>Okay.</li></ul>	2	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Sure.</li><li>Can I ask you a question?</li><li>A. Just give me one minute, please.</li><li>Okay.</li><li>Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings</li></ul>	3 4	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>Can I ask you a question?</li> <li>A. Just give me one minute, please.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question?</li> <li>A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay.</li> <li>Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National</li> <li>Toxicology Program, published a study, they state</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read):	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing	2 3 4 5 6 7	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here.  Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here.  Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir.  Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc instead of consumer-grade talc,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir. Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc instead of consumer-grade talc, resulting in the experimental	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir. Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab; is that right?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc instead of consumer-grade talc, resulting in the experimental protocol not being reflective of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here.  Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir.  Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab; is that right?  A. Yes.  Q. The purpose of the study was to assess
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc instead of consumer-grade talc, resulting in the experimental protocol not being reflective of human exposure conditions in terms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir. Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. The purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect from pine bark
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc instead of consumer-grade talc, resulting in the experimental protocol not being reflective of human exposure conditions in terms of particle size."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir. Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. The purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect from pine bark supplements; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc instead of consumer-grade talc, resulting in the experimental protocol not being reflective of human exposure conditions in terms of particle size." Did I read that correctly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir. Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. The purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect from pine bark supplements; is that right?  A. There was an effect to neutralize the impact
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc instead of consumer-grade talc, resulting in the experimental protocol not being reflective of human exposure conditions in terms of particle size." Did I read that correctly? A. Well, yes. But that's taken out of context	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir. Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. The purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect from pine bark supplements; is that right?  A. There was an effect to neutralize the impact of talcum powder.
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc instead of consumer-grade talc, resulting in the experimental protocol not being reflective of human exposure conditions in terms of particle size." Did I read that correctly? A. Well, yes. But that's taken out of context to what's above here from the NTP report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir. Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. The purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect from pine bark supplements; is that right?  A. There was an effect to neutralize the impact of talcum powder. Q. Did you consider the type of cells that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc instead of consumer-grade talc, resulting in the experimental protocol not being reflective of human exposure conditions in terms of particle size." Did I read that correctly?  A. Well, yes. But that's taken out of context to what's above here from the NTP report. Q. Have you made a determination in this case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir. Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. The purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect from pine bark supplements; is that right?  A. There was an effect to neutralize the impact of talcum powder. Q. Did you consider the type of cells that were evaluated in the Buz'Zard study?
2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc instead of consumer-grade talc, resulting in the experimental protocol not being reflective of human exposure conditions in terms of particle size." Did I read that correctly? A. Well, yes. But that's taken out of context to what's above here from the NTP report. Q. Have you made a determination in this case about the size of the particles in talcum powder	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir. Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. The purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect from pine bark supplements; is that right?  A. There was an effect to neutralize the impact of talcum powder. Q. Did you consider the type of cells that were evaluated in the Buz'Zard study?  And let me make it easy for you. The
2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Sure. Can I ask you a question? A. Just give me one minute, please. Okay. Q. The FDA, in reviewing the toxicology findings and specifically commenting on the 1993 National Toxicology Program, published a study, they state and I'm reading now the last paragraph (as read): "The study lacks convincing scientific support because of serious flaws in its design and conduct, including the investigators used micronized talc instead of consumer-grade talc, resulting in the experimental protocol not being reflective of human exposure conditions in terms of particle size." Did I read that correctly?  A. Well, yes. But that's taken out of context to what's above here from the NTP report. Q. Have you made a determination in this case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You cite to the Buz'Zard 2007 study; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. You rely on the Buz'Zard study to support your view that talcum powder causes chronic inflammation that leads to ovarian cancer. This is page 4 of your report, second-to-last paragraph.  A. Yes. I'm trying to pull out the Buz'Zard paper here. Q. Do you need me to give it to you, or do you have it in front of you?  A. I have it, sir. Q. All right. So this study was conducted in a nutritional lab, not a cancer lab; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. The purpose of the study was to assess whether there was a certain effect from pine bark supplements; is that right?  A. There was an effect to neutralize the impact of talcum powder. Q. Did you consider the type of cells that were evaluated in the Buz'Zard study?

- 1 culture and treatment."
- 2 A. I'm trying to find where they talk about
- 3 human origin. Temperatures. Immortalized, yes.
- 4 Normal ovarian epithelium and normal granulosa cells.
- <sup>5</sup> It's not just generic immortalized cells.
- Q. But the study used immortalized cells; is
- that correct?
- A. Immortalized ovarian cells.
- 9 Q. Did you investigate whether the ovarian cells
- 10 that they used were genetically altered?
- 11 A. Did I investigate whether they were
- 12 genetically altered?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. I had no opportunity to investigate that
- 15 question.
- 16 Q. If the Buz'Zard study used genetically
- 17 altered ovarian cells that did not have the p53
- protein, would that affect your analysis of Buz'Zard?
- 19 A. I would have to turn to a molecular biologist
- 20 to tell me what impact that might have had on the
- 21 impact of this study.
- 22 Q. Well, you yourself, as we talked about in the
- 23 very beginning today in one of your early
- 24 publications, a cell missing the p53 protein is not a
- 25 normal human ovarian cell; is that right?

- 1 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. Saed. You were citing the Saed studies, both

Page 252

Page 253

- <sup>3</sup> 2018, and now the Harper and Saed 2009 -- strike
- that -- 2019 abstract; is that right?
- A. Repeat the first one.
  - Q. Sure. You're relying, in part, for your
- inflammation theory on Saed 2018, that chapter, and
- the Harper and Saed 2019 abstract; is that right?
  - MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I'm relying on a paper --
- a review paper published in Gyn Oncology in 2017. Is
- 12 that what you're talking about?
- 13 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 14 Q. Well, I thought Saed that you cite in your
  - paper -- or your report -- was Saed 2018 and Harper
- and Saed 2019.
- 17 Are you relying on a Saed 2017 paper as
- 18 well?
- 19 A. There's a review paper, "Updates on Oxidative
- 20 Stress in Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer" that I am
- 21 familiar with and is a very nice review paper
- describing oxidative stress and gene mutation.
- 23 Q. Well, let me ask you a --
- 24 A. But there's two other abstracts here that
- <sup>25</sup> I think you're talking about.

Page 251

- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 1
- 2 THE WITNESS: No, that's not what we
- 3 were talking about this morning in the one 1993 study
- 4 that I was a coauthor on. P53 mutation is what we
- 5 were talking about.
- 6 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 7 Q. Right. Well, looking at the Figure 3 of the
- 8 Buz'Zard study 2007, "The inflammatory response does
- 9 not increase with increasing doses of talcum powder."
- 10 Is that right?
- 11 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: It does up to a point. 12
- 13 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 14 Q. Then stops; is that right?
- 15 A. That's right. And then it goes down,
- 16 probably because the talcum powder was killing the
- 17 cells.
- 18 MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as
- 19 nonresponsive.
- 20 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. In fact, the study shows that higher doses of
- 22 talcum powder are associated with lower ROS
- generation; is that right? 23
- 24 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: That's what it says. 25

- Q. Do you know that Dr. Saed is a paid expert
- 2 for the plaintiffs' lawyers in this litigation?
- A. No.
- Q. Did you consider that fact in evaluating
- 5 Dr. Saed's work?
- A. I believe he's an honest scientist and is
- doing good scientific work.
- Q. What is your basis for concluding that he's
- 9 an honest scientist?
- A. He has a good reputation in the gynecologic
- 11 oncology community. He's published peer review
- 12 publications that have been -- undergone critical peer
- 13 review.
- Q. Did Dr. Saed, in any of the publications that
- 15 you have reviewed -- 2017, 2018, and 2019 -- disclosed
- that he's a paid expert for the plaintiff lawyers in
- 17 this litigation?
  - MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Not exactly in those
- 20 words.

- 21 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 22 Q. Have you spoken with Dr. Saed?
- 23 A. No. I've never met him.
- 24 Q. Have you ever requested any information from
- 25 Dr. Saed?

Page 254 Page 256 1 A. No, I have not. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 2 Q. The Saed study looked at immortalized cell THE WITNESS: I think we don't know how 3 lines; is that right? 3 much talcum powder gets to the ovary. MS. O'DELL: Which study are you 4 BY MR. ZELLERS: 5 referring to? Q. Can you cite any data showing that the level 6 MR. ZELLERS: I'm referring to the 2018 6 of concentration of exposure used in the Saed study and 2009 publications that you have referenced with has ever occurred in women with perineal talc use? A. I think that's an unknown answer. the doctor. 9 MS. O'DELL: You said 2009 --Q. Do you know what SNPs are, S-N-P-S? 10 MR. ZELLERS: I'm sorry. 2019. Excuse 10 A. Yes. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms. 11 me. 11 Q. The Saed abstract and article looked at 12 12 single-nucleotide polymorphisms, or SNPs; is that THE WITNESS: Just to be clear, just so 13 right? 13 we know the authors, so you're talking about Fletcher 14 and Saed, the abstract? 14 A. That's correct. 15 BY MR. ZELLERS: 15 Q. They are changes to the individual building 16 Q. I was referring to what you cite and 16 blocks of DNA; is that right? 17 reference in your report, which, at least in part, is 17 A. Yes. 18 Saed 2018 and Harper and Saed 2019. Q. SNPs can be caused by a number of agents or 19 Did you review those studies and are you factors; is that right? 20 A. I believe so. 20 relying, at least in part, on those studies? 21 21 A. Those studies and then with the subsequent Q. Most SNPs have no effect on health or 22 development; is that right? <sup>22</sup> full-length manuscript by Dr. Saed. 23 23 Q. All right. And you're aware that Dr. Saed MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 24 looked at immortalized cell lines; is that right? 24 THE WITNESS: Individual SNPs. So SNPs 25 A. That is about the only way to do that kind of 25 do represent a gene mutation, and they do have impact Page 255 Page 257 1 research, is with immortalized cells. 1 on the carcinogenesis, if you will, or development of 2 cancer. Not in all cases. Q. Are you aware that Dr. Saed has testified 3 that the cells were modified with a virus to make them 3 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. What evidence do you have that the SNPs that 4 undergoing -- strike that -- to make them keep 5 undergoing division in vitro? 5 Dr. Saed observed are associated with ovarian cancer? A. I was not aware of that, but it may be a A. We see that this chronic inflammation caused 7 laboratory technique that's necessary to do continuous by talcum powder in his laboratory is creating SNPs, gene mutations. Gene mutations then become cancer. studies on the same cell line. 9 Q. Are you aware that Dr. Saed testified that Q. What studies can you cite that show that 10 the p53 gene was turned off in those cells? those SNPs have a statistically significant 11 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. association with ovarian cancer? 12 THE WITNESS: I was not aware of his 12 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 13 13 testimony at all. I've not read his deposition. THE WITNESS: I would have to return to 14 BY MR. ZELLERS: the literature. There's a broad literature about SNPs 15 Q. What methodology did you use to apply the that are more than the laboratory right now. But the 16 Saed results to normal cells in actual organs? combination of different SNPs is recognized as causing 17 17 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. cancer. 18 THE WITNESS: I think this is the best 18 I don't know the specific SNPs that you're 19 one can do, I presume -- I'm not a laboratory 19 referring to. 20 scientist, but the best they can do to replicate 20 BY MR. ZELLERS: 21 in vitro the impact of talcum powder on ovarian cells. 21 Q. Other SNPs have no effect on health or 22 BY MR. ZELLERS: 22 development; correct? 23 23 Q. Can you cite any data showing that the A. Some. 24 concentrations of exposure used in the Saed study are 24 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 25 25 the same as would be encountered in cosmetic use?

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Docume Page 67 of 89 Page 258 Page 260 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Oxidative stress, would you agree that Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, are you familiar with the 3 reactive oxygen species are a normal part of cell term "confounding"? 4 physiology? A. Yes. A. To some degree. 5 Q. That's where the presence of another Q. Do all substances that cause oxidative stress 6 association confuses the relationship between the also cause cancer? exposure and disease being studied; correct? A. That sounds like a reasonable definition. A. No. 9 Q. Does the presence of oxidative stress in Q. For example, if you're studying the 10 tissue indicate that cancer will develop in that association between coffee and pancreatic cancer, you 11 tissue? 11 need to be mindful of whether cigarette smoking is 12 A. It can develop in that tissue. 12 more common in coffee drinkers than in the rest of the 13 MS. O'DELL: Excuse me, Mike. Whenever population; correct? 14 you get to a breaking -- stopping point, we've been 14 A. And if there's some synergism between the 15 two. 15 going about an hour and 40 minutes, I think, something 16 like that. 16 Q. Cigarette smoking could be a confounder in 17 MR. ZELLERS: Sure. Let me just finish 17 that situation; is that right? <sup>18</sup> a couple of questions here. A. Yes. 19 BY MR. ZELLERS: 19 Q. Because if more coffee drinkers are smokers Q. The presence of oxidative stress in a tissue 20 than non-coffee drinkers, an association between 21 may or may not indicate that cancer will develop in coffee drinking and pancreatic cancer might be due to the smoking, not the coffee drinking; correct? 22 that tissue; is that fair? 23 23 A. Yes, that's correct. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. If exposure to a substance causes oxidative 24 THE WITNESS: That's where a researcher 25 stress in a certain tissue, does that mean that the would need to control for those variables. Page 259 Page 261 1 substance will cause oxidative stress in all types of 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: 2 tissues? Q. Confounding can distort results in 3 A. Not necessarily. <sup>3</sup> epidemiologic studies; is that right? Q. Does the body have protective mechanisms that 5 can limit tissue damage from oxidative stress? Q. You agree that residual confounding is 6 A. Yes. possible in every observational study; correct? 7 Q. What publications indicate that oxidative A. I'm not sure I understand what "residual 8 stress is involved in the development of ovarian confounding" is. 9 cancer? Q. Well, residual confounding is confounding 10 A. We're again talking about the evidence that that remains even after you have controlled for known 11 there's gene mutations being caused by oxidative confounders. 12 12 stress. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 13 Q. Can you cite to me a publication? 13 THE WITNESS: So let me read your 14 A. That results in ovarian cancer? 14 question. 15 15 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Yes. 16 16 A. No, I can't cite that to you. I can show you Q. Or I can ask it again. 17 17 the laboratory evidence that's leading to that A. Okay. 18 18 conclusion that it will happen one day. Q. I'll ask it again. 19 19 MR. ZELLERS: Let's take a break. You agree that residual confounding is

- 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record
- 21 at 3:22 p.m.
- (Recess taken from 3:22 p.m. to 3:38 p.m.) 22
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record 23
- 24 at 3:38 p.m.
- 25

- possible in every observational study; correct?
- 21 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 22 THE WITNESS: That is possible.
- 23 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 24 Q. You agree that it's possible that unmeasured
- 25 confounders may be present in every observational

Page 264 Page 262 1 study; correct? Obesity in adolescence may or may not be. 2 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. <sup>2</sup> I'm not aware of the data on that. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's possible. 3 <sup>3</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS: 4 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Factors weren't controlled for, Chlamydia Q. It's impossible to say that all known and <sup>5</sup> infection, history of weight gain, those are factors 6 unknown confounding factors have been controlled for 6 that were not controlled for -- strike that. Let me in any given study; is that right? be more precise. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A history of Chlamydia infection and a 9 THE WITNESS: That's why we do 9 history of weight gain during adolescence are two 10 randomized control trials if possible. recent factors that are being discussed among the 11 BY MR. ZELLERS: gynecologic oncology community; correct? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 12 Q. Many new factors possibly involved in ovarian 12 13 13 cancer are just being published in the literature; is THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the 14 that right? 14 obesity in adolescence. It may be. 15 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. BY MR. ZELLERS: 16 THE WITNESS: What's being -- what 16 Q. Those factors were not controlled for in any 17 I was referring to as new factors are really the of the published talc ovarian cancer studies; correct? 18 biological mechanisms by which ovarian cancer occurs. A. That's correct. 19 19 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. You rely on Terry 2013 in your report. It's 20 Q. Well, through time, there have been different 20 part of your graph on -- or your table on page 7; is 21 factors or potential factors involved in ovarian 21 that right? cancer; is that right? 22 A. Yes. 23 23 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. Terry 2013 did not adjust for hormone 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. <sup>24</sup> replacement therapy usage; is that right? 25 A. I would have to look to see what he did and Page 263 Page 265 1 didn't adjust for. 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. Some of those are borne out and some are not; Q. Is that easy for you to do? 3 is that right? A. I'm sorry? Q. Is that easy for you to do? A. I'm not sure what you mean --5 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. It's buried in here under fine print, I'm 6 THE WITNESS: -- by factors aren't 6 sure. <sup>7</sup> borne out. Q. Let me -- let me ask the question this way: If hormone replacement therapy is a risk -- well, 8 BY MR. ZELLERS: 9 Q. Well, at one point, was it thought that a strike that. 10 mumps virus was a potential viral etiology of ovarian Is hormone replacement therapy a risk factor 11 cancer? 11 for ovarian cancer? 12 12 A. Not that I'm aware of. When was that? A. We believe it is. 13 Q. You're not aware of that? 13 Q. If Terry 2013 -- and I'm asking you to assume 14 A. I'm not aware of it. 14 this. 15 15 Q. All right. Well, how about Chlamydia If Terry 2013 did not account for that 16 infection, a history of Chlamydia infection and a potential confounding factor, then we wouldn't know 17 history of weight gain during adolescence are two whether the odds ratio in the study would have been 18 recent examples of potentially new factors involved lower if the authors had made that adjustment; 19 correct? <sup>19</sup> with ovarian cancer; correct? 20 20 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Well, we just finished 21 THE WITNESS: Or it may have been 22 talking about pelvic inflammatory disease, and 22 higher. 23 Chlamydia is a pelvic inflammatory disease, so that BY MR. ZELLERS: 23 24 may be a specific new factor. But we already have 24 Q. We don't know; correct? 25 accepted PID as a risk factor. 25 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

Page 266 Page 268 1 THE WITNESS: We don't know. <sup>1</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS: <sup>2</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. How is talc similar to asbestos? Q. Asbestos. You're, as you've told us today, A. Talc has fibrous talc in it. Assuming 4 there's -- let me just make an assumption that there's an expert in asbestos; is that right? A. I feel comfortable talking about asbestos. 5 no asbestos in talc. So that's what you're asking me Q. You feel comfortable, as you told us and testified earlier, testifying as an expert on Q. I'm asking you -asbestos; is that right? A. A hypothetical that talc doesn't have --9 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. talcum powder doesn't have asbestos in it. 10 THE WITNESS: I don't think I said Q. My question to you is that you state here that there are minerals similar to talc causing <sup>11</sup> I was an expert in asbestos. cancer. And what I want to know is how is talc as a 12 BY MR. ZELLERS: 13 Q. Well, on page 9 of your report, you say mineral similar to asbestos? 14 14 A. Talc has a fiber in it. Fibrous talc is (as read): 15 15 "There are numerous reports in the similar to asbestos. 16 16 medical literature of minerals Q. Can you be any more specific? 17 17 similar to talc causing cancer. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 18 18 THE WITNESS: It's considered a Probably the most significant 19 example is asbestos and lung carcinogen. It's a long bundle of fibers. 20 BY MR. ZELLERS: cancer/mesothelioma." 21 21 Is that right? Q. Talc is a long bundle of fibers? 22 A. Yes. I'm trying to find where I say that. 22 A. Fibrous talc is. 23 <sup>23</sup> I -- it sounds perfectly right. Q. Well, I'm asking you about talc right now. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time finding 24 Is talc different than fibrous talc? 25 it. I looked under -- which topic are you reading A. If you are talking hypothetically about platy Page 267 Page 269 1 from? 1 talc only --Q. All right. You got page 9, under "Analogy"? Q. I'm talking about you as an expert and 3 Or --<sup>3</sup> describing for us the differences in the minerals A. Yes. <sup>4</sup> talc, fibrous talc, and asbestos. Q. "There are numerous reports in the medical A. So platy talc hypothetically is probably not 6 literature of minerals similar to talc causing cancer. <sup>6</sup> like asbestos, but it contains fibrous tale, which is 7 Probably the most significant example is asbestos and a long, elongated mineral that can act in the human lung cancer/mesothelioma." body similar to asbestos. 9 Did I read that correctly --Q. Can you be any more descriptive, or is that 10 A. Yes. as far as you can go in terms of explaining how 11 Q. -- from your report? 11 fibrous talc is similar to asbestos? 12 12 A. That's correct. A. Both cause a chronic inflammation in normal 13 Q. How is talc similar to asbestos? 13 tissues and then go on to cause oxidative stress and A. First of all, the -- a number of components mutations. 15 in talcum powder have carcinogens in them. There's Q. I'm talking more about the minerals. Can you 16 evidence that we haven't talked about yet that be any more descriptive about how fibrous talc, the Johnson & Johnson baby powder and Shower to Shower had mineral, is similar to asbestos? 18 asbestos in it, that fibrous talc is a carcinogen MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 19 19 according to IARC. THE WITNESS: Pictures I've seen look 20 And, in addition, heavy metals that are 20 like asbestos particles, and fibrous talc looked very contained in Johnson & Johnson baby powder, two of similar. 22 them are considered carcinogens also. BY MR. ZELLERS: 23 23 MR. ZELLERS: Move to strike as Q. What other minerals that are similar to talc 24 nonresponsive. 24 cause cancer? 25 25 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any.
BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Are your opinions in this case dependent on

4 talcum powder containing asbestos?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Do you believe that talcum powder that does

7 not contain asbestos causes ovarian cancer?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. If your -- if your assumption about

10 contamination of talcum powder products with asbestos

11 were not true, would that change your opinion in this

12 case?

13 A. No.

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

15 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Is it fair to say that you have not made any

17 independent determination that the Johnson's baby

18 powder and talcum powder products are contaminated

19 with asbestos?

MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: The only determination

22 I've had is the evidence that I've seen.

23 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. You don't have the personal expertise to make

25 that determination; is that right?

1 literature on the topic of the alleged presence of

Page 272

Page 273

<sup>2</sup> asbestos in talcum powder; is that right?

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: The literature?

BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. You're relying for their -- strike that.

For the proposition that there is asbestos

8 in the Johnson's baby powder and Shower to Shower

<sup>9</sup> product, your reviewing on the documents you were

provided by counsel, the exhibit from John Hopkins'

11 deposition, the exhibit from Julie Pier, and from the

12 selected company documents they provided to you;

13 correct?

A. I'm also relying on a publication by A.M.

15 Blount.

Q. That's what we identified earlier; is that

17 right?

21

<sup>18</sup> A. I believe so.

Q. The A.M. Blount article deals with

20 mesothelioma, not ovarian cancer; is that right?

MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: It talks about the

<sup>23</sup> presence of asbestos in talcum powder.

24 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Do you know that the deposition exhibits that

Page 271

A. I have the personal expertise to read reports

2 from experts and --

Q. Do you have the personal expertise to do the

4 testing necessary to determine whether or not talc is

<sup>5</sup> contaminated with asbestos?

6 A. No, I do not.

<sup>7</sup> Q. You're relying on the reports of Longo for

8 that information; is that right?

9 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: And I think also testing

11 that was performed by Johnson & Johnson, reported in

12 the John Hopkins deposition.

13 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Well, you're talking about the two exhibits

15 that you looked at, one exhibit in John Hopkins'

<sup>16</sup> deposition and one exhibit in Julie Pier deposition;

17 is that right?

18 A. Yes.

Q. You were given those documents by

20 Dr. Thompson and counsel for plaintiffs; is that

21 right?

A. Or by Ms. O'Dell, I'm not sure who.

Q. Or by Ms. O'Dell. I'll put her in the

<sup>24</sup> counsel of plaintiffs.

You did not undertake a review of the

1 you were given -- the exhibit to John Hopkins'

<sup>2</sup> deposition and the exhibit to Julie Pier's

<sup>3</sup> deposition -- that they were tables and exhibits that

4 were created by the plaintiff attorneys?

5 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.

6 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of how

<sup>7</sup> these tables were created.

8 BY MR. ZELLERS:

9 Q. Do you know where the data in those exhibits

10 came from?

11 A. I do not.

Q. Are you -- strike that.

Have you made any effort to investigate any

alternative explanations for the data in those charts?

15 And I'm talking about the Hopkins and Pier deposition

16 exhibits.

17 A. No.

22

25

Q. If scientists with Johnson & Johnson

companies and Imerys scientists say that those tests

don't actually show asbestos, you have no expertise to

21 dispute that personally, do you?

MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: Personally, no.

24 BY MR. ZELLERS:

Q. Have you looked at the evidence or been

1

 $^{\, 1} \,$  provided with the evidence of Johnson & Johnson

- <sup>2</sup> companies and Imerys that, in fact, those tests do not
- 3 show asbestos?
- 4 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 5 THE WITNESS: You're referring to the
- 6 charts that I have?
- 7 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. I'm not aware of that.
- Q. Have you confirmed that any of the talc
- 11 samples mentioned in those charts, the two exhibits of
- 12 Hopkins deposition and Pier deposition, were actually
- 13 from talc that was used in body powder?
- A. I believe the testing that was reported in
- 15 Hopkins was from Johnson & Johnson.
- Q. Number one, have you confirmed that any of
- <sup>17</sup> the talc samples mentioned in those charts were
- 18 actually from talc that was used in body powder?
- MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: I can't confirm that.
- 21 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. You realize that the vast majority of talc
- 23 isn't used for body powder; correct?
- MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know.

A. My recollection was, whatever technique they

Page 276

- <sup>2</sup> used, they didn't find asbestos.
- Q. Have you made any effort to quantify the
- 4 amount of any alleged contaminant in the Johnson's
- 5 baby powder products?
  - MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: What contaminant are you
- 8 talking about?
- 9 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Well, let's start with asbestos.
- 11 A. I haven't made any effort to quantify aside
- 12 from what's in the reports.
  - Q. Have you made any effort to quantify the
- 14 trace amounts of heavy metals that you contend are in
- the baby powder?
- A. I have not tried to quantitate that except
- 17 for what's in the reports.
- Q. Have you attempted to quantify in any manner
- 19 the fragrance chemicals that you believe are contained
- 20 in the baby powder?

21

- MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: The fragrance chemicals
- 23 that I know are contained in the baby powder?
- 24 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Well, you don't really know if any fragrance

## Page 275

## 1 BY MR. ZELLERS:

- Q. Did you consider any testing of Johnson &
- <sup>3</sup> Johnson or Imerys that found no asbestos in the talcum
- 4 nowder?
- 5 A. I presume there is. The report by Dr. Longo
- 6 didn't show it in every single sample.
- Q. Well, did you consider -- did you review any
- 8 of that testing of either Johnson & Johnson companies
- 9 or Imerys that found no asbestos?
- A. I was not aware of any data on that to that
- 11 point.
- Q. Were you provided that data or those test
- 13 results by counsel for plaintiffs?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Have you reviewed the FDA's testing of talcum
- 16 powder products?
- A. You'd have to show me that evidence.
- Q. Do you recall, sitting here, whether or not
- 19 you have been provided with the FDA's testing of
- 20 talcum powder products?
  - A. I believe I've seen it.
- Q. Have you made any effort -- well, strike
- 23 that.

21

- The FDA's testing, do you recall whether it
- <sup>25</sup> found asbestos or did not find asbestos?

- Page 277
  1 chemicals are contained in the baby powder. You have
- 2 reviewed some documents and materials prepared by
- 3 others which talk about that; right?
- A. Yes
- 5 Q. All right. Do you have an opinion on what
- 6 type of asbestos, if any, is in the Johnson's baby
- 7 powder?
- 8 A. Looking at the reports, there are several
- 9 types.
- Q. Tell us what types you believe -- what types
- of asbestos are found or -- strike that.
- What types of asbestos are found in the baby
- 13 powder?
- 14 A. So this is from the Hopkins Report.
- 15 Tremolite. Crystalline. Some more crystalline.
- 16 Crystalline. Crystalline. Tremolite. Actinolite.
- 17 Actinolite.

19

22

24

- Would you like me to go on?
  - Q. Well, you're just reading down from the
- 20 Hopkins, Exhibit 47; is that right?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. Do you know what type of asbestos is most
- 23 commonly associated with ovarian cancer?
  - MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
    - THE WITNESS: I think they all are.

Page 278 Page 280 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: A. Yes. Q. That's your belief? That all types of Q. Are you familiar with the limitations of that 3 asbestos are equally associated with ovarian cancer? 3 research? A. I think they're all carcinogens. MS. O'DELL: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I'm not quite sure --Q. Am I correct that, at least as you sit here, 6 you believe that all forms of asbestos are associated 6 BY MR. ZELLERS: with ovarian cancer? Q. I'm sorry. Did you finish? A. There's never been a randomized trial A. Yes. Q. One of the papers you looked at -- and <sup>9</sup> exposing women to different forms of asbestos to 10 I think it's contained in one of your folders -- was 10 determine whether one is more carcinogenic than the 11 other. 11 the Reid 2011 paper. Is that right? 12 12 A. Yes. Q. So your answer is yes; is that right? 13 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 13 Q. That was research on the potential 14 MS. BOCKUS: I was going to object to 14 relationship between asbestos and ovarian cancer. One of the limitations as discussed by Reid is that 15 his prior answer as nonresponsive. 16 THE WITNESS: Your question was, "Am there's a very small number of cases. 17 17 I correct?" Is that right? 18 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 18 BY MR. ZELLERS: 19 19 Q. What I want to know --THE WITNESS: I believe so. A. Do I believe that all forms of asbestos are 20 BY MR. ZELLERS: 21 associated with ovarian cancer? And the answer is 21 Q. Is it true that most, if not all, of the 22 yes. studies that you have reviewed with respect to 23 Q. Is there a particular type of asbestos that asbestos and ovarian cancer involve occupational <sup>24</sup> is primarily associated with ovarian cancer? exposure? MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and 25 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Page 279 Page 281 THE WITNESS: That's correct. <sup>1</sup> answered. 2 2 BY MR. ZELLERS: THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. Q. Did any of the nonoccupational asbestos 3 BY MR. ZELLERS: 4 studies reach statistical significance? Q. What dose of asbestos is associated with A. No. <sup>5</sup> ovarian cancer? A. We don't know. Possibly any dose. Q. Do you know how many women have been studied 7 Q. What type of ovarian cancer is asbestos in nonoccupational settings? associated with? A. In this particular study, it looks like 8 9 I guess that goes back to the answer before. 9 Italian wives of asbestos factory workers would be in 10 You don't know. Is that right? nonindustrial settings is 1780 women. 11 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. That's Q. Are you aware of the difficulties that have 12 existed over time in distinguishing between peritoneal 12 not what he said. 13 THE WITNESS: It's associated with 13 mesothelioma and ovarian cancer? <sup>14</sup> epithelial ovarian cancer. A. I'm aware that there are some uncertainty in 15 some pathologic diagnoses, yes. 15 BY MR. ZELLERS: 16 Q. Those difficulties potentially affect the Q. Does the type of ovarian cancer vary based on <sup>17</sup> the type of asbestos? reliability of the studies; is that right? 18 A. Well, I think both epithelial ovarian cancer MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and 19 answered. and mesothelioma of the ovary or peritoneum are both 20 THE WITNESS: I don't think anybody 20 malignancy. Q. Well, the studies have acknowledged that it's 21 knows that. 22 difficult to distinguish between the two, between 22 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. You've looked at studies that have explored 23 peritoneal mesothelioma and ovarian cancer; is that <sup>24</sup> the potential link between asbestos and ovarian 24 right? 25 25 cancer; is that right? A. Pathologically, that's correct.

Page 282

- Q. And the Reid study, again, makes that
- 2 finding. On the first page, in the right-hand column,
- 3 Number 2, "Difficulties with Diagnosis"; is that
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Have the studies addressed confounding and
- 7 independent risk factors?
- 8 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm certain that --
- 10 I would be quite confident that they didn't evaluate
- 11 these women, whether they had a BRCA1 or 2 mutation or
- 12 not, and other risk factors were not included.
- 13 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Well, Camargo 2011. That's another study
- 15 that you put in one of your folders in preparation for
- 16 today; is that right?
- 17 A. Yeah.
- Q. That study acknowledged an inability to
- 19 account for nonoccupational risk factors for ovarian
- 20 cancer other than age; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. These researchers conducted a meta-analysis
- 23 to evaluate the association between asbestos and
- 24 ovarian cancer; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Page 283
- Q. And they acknowledge, as we spoke just a
- 2 moment ago, that they could not account for
- 3 nonoccupational risk factors for ovarian cancer other
- 4 than age; is that right?
- 5 A. I believe so.
- 6 Q. Also looking at Camargo, wouldn't you expect
- 7 to find higher rates of other cancers in women using
- 8 talc, like mesothelioma, if they are being exposed to
- 9 substantial amounts of asbestos?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: They would be -- they
- would have to inhale it to a quantity enough to cause
- 13 mesothelioma of the lung.
- 14 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Are women who use talc in the perineal region
- <sup>16</sup> at greater risk of mesothelioma?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Are women who use talc in the perineal region
- <sup>19</sup> at greater risk of asbestosis?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. If there was more asbestos in talcum powders
- 22 in the 1970s, shouldn't we have seen higher rates of
- 23 ovarian cancer in the earlier studies?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I think getting back to

- Page 284
- 1 your point about confounding issues, the risk factors
- <sup>2</sup> in the 1970s above and beyond exposure to talc were
- 3 not always controlled for. I think we know more about
- 4 that today in ongoing studies.
- 5 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. You'd agree that exposure to asbestos through
- 7 the perineal cosmetic talc use, assuming that talc
- 8 contains asbestos fibers, is different from the heavy
- 9 occupational exposure that's primarily been
- o researched; is that right?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
  - THE WITNESS: Yes, I would agree with
- 13 that.

12

- 14 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. Is the asbestos that women are exposed to
- from using cosmetic talc qualitatively the same as the
- 17 raw asbestos encountered at a factory, if you know?
  - MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: The raw asbestos
- 20 encountered at a factory before it's processed?
- 21 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 22 Q. Yes.
- A. I don't know the answer to that.
- Q. Do you know what a cleavage fragment is?
- A. It's part of platy talc.
- Page 285
- Q. Do you know how a cleavage fragment differs
- <sup>2</sup> from an asbestos fiber?
- A. It has to do with the size of the fiber.
- 4 Q. Do you have any opinions about cleavage
- 5 fragments in this case?
- A. What case are we talking about?
- Q. You serving as an expert witness in the --
- 8 A. I guess I think of a case as a patient.
- 9 Q. Well, you're here today talking generally
- about the risk of ovarian cancer from talcum powder
- 11 use; is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.

13

16

- Q. Do you intend to express any expert opinions
- in this matter about cleavage fragments?
- MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
  - THE WITNESS: If asked.
- 17 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Okay. What opinions do you have about
  - <sup>9</sup> cleavage fragments? And, specifically, how does a
- 20 cleavage fragment differ from an asbestos fiber?
- A. So it has to do with the ratio of length to
- 22 width, and a cleavage factor has a less than 6:1
- 23 proportion.
- Q. Anything else?
  - A. You were asking about cleavage fragments?

Page 286

- Q. Yes. And I'm asking how it differs from an asbestos fiber --
- <sup>3</sup> A. Asbestos needle is longer. It's either a
- <sup>4</sup> ratio of 6:1 up to less than 15:1.
- Q. Anything else?
- 6 A. And then fibers are considered greater than
- <sup>7</sup> 15:1 ratio.
- Q. Asbestos fibers or cleavage fragments?
- 9 A. Asbestos fibers.
- Q. How does a cleavage fragment differ from
- 11 fibrous talc?
- A. I'm not sure I know the difference.
- Q. Does it make a difference to your theory and
- 14 your opinions if it turns out that talc contains
- 15 cleavage fragments of nonasbestiform amphiboles
- 16 instead of asbestiform amphiboles?
- MS. O'DELL: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: I'm going to have to read
- 19 your question.
- 20 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. Sure. And if you don't have opinions, that's
- 22 okay. I'm just trying to find out what you have
- 23 opinions about.
- A. No, I don't have an opinion.
- Q. You don't have opinions about whether or not

- 1 in front of me, though.
- <sup>2</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. You're not expressing opinions in this case
- 4 on fragrance chemicals and heavy metals and any
- 5 association fragrance chemicals and heavy metals may

Page 288

Page 289

- 6 have on ovarian cancer; correct?
  - MS. O'DELL: Objection. Form.
- THE WITNESS: No. I am expressing an
- 9 opinion about that.
- O BY MR. ZELLERS:
- 11 Q. What research have you done with respect to
- 12 the fragrance chemical and trace amounts of heavy
- metals that are contained in the talcum powder?
- MS. O'DELL: Objection to the form.
- 15 Compound.
- 16 THE WITNESS: It's my opinion that
- 17 talcum powder causes ovarian cancer, that talcum
- powder contains platy tale, fibrous tale, asbestos,
- 19 heavy metals -- three of them -- and fragrances.
- I'm not necessarily saying one of that list
- 21 is causing the cancer. It's the talcum powder -- the
- 22 baby talc -- baby powder and the Shower to Shower --
- 23 that's causing the ovarian cancer.
- 24 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. I understand that, and I think I've asked you

## Page 287

- 1 regulatory action in this area rejects the idea that
- <sup>2</sup> science has established that cleavage fragments or
- 3 nonasbestiform amphiboles pose the same risk as
- 4 asbestos; correct? You leave that to other experts to
- 5 address?
- 6 A. The regulatory portion, yes.
- Q. How, if at all, did you factor the difference
- 8 between asbestiform and nonasbestiform minerals into
- <sup>9</sup> your analysis of the relationship between talcum
- 10 powder use and ovarian cancer?
- MS. O'DELL: Objection to the form.
- 12 Compound.
- You may answer the question if you
- 14 understand it.
- THE WITNESS: Well, I'm quite certain,
- <sup>16</sup> based on IARC, that asbestiform minerals are
- 17 carcinogenic.
- 18 BY MR. ZELLERS:
- Q. That is your answer to my question?
- 20 A. Yes
- Q. All right. Fragrance chemicals and heavy
- 22 metals, you're aware those are addressed in
- 23 Dr. Crowley's report; is that right?
- MS. O'DELL: Objection. Form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. I don't have that

- 1 my questions with respect to that.
- What I'm asking about now is whether or not
- <sup>3</sup> you have made a separate analysis as to whether one or
- 4 more of the fragrance chemicals or one or more of the
- 5 trace heavy metals that have been reported to be
- 6 contained in talcum powder, whether those are causally
- 7 associated or a causal factor for ovarian cancer?
- 8 A. In combination with the commercial product
- 9 called baby powder and Shower to Shower, I think they
- 10 all contribute to the outcome, which is ovarian
- 11 cancer.

16

- Q. Are you relying on any scientific literature
  - 3 to support your opinion that some of the chemicals in
- 14 Johnson's baby powder cause ovarian cancer?
- MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
  - THE WITNESS: We know that they can be
- 17 carcinogenic.
- 18 BY MR. ZELLERS:
  - Q. With respect to ovarian cancer.
- A. Not specifically to ovarian cancer. We
- 21 haven't studied that.
- Q. Do you have any evidence that the fragrance
- 23 chemicals and trace heavy metals contained in
- 24 Johnson's baby powder have been tested in human beings
- and found to cause inflammation?

Page 75 of 89 Page 290 Page 292 1 A. I'm not aware of those studies. 1 Q. Or Shower to Shower? 2 Q. Is there any epidemiology, human studies, 2 A. No. 3 substantiating the theory that fragrance ingredients 3 Q. You've not done any independent testing of 4 can cause ovarian cancer? 4 that: correct? 5 5 A. Fragrance ingredients by themselves? A. That's correct. 6 Q. How, if at all, did you factor the dose 7 7 fragrances and heavy -- or trace heavy metals into A. I'm not aware of any study that's evaluated 8 that. your analysis of the potential relationship between 9 those compounds and ovarian cancer? Q. Is there any epidemiology study 10 10 substantiating the theory that fibrous talc is A. I didn't factor in. 11 carcinogenic? 11 Q. Let me ask you a couple of questions about 12 12 the Health Canada assessment and the Taher article. A. IARC claims it is carcinogenic. 13 Q. That it causes ovarian cancer, specifically? Those are new materials that you reviewed between the 14 A. I believe so. time of your report and appearing here today; is that 15 Q. You'd defer to IARC on that; is that right? 16 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 16 A. That's correct. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 Q. Have you read the draft Health Canada risk 18 BY MR. ZELLERS: assessment -- I'll provide you with a copy so we know 19 Q. Is there any epidemiology substantiating the what we're speaking of. 20 theory that exposures to trace amounts of heavy 20 (Exhibit No. 29 was marked for identification.) 21 metals, allegedly, or that you believe are contained 21 MR. ZELLERS: Deposition Exhibit 29 is 22 the draft Health Canada decision framework -- strike 22 in the Johnson's baby powder can cause ovarian cancer? 23 23 A. I'm not aware that anybody's done a that. 24 randomized trial in human beings with carcinogen --24 Exhibit 29 is the Health Canada 25 carcinogenic heavy metals to evaluate whether ovarian 25 Decision-Making Framework for Identifying, Assessing, Page 291 Page 293 1 cancer or any other cancer might occur. 1 and Managing Health Risks. 2 Q. Well, aside from a randomized clinical trial, Is that not what he's reviewed? MS. O'DELL: If you're handing him that 3 are you aware of any other epidemiology substantiating 4 the theory that exposures to trace amounts of the 4 and suggesting, that's not the health assessment that 5 heavy metals that are reported to be in the Johnson's 5 he's reviewed. baby powder can cause ovarian cancer? MR. ZELLERS: So do we have the health 7 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. assessment here? And, if not, we can just identify 8 THE WITNESS: I don't think that it. But I do want to ask him a few questions about 9 anybody's ever studied that as a separate entity of 9 the --10 metals only exposed to the ovary. 10 MS. O'DELL: I do think we have it 11 BY MR. ZELLERS: 11 here. But, if you're going to ask him questions, 12 Q. You have no evidence that the blood or tissue I would put it in front of him. So, if we don't have 13 levels of any trace heavy metals are higher in genital a hard copy, I'm happy to put my electronic copy in 14 talc users as compared to nonusers; is that right? front of him. 15 MR. ZELLERS: Well, please put whatever A. That's correct. 16 you think you need to put in front of the witness so Q. Are your opinions in this case depending on 17 talc containing carcinogenetic [sic] metals? he can answer a couple of questions about the Health 18 A. Not necessarily. Canada risk assessment. 19 19 Q. Are your opinions in this case dependent on MS. O'DELL: Sure. Give me just a 20 talc containing carcinogenetic [sic] fragrances? 20 moment --21 21 MR. ZELLERS: Sure. A. Not necessarily. 22 Q. Do you have any opinions or knowledge as to 22 MS. O'DELL: -- because the copy I have 23 the concentration of each of the fragrance chemicals 23 is marked up, and I know you prefer for me not to hand

24

25

him my marked-up copy.

24 that are contained in Johnson's baby powder?

25

A. No.

MR. ZELLERS: I would prefer that.

Page 294 Page 296 1 MS. O'DELL: Doctor, if you want to 1 Canada? 2 just use my computer, feel free to --A. I wasn't aware -- as I said, I wasn't aware THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm not real fast 3 that there were comments that could be made. 4 at running through a computer, but --Q. Outside of your litigation consulting work, 5 BY MR. ZELLERS: do you generally rely on draft assessments by regulatory agencies? Q. Hopefully, my questions will be pretty 7 high-level. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. You have in front of you the draft Health THE WITNESS: I think it's something 9 Canada risk assessment; is that right? that's worth looking at. It doesn't necessarily sway 10 A. On my tablet, yes. my opinion, but could be useful additional information 11 Q. Have you looked into what other public health 11 that might be cutting edge. 12 authorities have had to say about talc and ovarian 12 BY MR. ZELLERS: 13 cancer? 13 Q. You don't cite or -- strike that. 14 A. Except for what the FDA has had to say. 14 You do not rely on draft regulatory 15 Q. The answer is, no, other than with respect to assessments in your peer-reviewed publications and <sup>16</sup> what the FDA has said; is that right? studies; is that right? 17 17 A. The answer is no. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Asked 18 Q. Why would you rely on Health Canada but not 18 and answered. 19 other public health organizations? THE WITNESS: Not usually, but don't 20 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 20 know what -- there's information there. If there's 21 21 information I can extract from a draft of something THE WITNESS: It's my understanding 22 that this is very recent analysis of the issues 22 that's useful, I can use it. 23 regarding talcum powder and ovarian cancer and other 23 BY MR. ZELLERS: 24 harms. Q. Are you familiar with the precautionary 25 25 principle? Page 295 Page 297 A. Slightly. 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. You understand it's a draft assessment; is Q. Basically, that means taking a precautionary 3 that right? 3 approach to decision-making that emphasizes the need 4 to take timely preventative action even in the absence A. That's correct. Q. You understand that we're at the very of a full scientific demonstration of cause and beginning of the public comment period; is that right? 6 effect. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Does that sound right? 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. A. Sounds very reasonable, yeah. 9 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. You understand that Health Canada may have 10 Q. Are you aware that Health Canada can take up made recommendations that are purely precautionary; is 11 that right? to two years to take any action or no action at all? 12 12 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 13 13 THE WITNESS: I was not aware. THE WITNESS: That's what I've read, 14 BY MR. ZELLERS: 14 yes. 15 15 BY MR. ZELLERS: Q. How did you come to learn of the Health 16 16 Canada risk assessment? Q. I can go through the document for it if need 17 be, but in the -- its publication -- I'll hand it to A. It was brought to my attention by counsel. 18 you -- which we've marked as Exhibit 29, it is Q. By counsel for plaintiffs; is that right? 19 A. That's correct. captioned "Health Canada Decision-Making Framework for Identifying, Assessing, and Managing Health Risks." 20 Q. Were you involved in the risk assessment 20 21 prior to its publication? 21 Do you have that in front of you? 22 22 A. Was I involved? A. You've handed it to me, yes. Q. Yes. Q. If you go to page 5, Health Canada sets out 23 23 24 A. No. 24 the bases for its risk assessments; is that right? 25 Q. Have you submitted any comments to Health 25 A. Let me get to page 5 here.

Page 298 Page 300 Q. Sure. 1 1 BY MR. ZELLERS: 2 A. In the black box "Underlying Principles"? Q. All right. Thayer 2018, that's a new and 3 Q. Yes, "Underlying Principles." 3 additional meta-analysis that you have reviewed? One of the underlying principles is "use a 4 A. Yes. 5 precautionary approach"; is that right? Q. Let's mark Thayer 2018 as Deposition 6 A. That's what it says. 6 Exhibit 30. 7 Q. If you go, then, to page 8, second paragraph, (Exhibit No. 30 was marked for identification.) 8 second sentence, where Health Canada sets forth "use BY MR. ZELLERS: 9 of a precautionary approach," the second sentence Q. And you can tell us if this is --10 reads (as read): 10 A. I've got a copy. 11 "A precautionary approach to 11 Q. Well, take, if you will, the court --12 decision-making emphasizes the 12 deposition exhibit number. Just put it in your pile 13 need to take timely and there so we can make sure we all understand what we're 14 appropriately preventative action 14 talking about. 15 15 even in the absence of a full You have seen this review before; is that 16 scientific demonstration of cause 16 right? 17 and effect." 17 A. Yes, I have. 18 Did I read that correctly? Q. The Health Canada risk assessment that you 19 A. Yes, sir. looked at a few moments ago relies on this 20 Q. So a recommendation by Health Canada does not meta-analysis by Thayer and others; is that right? 21 A. That's my understanding. They may use other 21 require a finding of causation like is required in a court. Does that sound right based upon what we have 22 information too. 23 reviewed here? Q. Do you know whether or not Thayer 2018 has 24 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 24 been peer-reviewed? 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what the 25 A. I'm not aware of that. Page 299 Page 301 <sup>1</sup> requirements are for court. I understand the Q. Do you know if it has been submitted for 2 publication? <sup>2</sup> precautionary portion here. <sup>3</sup> BY MR. ZELLERS: A. I do not know. Q. And you also understand that, with the use of Q. How can you rely on the Health Canada risk <sup>5</sup> a precautionary approach, that action can be taken assessment without assessing the quality of one of the <sup>6</sup> even in the absence of a full scientific demonstration 6 major studies on which they rely? <sup>7</sup> of cause and effect? MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: And the major study MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: What action are you 9 you're referring to is Thayer? 10 BY MR. ZELLERS: 10 talking about? 11 BY MR. ZELLERS: 11 Q. Yes. 12 12 Q. Well, decision-making, any sort of A. Let me read the first part of your question 13 here. 13 assessment. 14 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. So I'm not saying that I rely on the Health 15 THE WITNESS: I'm still not 15 Canada risk for my total opinion. It's another piece <sup>16</sup> understanding. of evidence and information that's helpful in me BY MR. ZELLERS: coming to my opinion. And this only supports my 17 18 18 opinion. Q. Sure. Health Canada --19 19 A. Yes. Bradford Hill's breakdown is very similar to 20 my opinion. I didn't see this before I created my 20 O. -- does not need, in terms of its risk 21 assessment, to have a full scientific demonstration of 21 22 Q. Do you know if Thayer 2018 employed a 22 cause and effect? 23 reliable methodology? 23 A. I understand. 24 24 A. I believe it's very similar to other MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 25 25 methodology and systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

	Page 10: 239	<del>16</del> 1	rearbon, m.s.
	Page 302		Page 304
1	Q. Did you have access to the appendices or		point?
2	supplemental tables referenced in the Thayer	2	A. I do not disagree with the author on that
3	meta-analysis?	3	r · · · · · ·
4	A. I did not.	4	Q. One of the Bradford Hill criteria that we've
5	Q. Do you know the source of funding for Thayer	5	3,7, 3, 1, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
6	2018 meta-analysis?	6	A. Yes.
7	A. If it was listed on here, I should have	7	Q. Look at Thayer 2018. So Exhibit 30, page 25,
8	picked it up. If not, then I don't know the answer to	8	Table 2.
9	your question.	9	Do you have that?
10	Q. Do you know the credentials of the authors of	10	A. Yes.
11	Thayer 2018?	11	Q. Table 2 is entitled "Summary of Evidence for
12	A. None other than what are listed on the cover	12	Each of the Hill Criteria of Causation as Applied to
13	sheet of this paper.	13	Perineal Application of Talc and Ovarian Cancer."
14	Q. Do you personally know any of the authors of	14	Is that right?
15	Thayer 2018?	15	A. I'm sorry. What were you reading where
16	A. No, sir.	16	were you reading from?
17	Q. Do you know whether or not any of those	17	Q. Sure. Table 2 on page 25
18	authors have conflicts of interest or potential	18	A. Right.
19	conflicts of interest?	19	Q is captioned "Summary of Evidence for Each
20	A. Do not know.	20	of the Hill Criteria of Causation as Applied to
21	Q. In Thayer 2018, the authors concluded that	21	Perineal Application of Talc and Ovarian Cancer."
22	"The evidence suggests that asbestos contamination	22	A. Yes.
23	does not explain the positive association between	23	Q. And they kind of go through the same Bradford
24	perineal use of talc powder and ovarian cancer."	24	Hill factors that you do; is that right?
25	Is that right?	25	A. Yes.
	Page 303		Page 305
1	· ·	1	Q. Under "Consistency," they said that
2	MS. O'DELL: Mike, what page are you reading from?	2	(as read):
3	MR. ZELLERS: Page 41, last sentence.	3	"15 out of 30 studies reported
4	So we're on Deposition Exhibit 30, the Thayer	4	positive and significant
5	meta-analysis, page 41, last part.	5	associations."
6	MS. O'DELL: Thank you.	6	Is that right?
7	BY MR. ZELLERS:	7	A. That's right.
8	Q. Doctor, I really just have a really simple	8	Q. We're back to, similar with Langseth, half
9	question.		the studies showing significant associations and half
10	A. Okay.	10	the studies don't. Thayer reports that same findings
11	Q. So the authors conclude or state that		here; is that right?
12	(as read):	12	A. Yes, but not all studies have the same
13	"The similarity of findings		weight.
14	between studies published prior to	14	Q. And we've discussed that before; is that
15	and after this point suggest		right?
16	asbestos contamination does not	16	A. Yes. I just wanted to bring it up again,
17	explain the positive association	17	since we're talking about that topic.
18	between perineal use of talc	18	Q. Let's go to "no dose response." And that was
19	powder and risk of ovarian	19	your well, let me withdraw that statement.
20	cancer."	20	Go to page 21, if you will, second
21	Is that right?	21	paragraph, last few sentences.
22	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	22	Do you have that?
23	THE WITNESS: That's what they say.	23	MS. O'DELL: What page are you on?
24	BY MR. ZELLERS:	24	MR. ZELLERS: Page 21.
25	Q. Do you disagree with the authors on that	25	MIN. ELEBERO. 1 ago 21.
	Z. Do jou alsagree with the authors on that	1	

_	PagelD: 239	102	
	Page 306		Page 308
1	BY MR. ZELLERS:	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record
2	Q. The authors here in this section are	2	at 4:36 p.m.
3	discussing whether or not there is a dose response and	3	(Recess taken from 4:36 p.m. to 4:44 p.m.)
4	dose response findings in the studies; is that right?	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record
5	A. Yes.	5	at 4:44 p.m.
6	Q. They conclude at the very end and I'm	6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS
7	looking on page 21, the last sentence above 3.3.2	7	BY MS. BOCKUS:
8	(as read):	8	Q. Doctor, I just want to be sure that what we
9	"When conducted, findings from	9	have marked so far will provide us with copies of all
10	trend analyses were not	10	of your handwritten notes.
11	consistent."	11	A. Certainly.
12	Do you see that?	12	Q. Okay. Are there some handwritten notes that
13	A. Yes, I do.	13	are not on the table in front of you right now?
14	Q. The authors recognize that there's no	14	A. Yeah. There's some in these files and
15	consistent dose response across studies, and you agree	15	some like this, with sticky notes.
16	with that; is that right?	16	Q. And that's what I'm looking for. I want to
17	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.	17	make sure I get all your sticky notes and all of the
18	THE WITNESS: I think there's some	18	notations that you have made in your review of the
19		19	articles.
	evidence there's dose response. Some studies don't do		And so when we get it looks like there
20	enough to evaluate for dose response, especially the	20	
21	cohort studies that are pretty well destroyed back on	21	are two binders that have flags and that sort of thing
22	page 43.	22	in them. Are there notes in the binders that are over
23	BY MR. ZELLERS:	23	on the table?
24	Q. Some studies find dose response and some	24	A. No, ma'am.
25	studies don't; correct?	25	Q. Okay. So other than the binders and the
	Dog 207		Page 309
	Page 507		1 agc 309
1	Page 307 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.	1	_
1 2	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.		materials that are on the table, do you have
2	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.		materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?
2	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. ZELLERS	2	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.
2 3 4	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is	2 3 4	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question
2 3 4 5	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right?	2 3 4 5	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought
2 3 4 5 6	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked	2 3 4 5 6 7	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen either caused by the cancer or by inflammation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian cancer is a disease of aging?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen either caused by the cancer or by inflammation. Q. The ascites can be caused by the cancer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian cancer is a disease of aging?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen either caused by the cancer or by inflammation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian cancer is a disease of aging?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen either caused by the cancer or by inflammation. Q. The ascites can be caused by the cancer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian cancer is a disease of aging?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen either caused by the cancer or by inflammation. Q. The ascites can be caused by the cancer itself; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian cancer is a disease of aging?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That is one of the risk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen either caused by the cancer or by inflammation. Q. The ascites can be caused by the cancer itself; correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian cancer is a disease of aging?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That is one of the risk factors, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen either caused by the cancer or by inflammation. Q. The ascites can be caused by the cancer itself; correct? A. Yes. MR. ZELLERS: I have no further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian cancer is a disease of aging?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That is one of the risk factors, yes. BY MS. BOCKUS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen either caused by the cancer or by inflammation. Q. The ascites can be caused by the cancer itself; correct? A. Yes. MR. ZELLERS: I have no further questions. Some of my colleagues may have questions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian cancer is a disease of aging?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That is one of the risk factors, yes.  BY MS. BOCKUS:  Q. That very few women are diagnosed with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen either caused by the cancer or by inflammation. Q. The ascites can be caused by the cancer itself; correct? A. Yes. MR. ZELLERS: I have no further questions. Some of my colleagues may have questions for you. Thank you for your time. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian cancer is a disease of aging?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That is one of the risk factors, yes.  BY MS. BOCKUS:  Q. That very few women are diagnosed with ovarian cancer who are under 30 years of age; correct?  A. With epithelial ovarian cancer, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen either caused by the cancer or by inflammation. Q. The ascites can be caused by the cancer itself; correct? A. Yes. MR. ZELLERS: I have no further questions. Some of my colleagues may have questions for you. Thank you for your time. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. BOCKUS: Could we take a quick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian cancer is a disease of aging?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That is one of the risk factors, yes.  BY MS. BOCKUS:  Q. That very few women are diagnosed with ovarian cancer who are under 30 years of age; correct?  A. With epithelial ovarian cancer, yes.  Q. And that risk so the numbers are different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: That's correct.  BY MR. ZELLERS Q. And that's true of case-control studies; is that right? A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to a question I had asked you earlier. When you do surgery and you see inflammation, would you agree that inflammation that you see is likely related to the cancer itself? A. So let me clarify so we don't get confused. The inflammation that I see is purely ascites. The rest which is fluid in the abdomen either caused by the cancer or by inflammation. Q. The ascites can be caused by the cancer itself; correct? A. Yes. MR. ZELLERS: I have no further questions. Some of my colleagues may have questions for you. Thank you for your time. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	materials that are on the table, do you have handwritten notes somewhere else?  A. No.  Q. Earlier today, you were asked a question I think it was about the FDA letter and you thought you had some handwritten notes on that. Do you know where those might be?  A. I don't recall now. You know, it was a sticky note. Just what I've been trying to do is abstract these papers to a few facts that I think are important. It's not personal opinions or other things like that; it's just trying to move the conversation along.  Q. Would you agree that in general ovarian cancer is a disease of aging?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: That is one of the risk factors, yes.  BY MS. BOCKUS:  Q. That very few women are diagnosed with ovarian cancer who are under 30 years of age; correct?  A. With epithelial ovarian cancer, yes.  Q. And that risk so the numbers are different

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Documen Page 80 of 89 Page 310 Page 312 1 A. Yes. 1 tell them what caused the genetic mutation that caused Q. So confining it to epithelial ovarian cancer, 2 their cancer? 3 that risk starts to rise in the 30s and rises even 3 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 4 more in the 40s, 50s, and 60s; correct? THE WITNESS: Aside from the inherited 4 5 BRCA mutations and Lynch syndrome, in general, no, we A. Yes, that's my understanding. 6 Q. And in the 60s, it kind of levels off --7 BY MS. BOCKUS: A. In the 60s or 70s. I've forgotten what the 8 curves look like exactly. Q. Would you agree that what we know today about what causes ovarian cancer is actually dwarfed by what Q. And other than being female of a certain age, we don't yet know about the cause of ovarian cancer? 10 most patients who you see, you don't have any idea of 11 what caused their ovarian cancer; correct? 11 MS. O'DELL: Object to form. 12 12 THE WITNESS: I think it's fair to say MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 13 THE WITNESS: Again, I get back to my we know some risk factors. 14 theme about gene mutation. Something caused the gene BY MS. BOCKUS: 15 mutation to cause that normal cell that's mutated now Q. But we're learning new risk factors and new 16 to become malignant. genetic mutations all the time; correct? 17 17 BY MS. BOCKUS: MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 18 Q. Exactly. Somewhere along the aging process, THE WITNESS: In general, we're moving 19 perhaps, or through some exposure, there's been a gene along those lines in research. 20 mutation and -- well, let me stop there. Scratch all BY MS. BOCKUS: 21 that. 21 Q. I just want to be clear. Is it your position 22 22 that being powdered as an infant with talc increases It actually takes multiple gene mutations 23 that person's risk of being diagnosed with ovarian 23 for a cancer to begin, does it not? A. That's our understanding. 24 cancer as a woman? 25 Q. Our understanding is that several things A. I think it's the sustained exposure more than Page 311 Page 313 1 happen -- have to happen before a cancer cell is <sup>1</sup> if an infant was just -- received talcum powder and 2 formed; correct? <sup>2</sup> then never continued to use it into her 20s, 30s, 40s, A. That's our usual understanding of what the <sup>3</sup> and 50s, my opinion would be that infant is not at 4 onset of cancer is. <sup>4</sup> particularly high risk. Q. And our general understanding is that it Q. Is it your opinion that powdering one's baby 6 takes decades for that to happen, generally speaking; 6 with talcum powder increases the mother's risk of 7 correct? ovarian cancer? 8 A. It depends upon what the mutations are. A MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 9 woman that's born with a genetic mutation of BRCA1, THE WITNESS: So just -- just through 10 for example, already has some mutations. So that's inhaled? I believe that there's not enough evidence 11 why we believe they develop ovarian cancer at an 11 to say that. 12 earlier age. Just a couple more mutations, and then 12 BY MS. BOCKUS: 13 the ovarian cancer starts. Q. Okay. And so fair to say that you're truly Whereas a woman that doesn't have a BRCA1 confining your opinion to the theory that talc can 15 mutation, as she gets older, she obtains or gets travel from the perineum to the ovary and cause 16 mutations over time. And the longer you live, the ovarian cancer that way; is that correct? 17 17 more likely you are to have those mutations to become A. And cause --18 18 ovarian cancer. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

19 Q. And one of the things that happens over time 20 is our body's ability to fight off detected mutations 21 decreases; correct? 22 A. Yes, in general. Q. So back to my prior question, when patients

24 come to you who have ovarian cancer, other than being

25 female and over a certain age, are you ever able to

19 Excuse me. 20 THE WITNESS: -- cause chronic <sup>21</sup> irritation and inflammation, yes. 22 BY MS. BOCKUS: Q. In order for a cancer to be called a cancer, it has to evolve in such a way that it has limitless

Page 314 Page 316 1 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. A. It might be. THE WITNESS: I think cancers -- if Q. Is chronic inflammation associated -- well, <sup>3</sup> I understand what you're saying, some cancers also 3 let me back up. 4 replicate rapidly and then slow down and may be You testified earlier that you would not <sup>5</sup> indolent for a period of time. 5 expect to see signs of chronic inflammation at the So the timeline of onset of cancer to death, 6 time you operate on a woman with ovarian cancer; is <sup>7</sup> which is, I guess, the timeline, can vary from one that correct? patient to another. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 9 BY MS. BOCKUS: THE WITNESS: Yes, that's true. 10 Q. Cancer needs to develop the ability to evade 10 BY MS. BOCKUS: <sup>11</sup> apoptosis; correct? 11 Q. Why would you no longer see the signs of 12 chronic inflammation at the time of her surgery for 12 A. I'm sorry? 13 Q. Evade apoptosis. ovarian cancer? 14 A. Yeah, that's sort of -- by definition, cancer A. One, I'm not sure we know the signs that a <sup>15</sup> has already evaded apoptosis. 15 surgeon would identify as chronic inflammation to my 16 naked eye or to my field. Q. Exactly. 17 17 Two, most of the time in women with ovarian Cancer also needs to develop sustained 18 cancer, three-quarters of the women I take care of angiogenesis; correct? 19 A. It needs to derive a blood supply, and have cancer spread throughout their abdomen and pelvis, with cancer everywhere, so that -- I mean, we angiogenesis is the blood supply. 21 don't -- I don't know how to identify chronic Q. It needs the ability to invade other tissue 22 22 inflammation. I suggested that ascites has something and metastasize; correct? 23 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. to do with inflammation but not always. 24 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure it needs to. Q. And the ascites could come from the cancer <sup>25</sup> I mean, in general, the time course is one of invasion 25 itself; correct? Page 315 Page 317 A. Yes. 1 or metastasis or both. Q. What would signs of chronic inflammation in <sup>2</sup> BY MS. BOCKUS: Q. Okay. Which of those steps do you believe 3 the fallopian tubes be? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 4 talc contributes to? 5 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I don't think there's any THE WITNESS: I believe talc 6 signs that I'm aware of that recognize -- or would be 7 identified as chronic inflammation. <sup>7</sup> contributes to the first onset -- or the additional or first onset of mutations that then lead on to cancer. 8 BY MS. BOCKUS: 9 BY MS. BOCKUS: Q. Is chronic inflammation something that could 10 Q. What -- in what gene does the mutation occur 10 be identified by a pathologist? 11 in that talc impacts? 11 A. It might be. 12 12 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. Q. Do you know whether there have been any 13 THE WITNESS: Some genes -- SNPs that 13 studies looking at -- looking for signs of chronic 14 Dr. Saed has identified are what we know, I think, to inflammation in women whose fallopian tubes have been <sup>15</sup> date. We know there's other genetic mutations that removed as part of any of the studies that you cite? 16 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. <sup>16</sup> are present in the somatic form of ovarian cancer as 17 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. They've had <sup>17</sup> well as the inherited genes. 18 their fallopian tubes removed? But I don't think anybody has studied that 19 in correlation with talc exposure, so that would be an BY MS. BOCKUS: <sup>20</sup> interesting investigation to undertake. Q. And looked at by a pathologist, yes. And 21 BY MS. BOCKUS: 21 it's reported in the studies. 22 Q. Inflammation -- chronic inflammation, is that 22 A. Signs of chronic inflammation of the 23 associated with pain? 23 fallopian tube? I'm not aware of that, no. 24 A. With pain? 24 Q. Okay. Would you expect a woman who is using

25

Q. Yes.

25 talcum powder regularly to have signs of inflammation

Page 318 Page 320 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how much 1 in her fallopian tubes? 2 MS. O'DELL: Objection. Form. <sup>2</sup> greater. It's greater as women age. 3 THE WITNESS: Again, the signs of 3 BY MS. BOCKUS: 4 chronic inflammation are vague and not well defined in Q. You indicated that not using birth control 5 terms of what a pathologist would see. If they did pills causes ovarian cancer. 6 molecular testing -- for example, the reason we now 6 Did I understand you correctly? 7 7 believe that most ovarian cancers arise in the MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 8 fallopian tube is by doing molecular testing of the 8 THE WITNESS: It allows, more likely 9 fallopian tube and seeing p53 mutations and early than not, more mutations to occur as the patient 10 cancers arising from the fallopian tube that then ovulates rather than having ovulation suppression by 11 metastasize to the ovary in the peritoneal cavity. So 11 birth control pills. 12 that's a molecular biology approach that pathologists 12 BY MS. BOCKUS: 13 Q. Okay. Do you believe that that mechanism is don't usually do unless it's in a research setting. 14 BY MS. BOCKUS: supported in light of the fact that it is now believed 15 Q. Is it your belief that pathologists cannot that cancers originate in the fallopian tubes? 16 identify chronic inflammation in tissue samples that 16 A. Yes, I think it's hormonal changes in the 17 they examine? 17 fallopian tubes as well as the ovary. 18 MS. O'DELL: Objection. Form. Q. Okay. Do you know to what -- what are the 19 THE WITNESS: I think they can identify odds ratios for a woman developing ovarian cancer who 20 it on some occasions on H&E slides. Is that what has never used birth control pills compared to women 21 who have? 21 you're talking about? 22 BY MS. BOCKUS: 22 A. There's one statistic, I think, that is 23 23 pretty well agreed upon is that women who used birth O. Yes. 24 A. I think they can see it sometimes. 24 control pills for five years have about a 50 percent 25 Q. And do you know if chronic inflammation is <sup>25</sup> reduction in the lifetime risk of ovarian cancer. Page 319 Page 321 1 reported as existing in the fallopian tubes in any of Q. In your report on page 4, at the bottom, you 2 the studies that you have cited in your report? 2 talk about EOC risk factors. 3 3 MS. O'DELL: Objection. Asked and Can you see where I'm talking about? 4 answered. 4 A. Yes, ma'am. 5 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of, 5 Q. And you say (as read): 6 no. 6 "The lifetime risk of developing 7 7 BY MS. BOCKUS: ovarian cancer is 39 to 46 percent 8 in BRCA1 carriers." 8 Q. I'm going to be jumping around a lot, and I'm 9 9 just going to apologize in advance for that --Did I read that correctly? 10 10 A. Okay. A. Yes. 11 Q. -- but so much of what I was going to ask you 11 Q. So does that come out to 390 to 460 women per 12 has already been covered. thousand who carry the BRCA1 gene mutation will Did I understand you correctly to say that 13 develop ovarian cancer in their lifetime? 14 it is your belief that age causes ovarian cancer? 14 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 15 15 A. Age causes ovarian cancer? THE WITNESS: Give me a second to do 16 Q. Yes. the math. So if we had a thousand women, in their 17 lifetime, 390 would develop ovarian cancer. A. Age allows time for mutations to occur; and, 18 therefore, ovarian cancer comes from that. 18 BY MS. BOCKUS: 19 19 Q. Do you know what the relative risk of ovarian Q. Okay. Somewhere between 390 and 460? 20 cancer is for a woman in her 60s compared to a woman 20 A. Yes. I just did the math for one, but yes. 21 in her 30s? Q. Okay. And then going on, women who carry the 22 A. I'd have to look at some statistical tables. 22 BRCA2 mutation, it would be 110 to 270 out of 1,000 in 23 I'm sure it's available. 23 their lifetime would develop ovarian cancer; is that 24 Q. But it's greater than three or four; correct? 24 correct? 25 25 A. Yes. MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

	Daniei Pagelo 239	<del>46</del> 6	Pearson, M.D.
	Page 322		Page 324
1	MS. O'DELL: For women with BRCA2?	1	THE WITNESS: Being on the planet is
2	MS. BOCKUS: Yes. For women with	2	the 1.3 percent, or the 13 out of 1,000.
3	BRCA2. I thought I made that qualification.	3	BY MS. BOCKUS:
4	BY MS. BOCKUS:	4	Q. Correct.
5	Q. And then you say (as read):	5	A. Being exposed to talc adds the other 4, if
6	"This is compared to the	6	your math is right
7	1.3 percent lifetime risk in	7	Q. Okay. But do you know of any way that you or
8	noncarriers."	8	anyone else can say, in this group of 17 women who
9	Correct?		have ovarian cancer who used talcum powder, it's these
10	A. That's correct.	10	4 who developed it because of their talcum powder use
11	Q. So in other words, 13 women out of 1,000,	1	versus the 13 that we know would have been diagnosed
12	approximately, in the US will develop ovarian cancer		with ovarian cancer whether they ever used talc or
13	in their lifetime?		not?
14	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.	14	MS. O'DELL: Objection. Incomplete
15	BY MS. BOCKUS:	15	hypothetical.
16	Q. Is that what that means?	16	THE WITNESS: So this is a hypothetical
17	A. Yes.	17	that 1,000 women used talcum powder, and we knew, if
	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.	18 19	they hadn't used talcum powder, that 1 point that
19	BY MS. BOCKUS:	20	13 of them would develop it, and then the other 4 develop it because, in my opinion, they used talcum
21	Q. And it's your opinion that and that's all-comers; right? That's women who have had	21	powder?
22	children, women who haven't had children, et cetera?	22	BY MS. BOCKUS:
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. Right, because that's the difference between
24	Q. That's the entire population?	24	the background rate and the rate that, it's your
25	A. But that don't have these BRCA mutations.		opinion, is associated with talc use; correct?
	Page 323		Page 325
1	Q. Correct. Fair enough.	1	A. So do I know which one of those what
2	So, as I understand it, it is your opinion	2	number are we up to now?
3	that the use of body powders, talcum body powders,	3	Q. The 4 out of 17.
	increases a woman's risk by about 30 percent. Is that	4	A the 4 out of 17
	correct?	5	Q. Yes.
6	A. That's what the epidemiology says, yes.	7	A was caused by talcum powder?
7	Q. Okay. So does that mean that, instead of 13		Q. Right.
8	out of 1,000 women who use talcum powder, then you	8	A. I don't think I can say that.
10	would expect to see 17 out of 1,000 who would develop ovarian cancer in their lifetime?		Q. Do you know of any methodology that would
11	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	10	allow someone to identify which of the 4 out of 17 were associated with their talc use versus associated
12	THE WITNESS: I'd have to do the math,	12	with just living that long?
13	but that sounds about right.	13	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
14	BY MS. BOCKUS:	14	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any
15	Q. And out of those 17 per thousand, 13 would	15	if you're talking about biomarkers or something else,
16	have developed it anyway; correct?	16	I'm not aware of any that would distinguish between
17	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	17	cancer caused by talc and cancer caused by age alone.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	BY MS. BOCKUS:
19	BY MS. BOCKUS:	19	Q. Okay. And if one were to guess, they would
20	Q. And do you know of any methodology that would	20	be mistaken two times out of three; correct?
1	allow you to identify which of the 4 out of 17	21	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
21	· ·	1	THE WITNESS: To guess about what?
21 22	developed ovarian cancer because of their use of talc	22	THE WITNESS. TO guess about what:
	developed ovarian cancer because of their use of talc as opposed to just being on this planet and living a	22	BY MS. BOCKUS:
22	as opposed to just being on this planet and living a		BY MS. BOCKUS:
22	as opposed to just being on this planet and living a	23 24	<del>-</del>

Page 326

gotten it anyway?MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.

3 THE WITNESS: I'm not quite sure

4 I understand where you're going or what the question

- 5 is. I think the answer is we don't -- we won't -- we
- 6 can't identify which one of those patients that have
- 7 ovarian cancer because they all -- your hypothetical
- 8 is that they all were exposed to talc.
- 9 MS. O'DELL: I don't think that was her
- 10 hypothetical.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, then I've
- 12 lost this.
- 13 BY MS. BOCKUS:
- Q. As I under -- well, let me just move on.
- When women go swimming in a swimming pool,
- 16 does chlorinated water go into their uterus?
- 17 A. Goes into their vagina.
- Q. That wasn't my question. Does it go to their
- 19 uterus?
- A. Probably not.
- Q. Why not?
- A. I don't know the answer to that question.
- Q. When women go swimming in the ocean, does
- 24 saltwater go into their uterus?
- A. Not usually, no.

1 incidence of ovarian cancer in women who have been

Page 328

- 2 competitive swimmers?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Those women clearly will have spent hours a
- <sup>5</sup> day, every day, in a swimming pool for many years of
- 6 their life; correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And you would expect, would you not, if
- 9 particles from outside a woman's body could freely
- 10 move into the inside of her body, that the chlorine
- 11 and other particles found in a swimming pool would
- 2 make their way to their ovaries; correct?
- A. They could. But if they're not carcinogens,
- then they wouldn't cause any problem.
  - Q. Would any foreign body that makes its way to
- 16 its ovary -- to a woman's ovary cause a foreign body
- 17 reaction?

18

21

- A. Not necessarily.
- Q. What foreign particle could make its way to a
- 20 woman's ovary and not cause a foreign body reaction?
  - MS. O'DELL: Objection to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I think that those that
- don't cause inflammation, those that are not cleared.
- <sup>24</sup> We talked about cornstarch earlier in today's
- 25 proceedings, and cornstarch seems not to cause an

Page 327

- 1 Q. Why not?
- 2 A. It just doesn't.
- <sup>3</sup> Q. Is there something blocking the uterus from
- 4 the vagina?
- 5 A. The cervix is there, and there is mucus in
- <sup>6</sup> the cervix at certain times. I think the other, to
- follow up on your question with a little bit better
   answer, is that exposure to the water is limited.
- <sup>9</sup> It's not like the patient's in the water for hours,
- 10 day after day after day.
- Q. That really wasn't my question.
- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. My question has to do with the passage of any
- 14 kind of particles from outside the human body to
- 15 inside the human body -- the female body.
- 16 A. Okay.
- Q. Is it your opinion that particles contained
- 18 in bathwater make their way into the fallopian tubes?
- A. I don't have an answer -- answer or opinion on that.
- Q. Same question for swimming pool water.
- A. Likewise.
- MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
- 24 BY MS. BOCKUS:
- Q. Do you know whether there's an increased

Page 329
1 inflammatory reaction. It gets cleared by the immune

- <sup>2</sup> system, and it dissolves.
- <sup>3</sup> BY MS. BOCKUS:
  - Q. Does cornstarch make it to the ovary?
- 5 A. Cornstarch has been documented to get to the
- 6 ovary, yes.
- Q. Has it been associated with foreign body
- 8 reaction in the ovary?
- 9 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Do you know whether pelvic mesh causes
- 11 ovarian cancer?
- 12 A. Mesh?
  - Q. Yes.

- 14 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Is pelvic mesh a foreign body?
- A. Yes. It's in the vagina or -- yeah, it's
- placed in the vagina, not in the peritoneal cavity perse.
- Q. Does pelvic mesh cause chronic inflammation?
- A. Not that I'm aware of. I think it causes
- 21 acute inflammation and an ingrowth of fibroblasts and
- 22 fibrous tissue to cause -- to get the result that the
- 23 surgeon wants and the patient wants.
- Q. Just because something is classified as a
- 25 carcinogen doesn't mean it's carcinogenic to every

Page 330 Page 332 1 organ in the body; correct? 1 Initiative is a poorly designed, poorly executed A. I think that's fair to say. 2 study? Q. And I think you told us previously that, to 3 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 your knowledge, you're not aware of nickel, chromium, 5 or cobalt ever being identified as carcinogenic to the BY MS. BOCKUS: 6 ovary; correct? Q. Is it your opinion that the Nurses' Health A. I'm not aware that anybody's ever tested that Study is a poorly designed, poorly executed study? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. hypothesis. 9 9 THE WITNESS: With regard to the Q. Did you look at the IARC classifications of 10 detection of ovarian cancer being caused by perineal 10 those three heavy metals? 11 A. Yes. 11 use of talcum powder, yes. 12 BY MS. BOCKUS: 12 Q. And did you see where IARC did not identify 13 that they were carcinogenic to the ovary? Q. Is it your opinion that the Gonzalez Sister 13 14 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 14 Study is a poorly designed, poorly executed study? 15 THE WITNESS: Right. I'm not sure that A. Yeah. That's the worst one. 16 there's any data, going back to my answer to my last 16 Q. You have testified -- and this certainly 17 question, where that's ever been tested. So two of 17 would be part of your practice to understand -- that 18 those heavy metals are considered carcinogens, but not we now know that HPV causes cervical cancer; correct? 19 specifically to the ovary because they haven't been 19 A. That's correct. 20 20 tested in the ovary. Q. What is the odds ratio of developing cervical 21 BY MS. BOCKUS: cancer in women who have HPV -- or who have had HPV 22 Q. So without that -- without those tests, you versus those who have not? 23 23 can't say one way or the other whether those heavy A. HPV is nearly 100 percent -- let me turn this 24 metals, the three you identify in your report, back around. 25 Women with squamous cell carcinoma of the 25 increase the risk of ovarian cancer, can you? Page 331 Page 333 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 1 cervix, which is the most common type, almost all --1 THE WITNESS: I think they're contained 2 2 as close to 100 percent as possible -- have been 3 within Johnson's baby powder. 3 infected with HPV. 4 BY MS. BOCKUS: Q. And that allows the scientific and medical 5 Q. That wasn't my question. 5 community to conclude with consensus that HPV causes Without science to support that, you cannot cervical cancer; correct? 7 say that these three heavy metals that you identify in A. Yes, but not in all women that are infected your report cause or contribute to cause ovarian with HPV. 9 cancer; correct? Q. There is no similar factor for ovarian cancer 10 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. as closely linked as HPV is to cervical cancer, is 11 THE WITNESS: I think they're in 11 there? 12 Johnson baby powder and the baby powder causes ovarian 12 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 13 cancer. So something amongst that, including the 13 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand 14 heavy metals, is contributing to the onset of ovarian the question. BY MS. BOCKUS: 15 cancer. 16 BY MS. BOCKUS: 16 Q. Because it wasn't a very good one. 17 17 Q. And you're comfortable saying that without A. Okay. 18 18 any science to support it; correct? Q. You indicated that close to 100 percent of 19 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. all women who develop a specific -- the most common 20 THE WITNESS: The science is the 20 type of cervical cancer have had HPV; correct? 21 epidemiology of increased risk of ovarian cancer in 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. There is nothing even close to that in terms 22 women that are exposed to Johnson baby powder. 23 BY MS. BOCKUS: 23 of an exposure and ovarian cancer; correct? Q. Did I understand your testimony previously 24 A. Yes, I would agree. 25 25 that it is your opinion that the Women's Health Q. Do you know what percentage of sperm make it

Page 334 Page 336 1 to the fallopian tube from a single ejaculation? THE WITNESS: I think the journal, if 2 A. I don't. <sup>2</sup> it's going to publish, would want to make sure that 3 Q. You know that that's been studied; correct? 3 they are publishing information that's correct and, A. I don't know that. The last time I did any 4 you know, through the peer review process, and also <sup>5</sup> reproductive endocrinology was in 1975. So I don't 5 any conflicts of interest are declared, any sources of know what's --6 funding are usually declared, including grants from <sup>7</sup> National Institutes of Health, for example. Q. Let me ask you --BY MS. BOCKUS: A. -- been studied. 9 Q. When Dr. Saed placed talc on these cultured Q. I apologize. I didn't mean to interrupt. 10 A. Yes. ovarian cancer cells, one of the findings that he 11 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that a talc 11 reported was that it increased the level of CA-125; 12 correct? 12 particle would fare better than a sperm in terms of its chances of making it from the vagina to the ovary? 13 A. Yes. 14 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 14 Q. You would agree that CA-125 is raised by many 15 15 THE WITNESS: No. things; correct? 16 BY MS. BOCKUS: 16 A. Yes, including inflammation -- in particular inflammation in terms of a false positive CA-125. Q. Do you think that it's probably that fewer 18 talc particles -- or a smaller percentage of talc Q. It can be raised by pregnancy; is that right? particles deposited into the vagina would make it to 19 A. Yes. 20 the ovary than percentage of sperm? 20 Q. Can be raised by cirrhosis of the liver? 21 21 A. I don't have an opinion. A. Yes. 22 Q. Okay. With regard to studies by Dr. Saed, do 22 Q. Can be raised by uterine fibroids; correct? 23 23 you believe that it would have been appropriate for A. Yeah --24 Dr. Saed to indicate on those studies that his 24 Q. By all kinds of things? 25 25 research was being funded by plaintiffs' lawyers in A. -- among other things, yes. Page 335 Page 337 Q. And Dr. Saed did not use any positive or 1 this litigation? 2 2 negative controls in his study, did he? MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand 3 MS. O'DELL: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: He did use controls in 4 exactly what was his funding. <sup>5</sup> his study. 5 BY MS. BOCKUS: Q. For the studies that you're relying on, the 6 BY MS. BOCKUS: <sup>7</sup> Saed studies that you have relied on in your report. Q. Did Dr. Saed use any controls in which he applied a -- something like glass beads to the same A. I'm not aware of the extent of the funding, <sup>9</sup> if it was from the attorneys -- the plaintiffs' tissue to see what the reaction would be compared to 10 attorneys. the talc he was applying? 11 11 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. Q. Assuming that the evidence will show that the 12 THE WITNESS: So applying glass -- I'm 12 funding for Dr. Saed's experiments came from 13 plaintiffs' attorneys, would it be appropriate and 13 not a laboratory scientist, but putting glass beads ethical for a physician to reveal that that's the into a culture plate, for example? So that would be 15 source of their funding? potentially another inflammatory product, so I don't 16 know why one would put glass beads into the control MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 17 THE WITNESS: So peer-reviewed journals 17 plate. 18 18 have certain conflict of interest statements and He has controls in all of his tables here 19 disclosures that are asked as part of the peer review (indicating). It's just the medium that the talc is 20 process of accepting a manuscript. So I'm not sure suspended in. So the medium didn't cause the changes 21 what the policies are of this particular journal. that he demonstrates in these cancer cells and these 22 BY MS. BOCKUS: epithelial cells. It was the talc that caused the changes. That's why you do a control.

to be revealed if it's the policy of the journal?

Q. So does such a conflict of interest only have

MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.

23

24

25

Q. But a -- but to do a control with regard

24 BY MS. BOCKUS:

Page 338 Page 340 1 to -- to determine whether talc causes these cells to 1 that that particulate -- in this case, talc -- causes <sup>2</sup> react differently than other items that have <sup>2</sup> cancer; correct? 3 previously been shown not to cause inflammation in the MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 4 cells, you would need to add something in addition to THE WITNESS: It doesn't -- it's not 5 the medium; correct? <sup>5</sup> conclusive, but it certainly is a step in the process 6 MS. O'DELL: Objection to form. 6 leading towards cancer. 7 THE WITNESS: No. That's what a BY MS. BOCKUS: 8 control is. Why would you add anything? That would Q. And there are specific tests that can be done 9 be a third experiment. You've got your controls and for genotoxicity; correct? 10 now your glass beads and now your talc. Are you familiar with those --11 BY MS. BOCKUS: 11 A. I'm not familiar with what that exactly 12 12 means. Q. Is it your understanding that glass beads 13 13 would cause inflammation to the ovarian epithelial? Q. Have you seen studies where, in the lab, they 14 A. I don't know what they do. I don't know why have started this process, such as Dr. Saed did with 15 one would put glass beads in a control. causing a single gene mutation, and then implanting 16 Q. Other than the medium, did Dr. Saed that tissue into a lab animal to see if it actually 17 include -- did he do any test to determine whether grows into a cancer? 18 other particulate would cause the exact same reaction MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 19 19 as the talc? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that, 20 A. I don't think that was part of his but it's certainly -- I presume it's possible to do 21 experimental design. something like that, but I'm not sure. 22 Q. Do you think that would have been an BY MS. BOCKUS: 23 Q. I think you've answered this question. And 23 appropriate experimental design to determine if talc 24 elicited a response different than any other foreign if you have, I apologize. 25 particulate? What is the threshold response for talc? Page 339 Page 341 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 1 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: The threshold response 2 THE WITNESS: Oh, you could do an 3 that would induce cancer, I presume is what you're 3 extensive experiment of all kinds of particulates and 4 really asking? 4 compare it with talc. That wasn't the question he was 5 BY MS. BOCKUS: 5 trying to ask. I'm not quite sure where you're going 6 with this. I mean... Q. Yes, sir. Thank you. A. I don't think we know that. 7 BY MS. BOCKUS: 8 MS. BOCKUS: That's all that I have. Q. To determine whether the changes that he Thank you. 9 noted actually cause cancer would take more steps; 9 10 correct? 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 11 11 MS. BOCKUS: I'll cede back my last 15 A. Yes. He's showing --12 MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. 1.2 minutes to the other defense counsel who are here. 13 13 THE WITNESS: -- that there's gene MS. O'DELL: Do you have questions? MR. BILLINGS-KANG: I don't think so, 14 mutations. They are the first step -- or the next 15 no. 15 step towards cancer. 16 BY MS. BOCKUS: 16 MS. O'DELL: Do you have questions? 17 MR. ZELLERS: No further questions. 17 Q. And all of our -- we all have gene mutations 18 MR. MIZGALA: I want to ask a question. 18 going on in our bodies every day; correct? 19 19 MR. ZELLERS: Please do A. Yes. A little scary. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT PTI Q. And we all have -- thank God, the way we're 21 put together, there are systems in place that detect BY MR. MIZGALA: 22 Q. Doctor, on page 2 of your report, at the 22 gene mutations and kill them; correct? 23 bottom --23 A. Apoptosis. Yes. A. Yes. 24 Q. And so the fact that a gene mutation is 24 25 Q. -- you write (as read): 25 caused in a Petri dish is a long ways from proving

	Daniel Page D. 239	471	Pearson, M.D.
	Page 342		Page 344
1	"I approached each article	1	and they were hypotheticals, as I recall regarding
2	objectively and critically,	2	specific patients and the cause or causes of their
3	assessing for factors such as	3	ovarian cancer.
4	design, power, reputation of the	4	In regard to a woman who has potentially,
5	authors, quality of the journal,	5	say, a BRCA mutation maybe she's of a certain
6	and potential biases."	6	age and she's a routine user of talcum powder such
7	Correct?	7	as Johnson's baby powder, do you have an opinion as to
8	A. Yes, that's what I wrote.	8	what the causes of her cancer would be?
9	Q. Where is that where is that written down?	9	MR. ZELLERS: Objection. Form.
10	Where is it compiled?	10	THE WITNESS: So several causes, but
11	A. Where is what compiled?	11	the talcum powder would have to be considered a
12	Q. All those things that you assessed? Did you	12	contributing cause to her ovarian cancer.
13	reduce that to writing anywhere?	13	BY MS. O'DELL:
14	A. No. I mean, these are the articles	14	Q. For a woman who has in whom there's not
15	I identified and reviewed and assessed (indicating).	15	been identified a known risk factor but she is a
16	Q. Okay. So you don't have a spreadsheet or	16	routine user of talcum powder such as baby powder or
17	something of all these factors that you assessed?	17	Shower to Shower, do you have an opinion as to what
18	A. No.	18	one of the causes of her cancer ovarian cancer
19	MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.	19	would be?
20	THE WITNESS: No.	20	MR. ZELLERS: Objection. Form.
21	BY MR. MIZGALA:	21	THE WITNESS: What I've been trying to
22	Q. In your head?	22	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
23	A. In my head at the time, and I chose articles	23	r
24	that I thought were appropriate to put into my report.		is a significant contributing cause.
25	MR. MIZGALA: Okay. No further	25	MS. O'DELL: I have nothing further,
_	D 0.10	_	D 045
	Page 343		Page 345
1	Page 343 questions.	1	Page 345 Doctor. Thank you.
1 2	_	1 2	_
2 3	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at		Doctor. Thank you.
2 3	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.	2	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
2 3	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at	3	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE
2 3 4	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.	2 3 4	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS
2 3 4 5	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.	2 3 4 5	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were
2 3 4 5 6	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS  BY MS. BOCKUS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Yes. IARC has deemed that true, to be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS  BY MS. BOCKUS:  Q. Doctor, are you aware of any study that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at  5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Yes. IARC has deemed that true, to be the case that it can cause ovarian cancer by inhalation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS  BY MS. BOCKUS: Q. Doctor, are you aware of any study that indicates that women who carry a BRCA gene mutation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Yes. IARC has deemed that true, to be the case that it can cause ovarian cancer by inhalation. BY MS. O'DELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS  BY MS. BOCKUS:  Q. Doctor, are you aware of any study that indicates that women who carry a BRCA gene mutation and uses and has a lifetime history of using talcum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Yes. IARC has deemed that true, to be the case that it can cause ovarian cancer by inhalation. BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. And, similarly, can fibrous talc be inhaled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS  BY MS. BOCKUS:  Q. Doctor, are you aware of any study that indicates that women who carry a BRCA gene mutation and uses and has a lifetime history of using talcum powder is at a higher risk of developing ovarian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at  5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Yes. IARC has deemed that true, to be the case that it can cause ovarian cancer by inhalation. BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. And, similarly, can fibrous talc be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS  BY MS. BOCKUS:  Q. Doctor, are you aware of any study that indicates that women who carry a BRCA gene mutation and uses and has a lifetime history of using talcum powder is at a higher risk of developing ovarian cancer than women who have the BRCA gene mutation and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Yes. IARC has deemed that true, to be the case that it can cause ovarian cancer by inhalation. BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. And, similarly, can fibrous talc be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection. Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS  BY MS. BOCKUS:  Q. Doctor, are you aware of any study that indicates that women who carry a BRCA gene mutation and uses and has a lifetime history of using talcum powder is at a higher risk of developing ovarian cancer than women who have the BRCA gene mutation and have never used talcum powder?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Yes. IARC has deemed that true, to be the case that it can cause ovarian cancer by inhalation. BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. And, similarly, can fibrous talc be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection. Form.  THE WITNESS: Yes. The same answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS  BY MS. BOCKUS:  Q. Doctor, are you aware of any study that indicates that women who carry a BRCA gene mutation and uses and has a lifetime history of using talcum powder is at a higher risk of developing ovarian cancer than women who have the BRCA gene mutation and have never used talcum powder?  MS. O'DELL: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions.  MS. O'DELL: Let's go off the record.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 5:23 p.m.  (Recess taken from 5:23 p.m. to 5:40 p.m.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:40 p.m.  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. Dr. Clarke-Pearson, I have just a few questions to ask you.  First, let me ask you, in regard to asbestos, can asbestos be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection to form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  Yes. IARC has deemed that true, to be the case that it can cause ovarian cancer by inhalation. BY MS. O'DELL:  Q. And, similarly, can fibrous talc be inhaled and cause ovarian cancer?  MR. ZELLERS: Objection. Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Doctor. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE JOHNSON & JOHNSON DEFENDANTS  BY MR. ZELLERS:  Q. The asbestos studies that you referred to earlier dealing with inhalation, those were occupational studies; correct?  MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ZELLERS: Okay. I have no further questions.  MS. BOCKUS: I have one.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS  BY MS. BOCKUS:  Q. Doctor, are you aware of any study that indicates that women who carry a BRCA gene mutation and uses and has a lifetime history of using talcum powder is at a higher risk of developing ovarian cancer than women who have the BRCA gene mutation and have never used talcum powder?

	Page 246	
	Page 346	_
	of having ovarian cancer. I'm not aware of any study	
	that's been able to investigate that to date.	2 CASE NAME: TALCUM POWDER LITIGATION MDL NO. 2738CASE
3	21 110. 2001100.	3 WITNESS NAME: DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D.
4	Q. That is something that could be investigated,	4 CASE NUMBER: 16-2738 (FLW)(LHG)
5	correct?	5 PAGE LINE READS SHOULD READ
6	MS. O'DELL: Object to the form.	6
7	THE WITNESS: In a case-control study,	7
8	yes.	8
9	BY MS. BOCKUS:	9
10	Q. But to your knowledge, it's never been	10
11	reported; correct?	11
12	A. Not that I'm aware of.	12
13	MS. BOCKUS: That's all I have.	13
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you, everybody.	14
15		15
16	•	16
17		17
18		18
19		19
20	ev. · p.m.	20
	(Whereupon, at 5:44 p.m., the deposition ceased.	
21	Digitatare was reserved.)	
22		22
23		23
24		24
25		25
- 1		
	Page 347	Page 349
1	Page 347 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	Page 349  1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  OCERTIFICATE
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )
3	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA ) ) CERTIFICATE
3	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )
2 3 4 5	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  OCERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)
2 3 4 5	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  OCERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were
2 3 4 5 6	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  OCERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)
2 3 4 5 6 7	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  OCERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  OCERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  OCERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel  14 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel  14 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to  15 this action, and further, that I am not a relative or  16 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the  17 parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel  14 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to  15 this action, and further, that I am not a relative or  16 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the  17 parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested  18 in the outcome of said action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel  14 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to  15 this action, and further, that I am not a relative or  16 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the  17 parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested  18 in the outcome of said action.  19 This, the 6th day of February, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel  14 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to  15 this action, and further, that I am not a relative or  16 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the  17 parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested  18 in the outcome of said action.  19 This, the 6th day of February, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel  14 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to  15 this action, and further, that I am not a relative or  16 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the  17 parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested  18 in the outcome of said action.  19 This, the 6th day of February, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel  14 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to  15 this action, and further, that I am not a relative or  16 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the  17 parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested  18 in the outcome of said action.  19 This, the 6th day of February, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel  14 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to  15 this action, and further, that I am not a relative or  16 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the  17 parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested  18 in the outcome of said action.  19 This, the 6th day of February, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  12 witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel  14 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to  15 this action, and further, that I am not a relative or  16 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the  17 parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested  18 in the outcome of said action.  19 This, the 6th day of February, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFIC ATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel  14 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to  15 this action, and further, that I am not a relative or  16 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the  17 parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested  18 in the outcome of said action.  19 This, the 6th day of February, 2019.  20  21  22  23  Sophie Brock, RPR, RMR, RDR, CRR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT  I, DANIEL L. CLARKE-PEARSON, M.D., do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct, and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached errata sheet signed by me.  (DATE) (SIGNATURE)	1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  (CERTIFICATE  2 COUNTY OF ORANGE )  3 I, Sophie Brock, Court Reporter and Notary  4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding  5 was conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es)  6 whose testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were  7 duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es)  8 were taken by me to the best of my ability and  9 thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and that  10 the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitute a true and  11 accurate transcription of the testimony of the  witness(es).  13 I do further certify that I am neither counsel  14 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to  15 this action, and further, that I am not a relative or  16 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the  17 parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested  18 in the outcome of said action.  19 This, the 6th day of February, 2019.  20  21  22  23  Sophie Brock, RPR, RMR, RDR, CRR